

MARCH 7, 1974

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls met in regular meeting Thursday, March 7, 1974 at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers in Idaho Falls, Idaho. There were present at said meeting, Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen, Councilmen Norris Gesas, Jim Freeman, Mel Erickson, Ralph R. Wood, Gilbert Karst, and Paul Hovey. Also present: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk; Arthur Smith, City Attorney; John Evans, City Controller; Lorna Coughlin, City Treasurer; Pete Hill, Director of Aviation; Ernie Craner, Parks and Recreation Director; Don Lloyd, Public Works Director; Steve Harrison, Electrical Engineer; Robert Pollock, Police Chief; Les Corcoran, Fire Chief.

Minutes of the last regular meeting held February 21, 1974 were read and approved.

The Mayor announced that this was the time and the place for a public information hearing on the proposed airport master plan and invited Councilman Wood, as Chairman of the Aviation Committee, to conduct this portion of the meeting. Councilman Wood reported that an airport cannot survive without proficient planning and that, if this 20-year plan is adopted, it would be the second of its kind during the history of Fanning Field. He said the first was also a 20-year plan but it was accomplished in 11 years. Councilman Wood then turned the hearing over to Director of Aviation Hill who introduced Mr. Fred Isaacs, F.A.A. representative from Seattle and Greg Isbell, architect engineer, responsible for the preparation of the master plan now being considered. Hill said that a master plan was necessary to provide a program concept so that the Airport could qualify for Federal Grants for the improvement of aeronautic facilities from time to time. He said this plan is flexible and could be amended when deemed necessary. He said its primary function was that it could and should be used as a guide. He said the plan, as proposed, is compatible with any and all land use plans for the area. Hill said the plan has been many months in its preparation during which time it has been under close scrutiny by the Airport Council Committee, the Mayor and the full Council. Also, continued Hill, it has had the benefit of wide TV, radio and newspaper publicity during that preparation period. There were none who appeared for the purpose of protesting or otherwise commenting on the plan as proposed. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Gesas, that this airport master plan be accepted. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Mr. Richard Hobbins, representing the West Idaho Chapter of the American Civil Liberties Union, appeared before the Council and presented the following written statement:

March 7, 1974

TO: IDAHO FALLS CITY COUNCIL

The East Idaho Chapter of the American Civil Liberties Union protests the action of the Idaho Falls City Council in banning the film "Last Tango In Paris" from showing in Idaho Falls. Unlike the City Council whose resolution and vote on "Last Tango In Paris" were not made public, the ACL wishes to enter this statement in the public record. The ACLU considers that the Council's action constitutes unconstitutional censorship. The response of a great many citizens of Idaho Falls to the Council's action indicates that there is much resistance to censorship and a desire for an open, free society.

The American Civil Liberties is working to bring "Last Tango" back to Idaho Falls and defend its showing in a court of law. The people of Idaho Falls have a right to decide on an individual basis whether or not to see "Last Tango".

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Richard Hobbins
Chairperson Idaho

MARCH 7, 1974

The Mayor invited City Attorney Smith to respond. Mr. Smith prefaced his remarks by saying that he could only represent the position of the City in his capacity as City Attorney and that he would welcome any and all corrections to other comments from the Mayor or any of the Councilmen. Turning, first, to the foregoing written statement, Smith noted that it accused the City Council of banning the film entitled the Last Tango in Paris. Smith emphasized the fact that the film was not banned from showing. He then gave a brief background of the State Statute which was passed in an attempt to control pornography within the State of Idaho. He said the Idaho State legislature passed an anti-pornographic statute pre-empting any and all City ordinances and that this statute had proven to be very controversial to the point where some felt it was in conflict with the first amendment to the constitution. He noted that said statute was created only after a U.S. Supreme Court ruling on pornography but that there was never a clear-cut definition as to what constituted pornography and said ruling was even by a split decision from that ruling body. Therefore, Smith continued, this left interpretation largely up to the local governing agencies. Referring, then, to the local problem concerning the film known as the Last Tango In Paris, Smith drew attention to the fact that prior to its showing, certain citizens became alarmed, aroused and excited at the prospects of its being shown, encouraged by more than one reference by the local news media that if offered frontal nudity and that the Mayor and the Councilmen started receiving complaints accordingly. He said it was the right of the City Council to authorize the City Attorney to bring a test suit to determine whether or not the film depicted pornography and, also, whether or not the statute was valid. He said that this procedure, which would have involved injunction proceedings, would have been more appropriate than a criminal arrest.

Smith said his advice to the City Council was against having a group of Police Officers serve as censors and so it was decided, instead, that there be a private showing after which there would be a determination as to whether or not there be cause for action. He said there was a very representative group invited to said private showing including the Mayor, the Councilmen, the City Attorney, representatives from the news media as well as certain other citizens. Smith said it was always the intention that this group, after the private showing, would make a determination as to whether or not there was cause to file suit but, even if this had come to pass, this would not have stopped the film from being shown. He said the group proceeded with the private showing, after which there was a unanimous decision that the City Attorney should file suit. Thereupon, the cinema management voluntarily elected to withdraw the film from public showing, thus eliminating the necessity of legal action.

Mr. Hobbins responded by saying that this had the equivalent effect of banning the film in the opinion of the American Civil Liberties Union.

Mrs. Audrey Suckling, 1545 Claire View lane, appeared briefly, referring to certain complaints which had allegedly been registered. She said she would like to see copies. The City Attorney replied by saying he was not referring to formal complaints, per se but, rather, verbal complaints on the telephone or written complaints appearing in the newspaper such as letters to the editor.

Mrs. Joan Crawford, 1100 Bower Street, appeared to ask if, among the select group viewing the film, a mental health representative was present and was answered in the affirmative; namely, Dr. Amos. Mrs. Crawford then asked why the manner in which the Councilmen voted was not released. Smith said the decision for his to file suit was a unanimous one. Asked if the vote of the layman was asked for, Smith said their opinion was considered prior to the Councilmen rendering a decision. Mrs. Crawford concluded her remarks by saying she resented having her rights deprived by not permitting the picture to be viewed by those so desiring.

Rev. James Jenkins, Pastor of the Trinity United Methodist Church, appeared before the Council, saying that, although he was glad the film in question did not show and that he was also glad he was not one of those having to make the decision, he disagreed with the manner that said

MARCH 7, 1974

decision was made. He said that, in his opinion, it besmirched the entire City Council to be placed in the position of serving on a censorship panel. He said that it was probably only a matter of time until the community faced censorship decisions in its broadest sense, including censorship of the news media, but when that time arrived it should be accomplished by means of a duly appointed censorship board, committee or commission, responsive to the entire community. Jenkins said such a board should be elastic and flexible and this responsibility should be borne by some group other than the City Council.

Mr. Milt Adam, 216 W. 14th Street, appeared briefly to say that he would object strenuously to such an appointed group, which, if appointed, would immediately be faced with a broad scope of censorship problems, citing as one illustration the fact that many books in the public library contain four letter words considered undesirable by a certain element of the public.

Mrs. Sally Ricardo, 130 West 17th Street, appeared briefly, also registering protest that her rights had been deprived. She said there was no comparison between those who would have seen the film vs. those who felt they had a right to see the film. She said that, even though the film might have been construed as pornographic by some, mature men and women should be adult enough to view the film without a feeling of indecency or guilt. She said she questioned that any of the Councilmen who had viewed the film were left with a feeling of indecency or contamination by virtue of said viewing.

Mrs. Teresa Sweeny, 926 8th Street, appeared to say she objected to adult censorship. She asked how a community could expect to bring its standards up if all activities of a suggestive nature were banned by censorship.

Mr. Eldon Wirt, 215 Pine Street, appeared briefly to commend the City Council on the action as taken. He said he had, in the past, viewed immoral movies and that this community would be well served if these were not permitted in the future.

Throughout Mr. Smith's presentation he had occasion, in discussing the law, to compare the pornographic statute to the laws governing other non-permissible acts such as spending, gambling or the possession of drugs. Mr. Orville Meyer, South Holmes Road, appeared briefly to say that he objected to the comparison. He said the viewing of the movie would not have been illegal. He said that, in his opinion, the Council should have permitted the film to be shown; then bring suit if it was felt justified.

Mr. Ruland Williams, Jr., appeared briefly to concur with Mr. Meyer. Williams said he was surprised that a prejudgment was made. He said there should be no analogy in his opinion, between pornography and gambling, as an example. He said that pornography cannot be ruled by a majority or a minority. He said the City Council is not in a position to set community standards and that, in his opinion, the action taken resulted in failure to recognize the rights of others.

Councilman Freeman said that this was an unusual problem and, whether or not the City Council acted wisely, it was a matter of acting in the best interests of the majority and upon the advice of the City Attorney. He said the City Council had no intention of setting themselves up as a permanent censorship board and that he, personally, had no intention of acting in this capacity again. Asked what the City Council's reaction would be if the ACLU was successful in getting this film back, Freeman said he thought he would be voicing the opinion of the entire Council to say that although it would not be wanted, it would be welcomed as a means of proceeding with a test court case. Councilman Gesas concurred. The City Attorney reminded those present that if this state statute was considered offensive or an invasion of private rights, then an effort should be made to get it repealed.

Councilman Hovey asked Mr. Hobbins why his group had not registered a protest at the time the bill was passed by the State Legislature. Mr. Hobbins said his group did not have the funds to effectively lobby in Boise. Hovey said this was a law that was passed in Boise but handed down to the subordinate governmental agencies for enforcement. He said he shuddered to think of the kind

MARCH 7, 1974

of society we would have at the local level if all were allowed to do everything they wanted to do under the guise of freedom of action. Hovey continued by saying that, in his opinion, how he personally, or those present in the Council Chambers felt about the film was virtually irrelevant. He said this entire issue was initiated by the U.S. Supreme Court ruling and that was by a split decision. Nevertheless, from said decision, the Idaho State Legislature was sufficiently impressed so that the statute was passed by that governmental body. He said that, in this specific case, the City Council determined that the best disposition would be the democratic way of letting a jury decide and that this is what would have happened if the theatre management had not withdrawn. He said that he, personally, did not think the film met with suitable standards for the community but that he was just one of many who viewed the film. He said the decision to file suit was made by the general consensus of all those who viewed the film and that that group was truly representative of the entire community.

In the absence of further comment, the Mayor thanked all those present on this issue for their interest and their views and declared a brief recess while the Council Chamber was cleared of all those who wished to be excused.

Upon reconvening, Mr. Adam reappeared relative to the Special Bond Election. He asked if there would again be advertising at tax payers expense and was answered in the negative by Councilman Freeman. He then asked if there was to be two ballots, giving the voter the opportunity to vote on the recreational project or projects of his choice. Freeman said this was on the agenda for consideration later in this meeting.

Mr. Lester Beck, 420 E. 16th, appeared before the Council. He presented a bill for ambulance service and explained that the ambulance was called to his home in his absence to care for his wife when there was no need for her to go to the hospital; therefore, he didn't feel that he should be responsible for payment of the bill. The Mayor referred him to the Fire Chief for his consideration.

Councilman Freeman drew attention to the fact that a Council decision had recently been made setting the date of the special Recreation Bond election for April 9th, 1974. Freeman said it had since been learned that, due to the necessity for the publication of legal notices, selection of poll workers etc., this date would be too soon to accomplish all of that required by law. Therefore, it was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Erickson, that this previous Council action be rescinded and the date of said election be set for April 23rd, 1974. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Councilman Freeman then reintroduced the subject of the ballot as it would appear for voters at the special bond election on April 23rd, noting that this had been introduced at the last regular Council meeting by the J.C.'s and tabled until more specific information and/or advise was received from the Financial Consultant. Freeman presented and read aloud this letter:

Idaho First National Bank
March 7, 1974

Mr. Roy Barnes, City Clerk
City Hall
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Roy:

RE: PROPOSED 1,500,000 G.O. BOND, CITY OF IDAHO FALLS FOR RECREATIONAL PURPOSES

MARCH 7, 1974

The bond attorneys inform us that the City may use the single question as set out in the present election resolution or revise this resolution to include two bond questions for the states purposes, which would necessitate a deferral of its adoption and the April 23 election date.

Additionally, our experience relative to the two question bond ordinance has not necessarily proved advantageous. First, the bond interest cost will be higher with the smaller issues versus one for the support during the pre-election campaign. For all practical purposes the two programs appear very complimentary and deserve equal support.

Very best wishes.

Sincerely,
s/ Rich
J.R. Michels
Vice President

Mr. Ruland Williams, Jr., representing the J.C.'s reappeared briefly and restated the plea from that civic organization to give the voter the opportunity to vote on one or the other or both recreational projects. He said he felt this service to the public out weighed the fiscal advantages of the one-vote ballot as set forth in the foregoing letter.

Mr. Bryant Williams, 830 Maplewood Drive, appeared briefly to concur with Ruland Williams. He said he thought it would be the fairer approach, from the standpoint of the voters, to give them the opportunity to express their wishes on both proposed projects. Then, if both issues passed, one bond issue could be floated, covering both projects as originally planned.

Councilman Freeman registered an opinion to the effect that having two issues to vote on at the library bond election could have been a factor in its defeat. He said that, even though he appreciated the opinions as set forth by the J.C.'s and others, he doubted that anyone knew, for sure, the extent to which the advantages of one approach outweighed the disadvantages or visa versa. He said the City Council did not claim to have the answer and it could be justifiably argued pro and con. Therefore, continued Freeman, he felt obligated to make recommendation to the Council in conformance with the recommendation of the fiscal agent.

The following ordinance was thereupon introduced in written form by Councilman Freeman and was read by title. Councilman Freeman moved that the rule requiring the reading of the ordinance three different days be dispensed with and the motion was adopted by the vote of not less than four of the Council, to-wit: Aye: Councilmen Erickson, Freeman, Gesas, Hovey, Karst and Wood; No, none; carried.

The ordinance was thereupon again read by title and was read in full, after which, pursuant to motion made by Councilman Freeman, and seconded by Councilman Erickson, the ordinance was adopted by the following vote: Councilmen Erickson, Freeman, Gesas, Hovey, Karst, and Wood; No, none; carried.

The ordinance was thereupon signed by the Mayor in evidence of his approval, was attested by the City Clerk, was ordered recorded and is as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. 1379

AN ORDINANCE CALLING AN ELECTION FOR THE PURPOSE OF
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF SAID CITY THE
PROPOSITION OF THE ISSUANCE OF \$1,500,000 GENERAL
MARCH 7, 1974

OBLIGATION RECREATION BONDS OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS,
AND PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF SUCH BONDS IN THE EVENT
THEY ARE VOTED AT SUCH ELECTION.

Bills for the month of February, 1974, were presented. The City Clerk was asked to read aloud all fund totals for materials, services and salaries, as follows:

<u>FUND</u>	<u>SERVICES & MATERIALS</u>	<u>GROSS PAYROLL</u>	<u>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</u>
General Fund	\$77,509.65	\$145,891.92	\$223,401.57
Street Fund	11,844.98	12,103.82	23,948.80
Airport Fund	3,239.26	4,298.88	7,538.14
Water and Sewer Fund	36,486.43	19,415.71	55,902.14
Electric Light Fund	117,695.26	42,982.52	159,777.78
Fire Fund	6,804.82	56,504.52	63,309.00
Recreation Fund	1,177.85	1,839.20	3,017.05
Capital Improvement	25.00	.00	25.00
Police Retirement	.00	3,196.88	3,196.88
<u>TOTAL FUNDS</u>	<u>\$254,783.25</u>	<u>\$285,333.11</u>	<u>\$540,116.36</u>

Councilman Karst explained all major expenditures. It was moved by Councilman Karst, seconded by Freeman, that the bills be allowed and the Controller be authorized to issue warrants on the respective funds for their payment. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Reports from Division and Department Heads were presented for the month of February, 1974, and, there being no question nor objection were accepted by the Mayor and ordered placed on file in the office of the City Clerk.

License applications for GROCERY STORE, Dee Beham for D.C. Natural Foods, Paul Sato for Mary's Japan Grocery, Safeway Store #232, Pleasant Valley Drive Inn by DeLaine R. Young, 910 Ada and 1275 E. 17th Street, Wealth of Health by Max M. Johnson, Ireal L. Geddes for A Street Health Foods; RESTAURANT, Newell Walker for Arthur Treacher's Fish & Chips, Kail Ellis for Skyway Theatre, William, D. Winder for Sizzler Steak House, Ky Nii for Hollywood Bowl, Big Boy Family Restaurant for J.B.'s, Red Steer, Bowl-Ero Coffee Shop, Candy's I and II, Sambo's, Doug's Dairyland, Taco Bandido, Pizza Hut, Rainbow Café, Valley Vending Co., Walt's Snak & Tackle, North Hiway Café, Paxman's, Hudson's Café, L.D.S. Hospital, Ada's Café; JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICIAN, Frank Carlson, Leonard Bateman; APPRENTICE ELECTRICIAN, Patrick E. Brunelle, Clarence L. Poitra, Mel Rich; CLASS C JOURNEYMAN, GAS & WET HEAT, John A. Beins; CLASS C JOURNEYMAN, GAS FITTING & WARM AIR HEATING, Dave G. Harman; CLASS D JOURNEYMAN FOR GAS FITTING, Lyle M. Charlesworth, Jr., Paul Hammond; CLASS D JOURNEYMAN, WARM AIR HEATING, Glen Harris, D. Nels Rumberg; CLASS D CONTRACTOR WARM AIR, Brown-Johnson Co.; JOURNEYMAN PLUMBER, Bert Fairless, John A. Beins; PHOTOGRAPHY, Ronald Hult Studio,

Perk's Photo Service; PAWN BROKER, Donald E. Leymaster; DAIRY, Charles S. Reed, Robert N. Rowland; NON-COMMERCIAL KENNEL, Janice Cave; COMMERCIAL KENNEL, Northgate Veterinary Hospital; BOWLING ALLEYS, Hollywood Bowl, Bowl-ero, Inc.; MOTEL, Westbank Motel, Falls View Motel, Handy Cabins, Joseph H. Kinny for Havens Motel, Bonneville Motel, Bonneville Motor Hotel, and Hotel Idaho; MOTION PICTURE, Lowell F. Green for Rio Theatre, Lowell F. Green for Center Theatre, Kail E. Ellis for Sky-Vu Theatre; DANCE HALL, Linus Bowman for Skyway Lounge; TAXI CAB OPERATOR, Montforel LaVon Adams; BARTENDER, Kenneth C. Walker, Larene Steels, R. Dix

MARCH 7, 1974

Hoffman, Glenn Hane, Mary Griswold, Herbert Lehman, Dorothy Lehman, Carl Ferguson, Ray Robinson, Merlin J. Petersen, Sandra Hayden, Carroll Moreland; BEER, transfer only, from Feltman's Airport Service to Johnnie Davidson for Johnnie's Airport Service; LIQUOR, Ray V. Robison for Ray's Western Bar, were presented. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Gesas, that these licenses be granted, subject to final approval by the appropriate Division Director, where required. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Damage claims were presented from Jill Drysdale and Karin Johnson. It was explained that, in the interests of time, these were forwarded to the City's insurance adjustor without formal Council approval. It was moved by Councilman Karst, seconded by Freeman, that this action be ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

From the Purchasing Department this memo was submitted:

City of Idaho Falls
March 6, 1974

Transformers

Honorable Mayor and Councilmembers:

The Purchasing Department requests approval to advertise for bids for transformation at the new West Side Jr. High School.

s/ W. J. Skow
Purchasing Department

It was moved by Councilman Hovey, seconded by Gesas, that authorization be granted to advertise for bids on the project as described. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Another memo from the Purchasing Department was forthcoming, as follows:

City of Idaho Falls
March 4, 1974

Animal Incinerator

Honorable Mayor and Councilmembers:

The Purchasing Department requests approval to advertise for bids for an incinerator to be used at the City Animal Shelter.

s/ W. J. Skow
Purchasing Department

It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Freeman, that authorization be granted for the advertising of bids on the equipment as described. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The City Clerk drew attention to the fact that a rezoning hearing petition had been received from doctors Reed Anderson and Rheim Jones, necessitating the scheduling of a zoning hearing. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that said hearing be conducted March 28th, 1974, and the City Clerk be authorized to publish legal notice accordingly. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

MARCH 7, 1974

From the Controller, this memo was submitted:

City of Idaho Falls
March 6, 1974

TO: Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen and City Council
FROM: John D. Evans, Controller
SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING – 1974 BUDGET

I request your approval to advertise for public hearing of the budget for the fiscal year 1974. Said hearing to be March 28, 1974 and legal notice will be published March 17, and 24th.

s/ John D. Evans

It was moved by Councilman Karst, seconded by Freeman, that a public hearing be scheduled for March 28th, 1974 on the proposed budget for 1974 and authorization be granted to publish legal notice accordingly to compliance with the law. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Personnel Director was presented and read:

Idaho Falls, Idaho
March 6, 1974

TO: Mayor and Councilmen
FROM: Personnel Department
SUBJECT: BID RESULTS FOR CITY MEDICAL INSURANCE PROGRAM

The City's medical insurance was put up for premium bid quotations during the month of February, 1974.

Three bids were received in the City Clerk's office. Bids were received from Metropolitan Life, Crown Life of Canada and Blue Cross of Idaho. Blue Cross bid on our old and the current plan coverage, whereas the other two companies only bid on the current plan coverage.

We received notification from a number of insurance companies that they would not bid because of our claims experience history and/or they would only do so if the life insurance program were also included for bids.

The attached recapitulation compares quoted rates and certain of the assumptions and eligibility requirements stipulated by the companies bidding on our medical insurance.

It is recommended that the City continue its group hospitalization insurance coverage with Blue Cross of Idaho.

s/ A. Lee Mundell

It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Erickson, that the City continue its group hospitalization insurance coverage with Blue Cross of Idaho, as recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

From the Public Works Director came this memo:

MARCH 7, 1974

City of Idaho Falls
March 7, 1974

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd, Director
SUBJECT: NATIONAL FLOOD PROGRAM INSURANCE

We are attaching hereto an application to HUD for the National Flood Insurance Program. These applications are submitted to the Department of Housing and Urban Development Federal Insurance Administration.

We would like authorization for this application to be submitted in the near future on behalf of the City.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Don

It was moved by Councilman Gesas, seconded by Karst, that the HUD application as described, be authorized to be submitted as recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Another memo from the Public Works Director was presented, to-wit:

City of Idaho Falls
March 7, 1974

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: PAINTING ELEVATED WATER TANK

The plans and specifications are completed for painting the exterior of the elevated water tank. We are requesting authorization for the City Clerk to advertise for competitive bids on March 24, 1974, March 31st and April 7th and open bids on Thursday at 10:00 a.m. on April 10th.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Don

It was moved by Councilman Gesas, seconded by Karst, that authorization be granted to advertise for bids on the project as described. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Noting that the terms of two members of the Planning Commission were about to expire, the Mayor proceeded to reappoint Messrs. Burl Bandel and Keith Sundberg as members of that Commission for another term. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that these appointments be confirmed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Councilman Wood presented the following Civil-Military Mutual Agreement:

February 27, 1974

CIVIL - MILITARY MUTUAL AGREEMENT

MARCH 7, 1974

1. The military forces located in Bonneville County will be required to support civil government prior to and during recovery from a nuclear attack on this country. Therefore, to assist military personnel to survive a nuclear attack and resulting radiological fallout, Bonneville County and the City of Idaho Falls hereby designates and reserves Public Shelter No. 00115 located in the basement of the Municipal Airport for some 240 members of these forces. This shelter has spaces for approximately 450 people. This area is limited to space presently occupied by C.D. Equipment Supplies.
2. In event this shelter becomes unavailable to support military occupancy, coordination will be made between civil authorities of this County and the military for reassignment of other shelter facilities.
3. The military resources of this County will be made available to support civil authorities during emergency operations caused by a nuclear attack, unless the resources are required for combat or combat support missions.

s/ Orval Forbes
Bonn. County Commissioner

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
Mayor of Idaho Falls

s/ Artell Switter
Bonn. County Commissioner

s/ Thomas J. Wadsworth
Civil Service Director

s/ Leo R. Clawson
Bonn. County Commissioner

s/ James D. Bostwich
Deputy Director

It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Gesas, that this agreement be accepted and the Mayor be authorized to sign the City's approval with the understanding that the requested space would be limited to one third the entire basement area of the Airport Terminal building. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Public Works Director was presented by Councilman Gesas:

City of Idaho Falls
March 7, 1974

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd

SUBJECT: CITY-COUNTY JOINT FACILITIES

In response to a request from the Board of County Commissioners, Mayor Pedersen has requested this Division to develop a proposal concerning joint Governmental Facilities. In response to this request we are submitting herewith:

- (a) A brief narrative statement of Council's position.
- (b) Seven specific action recommendations.
- (c) A proposed development schedule, and
- (d) A photograph of the site area and overlays depicting the development schedule.

MARCH 7, 1974

This information has been reviewed with the Public Works Committee and we would ask for Council's approval of this concept in order to formally submit to the Bonneville County Commissioners.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Don

It was moved by Councilman Gesas, seconded by Erickson, that the concept of the complex, as described, be approved and authorization be granted to submit said concept to the Bonneville County Commissioners for their consideration. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Councilman Erickson proposed, with general Council concurrence, that the Public Works Division be commended on the foregoing proposal, as prepared.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Karst, that the meeting adjourn at 9:20 P.M., carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
MAYOR

* * * * *