

**OCTOBER 12, 1972**

---

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls met in a recessed regular meeting, Thursday, October 12<sup>th</sup>, 1972, at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers in Idaho Falls, Idaho. There were present at said meeting: Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen, Councilmen Gil Karst, Paul Hovey, Norris Gesas, Jim Freeman, Mel Erickson, and Jack Wood. Also present: Velma Chandler, Deputy City Clerk; Arthur Smith, City Attorney; Don Lloyd, Public Works Director; Bob Pollock, Police Chief; Rod Gilchrist, City Planner; Steve Harrison, Electrical Engineer; Paul Lundblade, Building Official.

Minutes of the last recessed regular meeting, held September 28<sup>th</sup>, 1972 were read and approved.

Noting from the agenda that Ritchie and Associates Manpower Scheduling proposal was to be considered this night and acknowledging many citizens within the Council Chambers interested in this matter, the Mayor introduced Councilman Karst, Chairman of the Fiscal Committee and invited him to present said proposal. Councilman Karst first explained why this firm was asked to perform the preliminary survey of all City operations at no cost to the City. He said it was generally recognized that the cost of City Government was steadily rising and, therefore, there was a need to reduce, as much and wherever possible, said cost without sacrifice to services rendered. Karst said that an outside impartial survey might reveal a new workable approach to increased efficiency and reduced costs. Karst noted that the City Council had recently received a report on the preliminary survey covering most areas of City operations and it was from said report that the proposal being considered this night was formulated.

Karst then referred specifically to the proposal, explaining that it contained four phases, A through D, revealing estimated annual savings to the City of \$591,000. He said the proposal, if accepted would cost a one time charge of \$196,500. He said this latter figure was based upon 53 man weeks required on the part of Ritchie and Associates at a cost of \$1,500 per week. He said the cost to the City was not contingent nor based upon savings to the City. Karst continued by saying that the proposal provided for the acceptance of one phase at a time. Karst said he intended to propose that only Phase A be considered at this time which would be limited to an analysis of the Public Works Division. He said projected annual savings on this phase was estimated at \$149,000 with City cost being in the amount of \$49,500. Karst then introduced the question, "What is the system?" He said it consisted of a measurement of the present work or services being performed and assignment of reasonable time values to the units of work being performed by the existing crews; constructing a system of scheduling the units of work with the management of crews to fit the amount of work to be performed, units of work being based upon reasonable expectancy; instructing supervisors at the lowest level how to schedule and how to evaluate in relation to the expected performance; implement a simple reporting system that would provide all levels of management with a means of judging results and the efficiency of labor use at various levels; installation of a system at each managerial level where work is performed. Karst explained, at this point, that the supervisor would be assisted in putting the system into operation and the attainment of practical scheduling of personnel to fit the work to be done and it would be his responsibility to see that the system was effectively followed in subsequent years. Karst emphasized that this was simply a system of managing people and applied on a practical, common-sense basis to properly utilize personnel in relation to the work load.

Karst then asked the question, "Does the system work?" He said commercial and service organizations within this community were presently using the system successfully and that the City Council had heard authoritative testimony to that effect. Karst said there might be a question in some minds as to what degree of success Ritchie and Associates has had in realizing for their clients the projected or estimated savings. He said two local business firms had reported savings in excess of the projected figure.

With reference to the reputation of Ritchie and Associates, Karst said both local business firms who had utilized their services had checked with other clients in other areas and had received

**OCTOBER 12, 1972**

---

repeated assurances that the system had not only been successfully installed but that substantial savings were effected.

Karst said that, in his opinion, the system in question is needed for several reasons. First, he said the cost of operating this City Government has continued and will continue to increase if for no other reason than inflationary pressure. He illustrated by saying that the salary budget alone, approximates \$3,500,000 or one-half the entire budget; second, said budget increase must be met in the most practical manner. He said there were several other alternatives, none of which would meet with public favor, such as a tax levy increase, reduction of personnel, elimination of new equipment, reduction of public works projects or a combination of all these; finally on the question as to why this system is needed, Karst said the Ritchie program contained proven value as indicated by their estimated savings of \$591,000 plus \$100,000 in payroll fringe savings for a total savings of \$691,000. He said this would provide a practical means of financing inflationary pressure for over three years without the sacrifices earlier mentioned and would allow the application of the savings to the present backlog of public works projects including the urban renewal program.

Karst then delved into the effect of the program after it was put into operation. He said there would be no reduction in services; there would be, instead, better utilization of personnel by the use of a controlled backlog of work levels off work loads. He said proper scheduling provides the means to properly predict when additions to the work force are needed due to seasonal fluctuations and at what point a reduction of crewing would be indicated. He said scheduling in this manner would, over a period of time, mean fewer personnel which would be brought about, in part by the reduction of seasonal workers, consolidation of jobs and attrition. Karst said he could foresee no immediate wholesale layoffs.

Karst continued by saying it would only be fair to ask the question, "Why can't this be accomplished without outside help?" He said this could best be answered by another question; namely "Why hasn't it been done sometime in the past?" He questioned that there was capable expertise in this field in every department to accomplish savings in the magnitude as estimated. He noted that the completed program would require 131 man weeks by the Ritchie Firm and that they were all experts in their field and that, even if the program were attacked and accomplished at the local level, the man hours in an attempt to accomplish the objective would be astronomical. He said he was not being critical of Division or Department Heads but rather, he was only facing the realistic fact that they hadn't been schooled in the fine art of scheduling. He said he had every confidence that, once properly instructed, these administrators would be capable of proficient application. He said this had been proven in other larger business enterprises. Summarizing, Councilman Karst said the Mayor and City Council must face the fact that this City is facing present and future inflation and that the most practical approach to the problem, in his opinion, should be to adopt the Ritchie proposal. He said the proposal permitted the City to adopt all or any portion of its phased provisions, thus trying it on for size, so to speak. Karst concluded his remarks by saying that he was recommending adoption of Phase A of the Ritchie & Associates proposal.

Asked by Councilman Wood, if cities had the legal right to contract with a firm engaged in this type of specialized enterprise, City Attorney Smith answered in the affirmative, cautioning, however, that during the contracting stage, legislative authority must not be delegated. Wood then registered concern about the fact that funds had not been budgeted for this program. Wood was reminded that there are certain uncommitted funds available for expenditures of this nature.

Councilman Erickson said he was not in agreement that Ritchie & Associates or any other similar firm sufficiently understood the operational nature of this City government to be effective and that, in his opinion, the Ritchie program was not in the best interests of the City. He said the City operation cannot be compared to private business whose board of directors are more or less permanent and, therefore, in a position to effectively administer such a program with reasonable assurance that all recommendations are properly and permanently applied. He said that,

OCTOBER 12, 1972

---

historically, the philosophies of City government change with the elected administrators. For this reason, continued Erickson, there would be a good chance that the cost of such a program might result in a direct loss to the City and this might have a bearing on the fact that Ritchie and Associates had never contracted with a municipality or any other type of governmental agency. Erickson continued by saying that this City has been and is financially sound and that generous services have been rendered its citizens with only slight increases in mill levy. He cited certain Idaho cities that had substantially higher mill levies than Idaho Falls and that this was, in large part, due to the fact that this City owns and operates its own utilities, investment in which has been and must continue to be carefully protected. Erickson registered concern about the possibility that the authority of the elected officials might be usurped by Ritchie & Associates. He said that he, as an elected official, would be questioning each facet of their program to make sure services rendered to the City were not adversely affected. Erickson continued by drawing attention to the fact that, during the preliminary survey, the Police and Fire Departments were not included and yet under Phase D, \$157,000 in annual savings was projected which would appear to be guess work. Erickson said that no such savings in these Departments could be realized without reducing manpower which, in his opinion, would be inadvisable. He said there had been no increase in the Firemen staff since 1965 except at the time of the fire bond issue which provided for another company to man a third station and the addition of sufficient men to man the ambulance service. As to the Police Department, Erickson said it would appear ill-advised to cut man power in this day and age of increasing crime. Erickson said the Police Chief had done a commendable job of initiating efficiency measures on a voluntary basis. Budgeting in March of 1973, continued Erickson, would pose a problem, due to the fact that estimated annual savings of \$591,000 was strictly conjecture.

Erickson then turned to the Public Works Division, covered in Phase A. He said that, in his opinion, efficiency measures are being practiced. For illustration, he cited minimum replacement for employees lost through attribution and also the fact that an equipment review board had been effectively established. Erickson then registered concern about garbage service and garbage trucks because of the recommendation that those be cut from 5 to 3 ½. He said Idaho Falls provides a unique service in its program for alley clean-up twice a year and that this is the kind of service that, once established, should be continued. Instead of accepting the Ritchie proposal, Erickson recommended training of Department Heads to school them in the managerial scheduling of their respective departments.

Councilman Karst responded by saying he did not mean to infer that the employees of this City were a mass of inefficiency. Instead, continued Karst, he was only proposing that, if additional efficiency could be accomplished through proper scheduling, the Council owes the taxpayers this savings. Karst said this proposal would not give Ritchie & Associates blanket authority, and in some instances, such as the Police and Fire Departments, he doubted that the projected savings, as stated, could be realized.

Councilman Freeman registered an opinion to the effect that, even though the concept had merit on the surface, even if said merit was limited to the fact that the Council's attention had been focused on this inflationary man power problem, it was otherwise inconceivable to him that savings could be accomplished as estimated, especially in certain departments such as those of the City Clerk, Police and Fire, without seriously jeopardizing services. He said at the onset, in his opinion, this entire proposal was a luxurious speculation which this City could not afford. Councilman Wood concurred and noted that this firm's recommendation was for a total reduction of 80 employees.

Councilman Hovey said that all participants in business are, to some degree, creatures of habit, whether it be in government or private enterprise. He said he had investigated this firm by personal contact with members of the board or administrators or other companies. Siting one, the

**OCTOBER 12, 1972**

---

Michigan Bell Telephone Company, he reported that Ritchie & Associates had contracted with this firm of 3500 employees about six years ago. He said foremen and superintendents were so trained, as a result, that significant savings were not only realized but that, in subsequent years, even greater savings were effected because of said training. Hovey continued by saying that, even though layoffs are unpopular, most businesses, today, are saddled with the serious proposition that employee related cost amounts to 80 to 90% of total expenses, resulting in a situation whereby business has many employees at the expense of inadequate material and equipment. Hovey said the City Council must consider the proven fact, by testimony, that the Ritchie program is effectively working with two large local firms. He said there is not sufficient expertise at the local level to properly conduct investigation and/or schooling for Department Heads on the relatively foreign subject of work scheduling. He said he meant no criticism of any Department Head in this regard but that, in effect, the City Council was operating a 1972 business with 1962 ability.

Mr. James Rock, 582 Cambridge Drive, appeared before the Council. He first commended all Councilmen on their high qualifications and dedication. On the other hand, continued Mr. Rock, Councilmen do represent the citizens and citizens have a right to be heard. He drew attention to the fact that Ritchie and Associates had projected annual savings of approximately \$600,000 compared to a \$2,400,000 salary budget which would be a ratio of 1 to 4. On the other hand continued Mr. Rock, the Ritchie firm would only be required to produce 1/3 of the savings figure indicated in their proposal to be entitled to their entire fee of \$200,000 with a net result of certain unemployed City employees vs. no savings to the City. Mr. Rock said he had no doubt that \$600,000 savings would be proposed and recommended. It only would leave a question as to how practical said recommendations might be. Mr. Rock continued by observing that Phase A would cover the least complicated division, inasmuch as it would be easier to define a work unit for maintenance than for police or fire service which cannot be defined with a stop watch. Mr. Rock said he would hazard a guess that if the Police and Fire Departments were included in Phase A the Council would be unanimous in their decision not to proceed. Mr. Rock said if the City Council actually felt that there was 25% fat in salary budget he would offer, as an alternate, that all Department Supervisors be directed to prepare an impact statement on what local citizens would be required to do without in the event of a 25% cut per department and then have said statement evaluated to determine feasibility. The net result would be comparable to the net result submitted by the Ritchie firm at \$200,000 savings to the City. Another alternate, continued Rock, would be to hire a City Manager and, even at an attractive annual salary of \$50,000, the City would have at least four years expertise instead of a one shot approach by a consulting firm. A City Manager could be released if he didn't produce; he would be a member of the local community and would therefore, acquire local loyalty; he would likely be a local taxpayer and spend his money locally. Rock advised that consulting firms should be considered only when there is a known problem area in a particular field and then hire a specialist in that field. Mr. Rock said that in his opinion, managing consultants have their place, especially in a production line-type business where the same task is repeated again and again and the City, generally, is not in that category.

Mr. William T. Holden, President of the local Chamber of Commerce, appeared to ask if the Ritchie firm had ever contracted with a municipality and was answered in the negative by the Mayor. Mr. Holden said that, in his opinion, this City should not be the victim of their initial experimentation.

Mr. Richard Poitevin, 180 Tautphaus Drive, appeared to ask if this firm's fee was comparable to the fee of other firms in a similar business and, if not known, the Council had an obligation to shop for a more competitive fee. Poitevin suggested that perhaps a closer look should be taken at the Chiefs of the City, so to speak, rather than the Indians.

**OCTOBER 12, 1972**

---

Other citizens appearing either for the purpose of protesting the Ritchie proposal or to express confidence in the local officials for handling the problem were: Mr. Vaughn Woolf, 634 Gladstone, Mr. Paul Anderson, 374 Dale Drive, Mr. Earl Brunt, 1569 Riviera Drive.

In answer to a question by City Attorney Smith, the Mayor pointed out that Ritchie & Associates would receive their fee, whether or not their recommendations were followed.

Councilman Hovey said this firm could not afford to do a half-job, inasmuch as their reputation was at stake. He said the Public Works Division was an ideal and a logical division with which to start, because many of that division's activities were similar to other businesses in the area. Hovey said if they didn't perform or deliver after Phase A, their services could always be discontinued. He said they were equipped to work with supervisors and instruct them as to the most efficient manner to get any given job accomplished and that the City Council was obligated to the taxpayers to proceed with this proposal.

Councilman Erickson said that, on the contrary, the Council and all other City officials should now make every effort, in the interests of all citizens, to so perform that savings might be effected at no outside cost to the City.

In the absence of further comment, the Mayor asked the City Clerk to present and read the following petition with 2312 additional signers. It was noted that this was over and above the petition which was presented at the last regular Council meeting on September 28<sup>th</sup>:

This is to petition against the hiring of Ritchie & Associates of Los Angeles in the Idaho Falls City government.

The Mayor directed the City Clerk to file this petition and make it a matter of record.

It was then moved by Councilman Karst, seconded by Hovey, that the proposal of Ritchie and Associates be accepted and that the City proceed with Phase A, subject to review of the contract, when presented, by the City Attorney. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Karst and Hovey; No, Councilmen Erickson, Wood, Gesas and Freeman. The Mayor declared the motion defeated.

The Mayor then commented to the effect that the decision made this night was all-important and complimented the members of the City Council on the serious thought that had been exerted on this issue. He particularly expressed appreciation to Councilmen Karst and Hovey for their courage in standing by their convictions in this regard. He said that, in his opinion, the Idaho Falls City Council is one of the most forward looking in the State and this could be accomplished by generally working in unity as a team. He said, even though tonight represented the exception rather than the rule, he knew his Councilmen well enough that he had every assurance there were no hurt feelings and that the team effort would continue in the future.

City Attorney Smith was then excused from the meeting.

The Mayor then declared a short recess to clear the Council Chambers of all those not interested in remaining for the balance of the Council meeting.

After the meeting was re-convened, Mr. Zane Hall appeared before the Council and presented a development plan for the Hall Park Addition. It was noted that this area had been previously annexed and zoned RSC-1 and the amended plat approved. Councilman Wood questioned the plan from the standpoint of inadequate landscaping. Mr. Hall said this matter had been considered and the plan provided for complete landscaping except for the canal bank. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Karst, that this development plan be accepted as presented, subject to final approval of the landscaping plan by the Building and Zoning Department. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none, carried.

Mr. A. E. Lackner appeared before the Council. Mr. Lackner explained that he was from Las Vegas, Nevada and was interested in buying residential property in this City and that it was his desire to establish a home occupation in the garage of said residence but that he needed assurance

**OCTOBER 12, 1972**

---

from the City Council that said home occupation would be permitted before he purchased the property. In this connection the City Clerk presented and read this explanatory memo from the Building Official:

City of Idaho Falls  
October 12, 1972

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Building and Zoning  
SUBJECT: REQUEST HOME OCCUPATION PERMIT

Mr. A.E. Lackner requests a home occupation permit to repair lawnmowers inside a proposed garage at 336 E. 16<sup>th</sup> Street in a R-1 zone.

This lot at the present time is vacant and will not be purchased until a home occupation permit is issued.

It is the recommendation of this office this appeal be denied because of the present weed problem on this vacant lot. The neighbors signed this appeal hoping to solve the weed problem by a new building, not realizing the sound and noise vibration problem they will create by permitting a repair shop immediately adjacent to their property.

This appeal, in our opinion, no way meets the requirements of a home occupation.

Respectfully permitted,  
s/ Paul Lundblade  
Building Official

Mr. Lackner explained that the weed problem would be automatically corrected when the property was developed. He agreed to attach the proposed garage to the house so that it would qualify under the home occupation provision of the code and that said garage would be entirely enclosed with proper ventilation to satisfy the noise and fume problems. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Karst, that this home occupation within this proposed home be granted, subject to final approval by the Building and Zoning Department to ascertain that all things agreed to this night be done in accordance with said agreement. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Bills for the month of September, 1972, were presented. The City Clerk read aloud all fund totals for salaries, materials, and services, as follows:

<b><u>FUND</u></b>	<b><u>SERVICES &amp; MATERIALS</u></b>	<b><u>GROSS PAYROLL</u></b>	<b><u>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</u></b>
General Fund	\$66,495.111	\$212,413.98	\$278,909.09
Street Fund	12,629.41	19,303.70	31,933.00
Airport Fund	5,621.58	6,172.70	11,794.28
Water and Sewer Fund	30,004.84	20,277.16	50,282.00

**OCTOBER 12, 1972**

---

Electric Light Fund	104,392.22	65,020.05	169,412.27
Fire Fund	9,620.48	80,619.60	90,240.08
Recreation Fund	7,903.29	4,949.80	12,853.09
Police Retirement	.00	2,429.75	2,429.75
<b><u>TOTAL FUNDS</u></b>	<b><u>\$236,666.93</u></b>	<b><u>\$411,186.63</u></b>	<b><u>\$647,853.56</u></b>

Councilman Karst reviewed all major expenditures. It was moved by Councilman Karst, seconded by Erickson, that the bills be allowed and the Controller be authorized to issue warrants on the various funds for their payment. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Reports from Division and Department Heads were presented for the month of September, 1972, and there being no questions nor objections, were accepted by the Mayor and ordered placed on file in the office of the City Clerk.

License applications for PHOTOGRAPHER, Christopher A Parsons with G.A.W. Color Studios, Inc.; ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR, James Waite for Jim Waite Electric, Glayde Hill for Century Electric; JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICIAN, James Waite; APPRENTICE ELECTRICIAN, w. David Eagor with Priest Electric; CLASS D APPRENTICE GAS FITTER, Robert B. Cutler with Sage Heating; CAB DRIVERS, Rod Swearingen, Paul H. Flagler, Paul Talbot, Lawrence Hunt, David Schnuckel, Betty Hawkins, Barry Hawks, all with Valley Cab Company; BARTENDER, Earl J. Wachner, Arthur Herrera, Clyde Johnston, Paul Olsen, Harry E. Ragan, were presented. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that these licenses be granted, subject to the approval of the appropriate Division Director, where required. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The City Clerk presented an application for a cab driver's license in the name of Stanley Lords, carrying a recommendation from the Police Chief for denial. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that this recommendation be upheld and the license denied. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none, carried.

This memo from the Public Works Director was presented:

City of Idaho Falls  
October 12, 1972

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd, Director  
SUBJECT: WATER MAIN INSTALLATION - WELLS 9 & 10 , NORTH

We have completed design and specifications for a water main installation from Wells No. 9 & 10 North and received approval from HUD to advertise. This is the last project to be installed under the first phase of the Water System Improvements. We are requesting authorization for the City Clerk to advertise.

Respectfully submitted,  
s/ Don

It was moved by Councilman Gesas, seconded by Karst, that authorization be granted to advertise for bids on the SE Bonneville Drive water main. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Purchasing Department was submitted, as follows:

**OCTOBER 12, 1972**

---

City of Idaho Falls  
October 11, 1972

Cast Iron Water Pipe and Fittings

Honorable Mayor and Councilmembers:

Tabulation of bids for cast iron water pipe and fittings is attached.

Evaluation of bids received show Waterworks Equipment Co. of Salt Lake City, Utah submitting the low bid of \$11,135.60. Pipe and fittings to be used for Poulsen Street Water Line and Leslie Avenue Water Line.

It is the recommendation of the Water Department and the Purchasing Department that the low bid be accepted.

This recommendation subject to your approval.

s/ W. J. Skow  
Purchasing Department

It was moved by Councilman Gesas, seconded by Karst, that the low bid of Waterworks Equipment Company be accepted for this pipe and these fittings. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Finally, from the Purchasing Department, this memo was forthcoming:

City of Idaho Falls  
October 11, 1972

500 KVA 3 Phase Padmount Transformer

Honorable Mayor and Councilmembers:

Tabulation of bids for one 500 KVA 3 Phase Padmount transformer is attached.

Evaluation of bids received show Westinghouse Electric Supply Co. of Salt Lake City, Utah, submitting the low bid of \$3279.00. Transformer to be installed for the new Albertson Store in the Central Shopping Center.

It is the recommendation of the Electric Light Division and the Purchasing Department that the low bid be accepted.

This recommendation subject to your approval.

s/ W. J. Skow  
Purchasing Department

It was moved by Councilman Hovey, seconded by Gesas, that the Westinghouse Electric Supply bid be accepted for the transformer as described. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

From the City Treasurer came this memo:

OCTOBER 12, 1972

---

City of Idaho Falls  
October 5, 1972

CITY TREASURER

Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen and City Council

Gentlemen:

Attached please find a copy of a letter from William F. Rigby, Manager of the new Valley Bank asking for an account for the City of Idaho Falls.

In the Idaho Falls City Code, Title I, Chapter 5, Section 1-6-1: the designated depositories are the Idaho First National Bank, the First Security Bank of Idaho, the Bank of Idaho and the Idaho Bank of Commerce.

I trust that it will take Council action and a change on the Code to open this account. If you see it fit to do this, please advise me as to your wishes.

Very truly yours,  
s/ Lorna Coughlin  
City Treasurer

It was moved by Councilman Karst, seconded by Hovey, that the City Attorney be directed to prepare an appropriate ordinance for Council consideration. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Building Official was presented:

City of Idaho Falls  
October 12, 1972

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Building and Zoning  
SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR VARIANCE

Request a variance for a split shake mansard type roof on the Hunter Saucerman Construction Company Building at 875 Milligan Road as required by the Mayor and Council when the roof pitch is less than 60°.

It is the recommendation of this office that this appeal be approved.

Respectfully submitted,  
s/ Paul Lundblade  
Building Official

It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that this variance be granted. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

**OCTOBER 12, 1972**

---

From the Public Works Director came this memo:

City of Idaho Falls  
October 12, 1972

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd, P.E.  
SUBJECT: WATER SERVICE OUTSIDE THE CITY LIMITS – MR. MC CRANE

We have received a request from Mr. McCrane for water service outside the city limits. This request is for a resident located on the west side of Rollandet just across the street from the Tautphaus Park west entrance. We can see no objection to this request and ask the Mayor and City Clerk to sign.

s/ Don F. Lloyd

It was moved by Councilman Gesas, seconded by Karst, that this outside the City water service contract be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

A second amended plat of the Fairmont Park Addition was presented. It was noted that this amendment was occasioned for the purpose of consolidating several small lots into two large lots. It was also noted that this carried a recommendation for approval by the Planning Commission. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that this plat be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Another plat was presented, this being the final plat of the Hemmert Avenue re-plat. It was noted that said plat provided for the realignment of Hemmert Avenue at its intersection with the Yellowstone Highway and also for vacation of some previously dedicated street right of way and additional dedication for realignment. It was further noted that this carried a recommendation for approval by the Planning Commission. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that this plat be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

In anticipation of annexation, a final plat was presented covering the Murphy Addition, Division #1. After some study it was generally agreed that the plat was acceptable, providing curb cuts be limited to one and that lights, when installed, be properly shielded. The Building Official was directed to assume the responsibility to see that these were accomplished before any building permits were issued within this development. With that understanding, then, it was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that this plat be accepted and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

**ORDINANCE NO. 1345**

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO (MURPHY ADDITION, DIVISION #1)

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE

**OCTOBER 12, 1972**

---

READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

With regards to the foregoing annexation, it was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Karst, that this area be initially zone HC-1 and the Building Official be directed to incorporate said zoning on the official zoning map, located in his office. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Councilman Hovey presented a proposition whereby the City would purchase two residential electrical service customers from Utah Power & Light in the amount of \$724.78, said customers being located on 17<sup>th</sup> Street, west of the Church Farm Road. It was moved by Councilman Hovey, seconded by Gesas, that this purchase be authorized. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Councilman Hovey then presented an agreement between the City and Breeder Reactor Corporation for LMFBR research and development. It was moved by Councilman Hovey, seconded by Gesas, that this agreement be accepted, the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign, the Controller be authorized to make payment in the amount of \$5290 according to the terms of the agreement, all of this subject to final approval by the City Attorney as to proper legal form. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Wood, that the meeting adjourn at 10:25 P.M., carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes  
CITY CLERK

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen  
MAYOR

\*\*\*\*\*