JUNE 28, 1973

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho met in a recessed regular meeting, Thursday, June 28, 1973 at 7:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers in Idaho Falls, Idaho. There were present at said meeting: Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen; Councilmen Jack Wood, Gil Karst, Paul Hovey, Norris Gesas, Jim Freeman, and Mel Erickson. Also present: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk; Arthur Smith, City Attorney; Rod Gilchrist, City Planner; John Evans, City Controller, Ernie Craner; Parks and Recreation Director; Don Lloyd, Public Works Director.

Minutes of the last regular meeting, held June 7th and a special meeting held June 26th, 1973 were read and approved.

Mr. Richard Kaiser, Chairman of the Idaho Falls Swimming Pool Committee, appeared before the Council and presented, verbally, the highlights of a written preliminary study depicting the needs, utilization and anticipated cost of a new enclosed swimming pool for this City. Other members of the committee were Dixie Hall, Robert Oliver, Douglas Raymond, Charles Thompson, Jack Wallace and Lee Thompson. Kaiser explained that this committee was formed the first week in May at the request of the Parks and Recreation Council Committee and the Parks and Recreation Director. Kaiser pointed out that the existing pool has been in use 19 years. Its location is poor, due to heavy traffic in the area, and has inadequate parking. Because of its age it is non-conducive to covering. He said, also, that some of the mechanical facilities are either inadequate or non-functional. Kaiser noted that the City also operates the Reinhart Pool but this would not be conducive to the expense of covering because of its size. He said there is no question in the opinion of his committee that a covered pool is needed, as evidenced by the fact that the attendance at the existing pools varies considerably with the weather.

On the subject of a proposed site, Kaiser said two have been considered; first, the former location of the Central Junior High School, not recommended by the Committee because of inadequate area, thus limiting potential development; second, the area immediately south of the Idaho Falls High School. Kaiser said this is the location favored by the Committee. He said their recommendation would be to vacate 7th Street between Holmes and Tiger Avenue and make that area, as well as that immediately south of 7th Street, available for the swimming pool complex. He said this would provide ample space for two pools under one roof, one of which would meet AAU competition requirements. Kaiser also went into detail on other facilities that would hopefully be made available including a moveable bulkhead, ramps for incapacitated swimmers, four diving board, spectator seating, side walls that could be opened during warm weather, ample parking, etc. Kaiser listed 16 activities that could be conducted in the pool and 15 organizations that have expressed a desire to use the pool and the proposed swimming man hours per year that would be made available to said organizations.

Discussing cost, Kaiser informed the Council that a pool with all the facilities as indicated would cost somewhere between $800,000 and $1,000,000, depending upon the type of basic materials used. He said it is possible that 50% of the funding might be forthcoming from the B.O.R. He said a promotional drive was anticipated. He said that grants are not beyond the realm of possibility for rehabilitation projects. In the final analysis, concluded Kaiser, bonding would probably be necessary for the balance of funds not provided from other sources.

Councilman Gesas drew attention to the fact that the proposed sports arena to be located in the urban renewal area would also provide for swimming facilities. Gesas then asked Mr. Kaiser his opinion on a bond and whether it should be floated by the City, the County or a joint obligation. Kaiser said his committee had not as yet studied the financing sufficiently to have an opinion on this issue. Gesas said he couldn't conceive of the City bearing the entire financial burden when this would be a regional facility.

At the invitation of the Mayor, Mr. Bill McFarland, Chairman of the Parks and Recreation Committee, appeared before the Council. He concurred in the remarks of Mr. Kaiser to the effect that the existing pool had outlived its usefulness from the standpoint of age, location and

maintenance requirements. He said his commission had studied the problem but only from the standpoint of City needs. McFarland also concurred that a covered pool is needed that could be used the year around. McFarland urged that the Mayor and City Council give this proposal every consideration.

Councilman Freeman said he would endorse the concept as proposed and described. Recognizing the fact that there was no possibility of this program being financed in 1973 due to budgeting limitations, Freeman said that, regardless, a program of action is now in order so that planning might be initiated and pursued accordingly.

Mr. Robert Oliver, Swimming Pool Committee Member, appeared briefly, referring to the comments of Councilman Gesas with respect to the swimming pool proposal for the sports arena within the urban renewal area. He said the findings of a recent survey revealed the fact that a facility of this nature within said complex carried a low priority and, recognizing the fact that this arena, as proposed, would be constructed in steps. Moreover, continued Oliver, a swimming pool at that location would probably not be of interest nor conducive to the 7 to 16 year age group.

Mr. Robert Parker, representing the Boy Scouts, said his organization, although in no position to assist in the financing of a complex as described, would endorse it and participate fully when it was ready for occupancy. He said it would not be expected that the Scouts would get a free ride. They would be expected to pay their fair share of entrance fees and they could also help in the financing drive.

Mr. Roy Southwick, representing the Chamber of Commerce, appeared briefly to ask the advantage of having one large pool vs. several smaller pools. Mr. Kaiser answered by saying that, although smaller pools throughout the City area invaluable as a means of furthering the over-all interest in swimming, one large pool is needed to provide continued interest through competitive events.

Mr. Joe Gasidlo, representing the Idaho Alpine Club, appeared to say that their club would be in a position to participate in such a recreational development as described through training kayak and canoe teams. Mr. Gasidlo expressed particular enthusiasm in this regard, inasmuch as the areas suited for such activity are extremely limited. He said that the only local waters that were being used for such training at the present time was the Blackfoot reservoir and Palisades Dam. He said their organization would be willing to provide free instruction for sports of this nature and pay a user's fee accordingly.

Mr. Andy Anderson, representing School District #91, appeared briefly to say that, in his opinion, the proposed location had merit and felt that the School Board would concur. He offered to discuss the matter at length with the School Board Trustees.

Mr. Milton Adam, 216 W. 14th Street, appeared briefly to say that, in his opinion a swimming pool as described was not as important in priorities as some other civic necessities such as a new library. From a standpoint of cost, continued Adam, it might be more practical to consider complete renovation of the existing pool.

Mr. John Orr, member of the Parks & Recreation Commission, appeared to say that this proposal had not as yet been presented to the Parks & Recreation Commission and that, in his opinion, this should be done before it was taken under consideration or advisement by the City Council.

Mr. Chuck Thompson, Swimming Pool Committee Member, appeared to give a brief report of the progress made in Blackfoot on a similar complex. He said that B.O.R. funds could not be relied on at this time. He said he felt the need of such a facility was urgent and expressed some concern

that referring this matter to the Parks and Recreation Commission as suggested by Mr. Orr, would not hold up or detain progressive planning action.

Councilman Hovey said he felt that the Council had an obligation to thoroughly investigate the various funding sources. He said he felt that other governmental agencies such as the school

---

district and the County should be expected to participate. Noting an earlier comment to the effect that this complex should be financially self sustaining, Hovey said that on this point he was skeptical, recognizing that many non-profit organizations would expert usage of such a facility with little or no financial participation. In the absence of further comment, it was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that this matter be referred to the Parks & Recreation Commission for study and recommendation. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Noting several citizens within the Council Chambers interested in the annexation of the Coachman West Addition the Mayor moved this item up on the agenda so that these citizens might be heard without further delay. As an explanation of this proposed annexation this memo from BCOG Director Gilchrist was presented and read:

Bonn. Council of Gov.
P.O. Box 531
Idaho Falls, Idaho
June 28, 1973

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Rod Gilchrist
SUBJECT: ANNEXATION, FINAL PLAT, & INITIAL ZONING – COACHMAN WEST

Attached is a copy of the final plat, annexation agreement and the annexation ordinance for the proposed Coachman West Addition. This proposed subdivision is for the purpose of establishing a mobile home subdivision. The basic subdivision design conforms to the standard residential subdivision regulations and is proposed as a residential development where individual lots will be sold for the purpose of placing mobile homes on the property.

The requested zoning is MC (Mobile Home Court) except for the Broadway frontage for which the developers have requested HC-1 zoning. This proposal was reviewed by the City Planning Commission at a public hearing held April 10, 1973. At that time the Planning Commission recommended approval of the plat, annexation to the City and initial zoning of HC-1 and MC.

This Department concurs with the Planning Commission's recommendation.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

Mr. David Benton, engineer for the developer, appeared before the Council. He noted that the entire community was besieged with trailer houses and inadequate trailer locations and that this was equally a problem within the City and the County. He said that, particularly in the County, trailer courts and mobile homes are often times located without proper regard for utility service, especially sewage. He said that the Coachman West Addition, if annexed, would be a credit to the area in that regard. He said that all lots would be even larger than those normally used for single family residential purposes. He said that the developer planned proper screening and

landscaping and that the east lateral of the Great Western Canal would serve as an adequate buffer between this development and a near-by residential area. Benton continued by saying that the developer eventually planned, by the annexation of additional areas, to extend this development to Grandview but that, contrary to prevalent rumor, when that time came there would be only one 50' access right-of-way from Grandview into the development. Benton said the developers had worked

---

closely with the City Engineer and all proposed utilities, streets, etc. had been worked out to his satisfaction. Benton concluded his remarks by saying that the annexation agreement up for consideration this night, would prohibit more than one trailer on any given lot and that this restriction would also be a part of all sales agreements.

Councilman Wood asked what would happen if the City elected not to annex. Benton said that, even though the developers chose to be annexed, development could and probably would proceed if the area remained in this County. He said it would be necessary to drill a well and that City sewage would be more costly on an outside–the-City rate but that all utility services could be provided one way or another.

Mr. M.D. Carnes, 795 Sonja, appeared before the Council representing himself and other near-by neighbors. Mr. Carnes drew attention to the fact that an amendment to said zoning ordinance was being drafted and would be ready for Council consideration within a short period of time. He said there was many conflicts in the existing ordinance as pertains to developments of this nature and sited a few. He said he felt no feeling of security if this area were to be annexed for this intended purpose under the existing ordinance, inasmuch as there would be no protection that the developer would proceed as described or planned. Carnes questioned that there was adequate protection coverage under the annexation agreement. Carnes' primary concern centered around the development that would likely occur between now and the time the revised ordinance was passed, and that little could be done at that time about pre-existing development and/or use. Benton reappeared briefly and suggested that any development between now and the time the revised ordinance was passed would be of a minimal nature. He reminded Mr. Carnes that this, in its entirety, was destined to become a major development. He said there was little reason to assume that, in the relatively brief period being discussed, the developer would proceed in any manner that might kill any further development.

Councilman Hovey registered objection to the proposed annexation on the grounds of proposed use. He noted that, from a tax standpoint mobile homes would be mostly depreciated in five years and compared that to single dwellings where the assessed valuation would likely increase, thus adding to rather than detracting from the tax base. Benton countered this argument by saying that the developer would be completely responsible for the construction of curbs, gutters, streets, etc. and that as the development proceeded, the City would benefit from utility revenue.

Mr. Milton Adam, 216 West 14th Street, appeared and presented this written statement:

Mayor Pedersen and City Councilmen:

Of the several matters of concern relative to the Coachman West Addition mobile home development, these three are of particular attention.

1. Inadequacy of the present City Ordinance governing mobile home courts.
2. Protection of the residential quality of the mobile home court itself.
3. Unrealistic taxing structure on mobile homes.

Inadequacy of the present City Ordinance governing mobile home courts.

This matter is the primary concern and is getting the most attention by all parties concerned. I will not add other than concurrence with the majority feeling that the present ordinances are inadequate and of not really abet the intentions of wisely controlling mobile home courts.

Protection of the residential quality of the mobile home court itself.

JUNE 28, 1973

The size of the lots in the Coachman West Addition and the general intention of establishing a high quality mobile home development is commendable. If the City of Idaho Falls must allow new mobile home courts, then one as this should serve as the minimum quality acceptable. However, the City in turn owes protection to those who will establish homes in this development. This protection should, at a minimum, include buffer zoning to ensure the clean, quiet residential character of the development.

The area immediately to the south of the addition is zoned commercial – a condition that would not be allowed with a permanent-type residential area. If Idaho Falls must have mobile home courts, enhance their character and protect their quality from deteriorating effects of undesirable adjacent zoning.

Coachman West Addition should have a buffer strip between it and the present commercial zone.

Unrealistic taxing structure on mobile homes.

At present, mobile homes are taxed as personal property which is depreciated annually and not as real estate, which enjoys no such depreciation relief. As a result, a $10,000 mobile home will pay $185.00 tax the first year, $91.00 the fifth year, and an unbelievable $36.00 in the tenth year. Ask permanent-residence taxpayers if their tax bill reduces annually. Ask even a $10,000, 40 year-old-residence taxpayer what his taxes are each year.

Taxes are really the cost of providing services to a home tempered somewhat by the ability to pay, reflected in the value of the home being inhabited. The child living in a mobile home costs $650.00 a year to educate as does the child living in a permanent-type residence. Coachman West Addition will have 200 mobile homes. Assuming one child per mobile home, the yearly educational cost attributable to Coachman West Addition would be $130,000. Assuming fixed dollar costs and an average new value of $10,000, 10-12 years from now when the 200 mobile homes will have been depreciated, the total annual tax income from area would be just about $7,000;

State law now gives the County Assessor the prerogative to tax mobile homes on the same basis as permanent residences, i.e., as real estate. As a condition of annexation, the City should demand that the whole Coachman West Addition must be assessed as permanent residences.

If the City does not adopt the new mobile home court ordinance and does not demand permanent residence taxation for the mobile homes, then it is better for the people of Idaho Falls to not allow annexation of Coachman West Addition from the County. The addition would at least then have to adhere to the somewhat stricter County ordinance on mobile homes. All the children from the Coachman West Addition will attend Idaho Falls schools

regardless of annexation. Therefore, if it remained in the County, the entire educational cost load would have to be paid by the County to the City with County residents having to carry the burden rather than the City residents.

Under the present circumstances, the City is losing quite a bit by the inevitable establishment of a huge mobile home development on its border. Under the same unchanged conditions, the City will lose much, much more if it annexes that development.

JUNE 28, 1973

---

s/ Milton F. Adam
216 W. 14th Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

In answer to a question by Mr. Robert Drexler, 1893 Melody Drive, Mr. Benton said the buffer zone was included in the area to be annexed. Councilman Wood registered an opinion to the effect that the revised zoning ordinance would more than likely be passed before there is any substantial development within the Coachman West Addition. In the absence of further comment, it was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Gesas, that the Coachman West Addition final plat be accepted and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, one; carried. Councilman Hovey voting no.

In answer to a question by Councilman Erickson, City Attorney Smith said there was no way the revised ordinance could be enforced nor could the City, at this time, insist that the developer abide by the revised ordinance until it is passed by the City Council. Smith continued, however, by saying that the Council should weigh the alternative of having, eventually, to annex a partially developed area which might be substandard in quality.

Councilman Hovey registered disapproval that the Council, in this instance and others, is so often stampeded into action of this kind, allegedly in the interests of time. He said he felt that this annexation should be postponed until the revised ordinance is passed. Moreover, continued Hovey, he objected to action of this nature when it was obvious that affected residents had not been notified. In the absence of further comment, it was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that the Coachman West annexation agreement be accepted and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, two; carried. Councilmen Hovey and Erickson voting no.

ORDINANCE NO. 1363

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, 2; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, 2; carried. Councilmen Erickson and Hovey voting no.

It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that the Coachman West Addition be initially zoned in accordance with the recommendation in the foregoing memo and the Building Official be directed to incorporate said zoning on the official zoning map, located in his office. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, 2; carried. Councilmen Hovey and Erickson voting no.

It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Freeman, that the Planning Commission be asked and urged to proceed with utmost speed and minimum delay in the preparation of the revised ordinance. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

License applications for FIREWORKS, Jerold Buttars for 7-11 (2), Val Middleton for Fogg Drug and Hiway Drug, Lamont Howell for Monty's Food King and Broadway Food King, Gary

---

Davidson for Ammon 3rd Ward, Ray Best for Minit Market, Michael L. Lambs for Albertson's on 1st Street, Wayne Heaton for Holiday Market, Tucker Morishita, for Bowl-ero, Fumio Nukaya for Katz Pharmacy, Kenneth H. Tuck for I.F. Four by Four Club, J. Allen Charnock for Grand Central, Katz Nukaya for Skyline Lanes, Klane Anderson at 808 Saturn, Calvin Garcia for Skaggs Drug, Skippy Long for Skaggs Drug at Country Club Shopping Center; ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR, D. L. Contractors, Electrical Services, Inc.; JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICIAN, Elmer H. Scherbinski, David Paulk, F. Robert Wetherbee, Orval Fisl; APPRENTICE ELECTRICIAN, Timothy Wetherbee , John Gordon, George Marionvorh, Kenneth Carlson; CLASS D CONTRACTOR, Terry Scavone; SECOND HAND STORE, Ann Littleton; MOTEL, Larene Casper for Stardust Motor Lodge, Larene Bird for Motel West; DANCE HALL, Bon Villa Club; TAXI CAB OPERATORS, Sharon Flowers, Connie Huffaker, Ronald Hawk, Larry F. Wallace; BARTENDER, Charles W. Cheney, Norma Evans, Earl Don Garner, Della Rone, Lorraine L. Mans, Joanne Jo Carlson, W. L. Attebery, John Biebl, John C. Metcalf, Helen B. Clapp, were presented. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Freeman, that these licenses be granted, subject to the approval of the appropriate Division Director, where required. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The City Clerk presented damage claims from Skaggs Drug Center, Douglas and Vicky Lindsay and Odell and Mildred Hammer, and Jeffry Krantz, as follows:

Skaggs Drug Centers

98	Tape Refills	.16	$ 15.68
92	Tape Dispensers	.36	33.12
29	Paper Books	.26	7.54
323	Tape Dispensers	.36	116.28
366	Books	.26	95.16
129	Fuel Pumps	1.18	281.28
4	Patch Kits	.48	1.92
4	Cream Stick Swivels	1.35	5.40
24	Candy Mint	2.18	52.32
1	Carmel Gift Set	8.00	8.00
3	Rabbits	4.50	13.50
2	Waders	5.00	10.00
5	Portfolios	.09	.45
1	Health Food Book	140.71	140.71
2	Broilers	10.00	20.00
1	Presto Cooker	3.00	3.00
1	Electric Bean Pot	4.00	4.00
1	Presto Cooler	3.00	3.00
1	Proctor Silex Coffee Pot	5.00	5.00

1 Presto Heater	3.00	3.00
1 Vertical Broiler	3.00	3.00
1 Oster Electric Fondue	3.00	3.00
1 Cook Set	3.00	3.00
96 Hours Labor	1.70	160.00
1 Radio	75.00	75.00
15 Radio	5.00	75.00
10 Shot Gun Shells	2.73	27.30
10 Shot Gun Shells	2.40	24.00
		$1,223.20

JUNE 28, 1973

Holden, Holden, Kidwell,
Hahn and Crapo
June 11, 1973

Mr. Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Re: Claims of Douglas Lindsay, Vicki Lindsay, Odell Hammer, and Mildred Hammer

Dear Mr. Barnes:

We have enclosed on behalf of our clients, Douglas Lindsay, Vicki Lindsay, Odell Hammer, and Mildred Hammer, a Presentment of Claim against the City of Idaho Falls, arising from flooding of the claimants' property. This presentment of claim is made pursuant to the Idaho Tort Claims Act. Please communicate your response to the Claim as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,
s/ Robert E. Farnam

Holden, Holden, Kidwell,
Hahn and Crapo
June 11, 1973

Mr. Roy Barnes
City Clerk
308 C Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Mr. Barnes:

On March 13, 1973, our office filed a statement of claim with you on behalf of Jeffry O. Krantz. It now appears that the damages suffered by Mr. Krantz are substantially in excess of those set forth in our original claim. I am therefore enclosing an Amended Statement of Claim which I would ask that you present to the Mayor and City Council at the next meeting.

Thank you for you assistance.

Very truly yours,
s/ Terry L. Crapo

It was explained that, in the interests of time, these had previously been forwarded to the City's Insurance Adjustor for investigation and proper handling. It was moved by Councilman Karst, seconded by Hovey, that this action be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Purchasing Agent was presented:

JUNE 28, 1973

City of Idaho Falls
June 27, 1973

2 Three-Phase Transformers

Honorable Mayor and Councilmembers:

The Purchasing Department requests approval to advertise for bids for one 500 KVA 3 Phase Transformer and one 750 KVA 3 Phase Transformer. The units will be for Jack Thomas Feed & Grain and the K-Mart. Estimated total cost for both transformers is $8,000.00.

This recommendation subject to your approval.

s/ W. J. Skow
Purchasing Agent

It was moved by Councilman Hovey, seconded by Gesas, that authorization be granted to advertise for bids on the two transformers as described. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Another memo from the Purchasing Agent was submitted, as follows:

City of Idaho Falls
June 25, 1973

Roofing for the Recreation Center

Honorable Mayor and Councilmembers:

Tabulation of bids for 12,200 square feet of roofing for the Recreation Center is attached.

Evaluation of the bids received show Valley Roofing submitting the low bid of $3,175.60.

It is the recommendation of the Recreation Department and the Purchasing Department that the low bid be accepted.

This recommendation subject to your approval.

s/ W. J. Skow

Purchasing Agent

It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Erickson, that the low bid of Valley Roofing be accepted for re-roofing the Recreation Center. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

From the Public Works Director came this memo:

City of Idaho Falls
June 28, 1973

Honorable Mayor and City Council
Donald F. Lloyd, Director
Subject: Outside the City Water Service – Lords Auto Supply, Inc.

JUNE 28, 1973

I am attaching hereto a water service contract for outside the City limits in favor of Lords Auto Supply, Inc. This contract has been reviewed by the Public Works Committee and we are recommending that the Mayor be authorized to sign the City's approval.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Don F. Lloyd

It was moved by Councilman Gesas, seconded by Karst, that this outside-the-City water service contract be accepted and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Public Works Director was then presented and read:

City of Idaho Falls
June 28, 1973

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd, Director
SUBJECT: 1973 SEAL COATING

Two bids were received on June 19, 1973 for the seal coating of City streets and bid tabulation is attached. Burggraf Company submitted the low bid of 23.8¢ per square yard as compared with the Engineer's Estimate of 35¢ per square yard. In our experience this is an exceptionally low bid for seal coating and we would recommend that the contract be awarded to the low bidder, R.V. Burggraf Co.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Don

It was moved by Councilman Gesas, seconded by Karst, that the low bid of R.V. Burggraf Company be accepted for the seal coating project. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

From the Fire Chief, this memo was presented:

June 27, 1973

MEMORANDUM

TO: Councilmen James Freeman, & Paul Hovey, Fire Committee
FROM: Les Corcoran, Fire Chief
SUBJECT: ADDITION TO THE JOINT FIRE FIGHTING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY AND BONNEVILLE COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

We recommend that the additional sections shown on the attached sheet be incorporated into the "Supplement to Joint Agreement" that is now in effect between the City and the Fire Protection District.

If this meets with your approval, we ask that the Council authorize the Mayor to sign the revised agreement.

s/ Les Corcoran

JUNE 28, 1973

Supplement to Joint Agreement

It is recommended that the following sections be added to the Supplement to the Joint Agreement between the City of Idaho Falls and the Bonneville Fire Protection District #1.

9. When the district fire engine is out of service for repairs or service, the City agrees to lease them an engine at a rate of $3.00 per hour with a maximum of $25.00 for any 24 hour period.

10. The City Fire Department mechanic will conduct routine maintenance on District's Engine 1 and the tanker. The District will pay a charge of $7.50 per hour for actual labor involved plus parts at the City's cost.

    Routine maintenance will include oil changes, grease jobs, brake adjustments, wheel packs and tune ups.

11. (The existing Paragraph 9 will become Paragraph 11.)

It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Hovey that this proposal be referred to the Council Fire Committee for study and recommendation. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Mayor reappointed Richard Poitevin and Wally Burns to another 5-year term as members of the Board of Adjustment. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Erickson, that these reappointments be confirmed and that these men receive a vote of thanks from the Mayor and City Council for their dedicated service in this capacity. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Mayor then appointed Robert Koester to serve as a member of the Board of Adjustment as a replacement for Jerry Harker. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Gesas, that this appointment be confirmed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Mayor then appointed Mr. Jack Hill as a member of the Heating and Cooling Board as a replacement for Jack Hall who is now an employee of the City. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Erickson, that this appointment be confirmed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

A five-year lease was presented between Wayne C. Hammond and the City of Idaho Falls for the leasing of a City-owned 1930 vintage ladder truck from the Fire Department. It was moved by

Councilman Freeman, seconded by Erickson, that this lease be accepted and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none, carried.

Preparatory to introducing an Ordinance that would increase the salary of the Mayor to $18,000 a year, Councilman Karst said that this action, if met with the approval of the Council, must be accomplished at least 90 days before the Municipal Election. Karst continued by saying that there had been no change in the Mayor's salary since 1965 during which time all other full-time City employees had received annual upward adjustments. Karst then sited Mayors' salaries or combination Mayor-City Manager salaries for the four other largest cities within the State of Idaho and observed that Idaho Falls was considerably under the other four. Karst said that, in his opinion, this was a fair comparison, inasmuch as in this City, the Mayor served in the joint capacity of Mayor and City Manager. Karst then proceeded to introduce this Ordinance:

JUNE 28, 1973

ORDINANCE NO. 1364

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 1-7-1, CITY CODE OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO, BY INCREASING THE SALARY OF THE MAYOR OF SAID CITY, FIXING THE SAME AT $18,000 PER YEAR; PROVIDING WHEN THE ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE.

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Karst, seconded by Gesas, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Freeman, that the meeting adjourn at 10:30 p.m., carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
MAYOR

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *