

DECEMBER 9, 1971

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls, County of Bonneville, State of Idaho, met in a recessed regular meeting on Thursday, the 9th day of December, 1971, at the hour of 7:30 o'clock p.m., at the City Council Chambers in the City Hall in the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho; due and legal notice of said meeting having been given as required by law and the rules and ordinances of the City.

On roll call the following members, constituting a quorum, were present: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk; Arthur Smith, City Attorney; Rod Gilchrist, City Planner; Lorna Coughlin, City Treasurer; Don Lloyd, Public Works Director; Robert Pollock, Police Chief.

Minutes of the last recessed, regular meeting, held November 23rd and a special meeting held December 7th, 1971 were read and approved.

The Mayor recognized Councilmen-elect Gesas and Karst in the Council Chambers and thanked them for their presence and their interest.

The Mayor announced that this was the time and the place for a public hearing, as advertised, to hear and consider all objections and other comments relative to the Assessment Roll for L.I.D. #44. The Mayor explained that districts of this nature are not, in its entirety, initiated by the City. On the contrary, most of the proposed improvements, whether they be streets, sidewalks or alleys have been requested by property owners. The Mayor explained, further, that virtually this same project was planned in 1969, was, in fact, created and construction bids opened. However, due to the fact that bids received were high, plus the fact that they were received at a time non-conducive to construction, all bids were rejected. The Mayor concluded his remarks by saying that, with certain boundary revisions, then, L.I.D. #44 is an outgrowth of L.I.D. #39. The Mayor said he felt all interested parties were entitled to this explanation in view of the fact that they had received what would appear to be duplicate notices. The Mayor then invited Councilman Nelson, as Chairman of the Public Works Committee, to chair the hearing. Councilman Nelson first asked the City Clerk to present and read aloud all written protests, as follows:

158 North Ridge
Idaho Falls, Idaho
November 24, 1971

Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Mr. Barnes:

This is to file my objection to Assessment #153 which has been calculated in the amount of \$243.77. I feel that the cost is too high for what benefits we would derive from a paved alley.

Respectfully,
s/ Robert E. Brown

Dear Sir:

In reply to Assessment #151, we feel we have been over assessed. We have no property whatsoever on the alley which is to be "improved". Before paying any amount, we want to know the relationship of our assessment to the others who do have property on the alley.

DECEMBER 9, 1971

We suppose that certain businesses have proposed this action to increase their business. If this be the case, then why don't they pave the alley? We see no benefit to the residences. It is just going to increase traffic there.

Thank you,
s/ Ric Davies
441 9th Street

P.S. We are sorry if this letter may arrive a little late. We did not receive your letter until the 23rd of November.

December 7, 1971

Mr. Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls, Idaho

SUBJECT: LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT 44, ASSESSMENT #226

The subject assessment is on my property located on South Skyline Drive at the corner of Market Road. I have two objections to the assessment; first the method of calculating the assessment on my property and secondly, the cost relative to the sidewalk.

My first objection is due to the assessment being calculated using the side dimension of my lot as frontage. My house faces south and therefore fronts on Market Road, not on South Skyline Drive. It is my understanding that the normal method for calculating assessments on corner lots is based on the frontage of a lot plus certain percentages for the side. I believe that the normal method for calculating assessments for corner lots should be used on my property.

My second objection is based on the cost to me for a six (6) foot sidewalk. I understand that in a R-1 zone that a five foot sidewalk is the normal requirement. I have no objection to a six foot sidewalk as such except that I believe it is unfair to assess me for the cost of any sidewalk in excess of the five feet width. Further justification for my request is the fact that all existing sidewalks on Skyline Drive are five foot.

I have discussed these objections with Mr. Ed Turner of the City of Idaho Falls Engineering Department on an informal basis. This written objection is submitted in conformance with your letter (Notice of time to file objections to Assessment Roll Of Local Improvement District No. 44 of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho. No date on letter).

Yours very truly,
s/ Richard W. Decker

Ferebauer & Whyte
December 7, 1971

DECEMBER 9, 1971

Roy C. Barnes
City of Idaho Falls
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho

RE: LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #44
Lawrence Fager
1379 East 17th Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Mr. Barnes:

On behalf of Mr. Lawrence Fager, I file herewith an objection to the assessment by the City on their Assessment Roll which would cover Assessment No. 252.

Mr. Fager deeded fifty feet of property so that 16th Street might be extended to aid the then new Theresa Bunker School. It is Mr. Fager's recollection that the Building Official, Mr. Harris, agreed that the sewer, water, and street covering would be emplaced by the City at no expense to Mr. Fager because of his gratuitous transfer of the property.

Very truly yours,
s/ Lawrence Fager
By: s/ Thomas C. Whyte

City of Idaho Falls

I object to any part of this assessment because my property is on Sage Avenue, 1360.

Arland Horace Fell
Assessment #193

Idaho Falls, Idaho
December 9, 1971

To the Honorable Mayor and City Councilmen
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sirs:

I am writing this letter to protest paying an assessment on paving the alley between Walnut & Maple on the 100 block south. My property does not touch the alley at any point. I do not have any access to the alley in any way. I have to put my garbage out on the street in front of my home on Water Avenue, and have been doing this for nearly twenty years as I was told by the City Street Department when I bought my place that I had no access to the alley and now, I feel it is very unjust to have to pay an assessment to have it paved.

DECEMBER 9, 1971

Thank you for your kind attention on this matter and for any consideration your might have.

Yours very truly,
s/ Mary Haddon

Harman's Day-Nite
Lauder Center
November 27, 1971

Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk Office
Idaho Falls, Idaho

To whom it may concern:

Subject: Objection to Assessment Roll of Local Improvement District No. 44 of the City
 of Idaho Falls, Idaho and Assessment #131.

This is to give notice in regard to the said assessment. I am in favor of the alley being improved, but I do personally believe the calculated assessment is ridiculous and MUCH TOO HIGH, and out of line with the prevalent cost of construction.

s/ Ora Harman

Idaho Falls, Idaho
November 29, 1971

Gentlemen:

We are writing this letter to object being included in this improvement district. We have protested this same improvement twice in the past. We have no access to this alley whatsoever. We place our garbage on the front lawn for collection. This improvement would not benefit us in any way. We have a letter from the office of the Mayor stating that our protest was considered valid and that our property had been removed from this Local Improvement District. How many times must we protest on the same improvement?

Sincerely,
s/ Mr. & Mrs. Robert
Humberger

City of Idaho Falls

Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

Dear Mr. Barnes:

DECEMBER 9, 1971

This is a letter of protest against your Assessment #281. We do not understand why you expect us to pay a bill that is not ours.

Very truly yours,
s/ John M. Judy

Idaho Falls, Idaho
November 27, 1971

TO: Mr. Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls, Idaho

I am writing this letter in objection, to protest, the Roll Of Local Improvement District No. 44 of which my assessment is \$740.28.

The Assessment No. 28:

Mr. Barnes, I am a widow, eighty-one (81) years old, and I do not have a large income. It would just be impossible for me to pay that amount. I would be compelled to sell my home.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Mr. Ruby Lords
694 E. Whittier Street

Idaho Falls, Idaho
December 7, 1971

Per Assessment Dist. No. 44

Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk of Idaho Falls, Idaho

Mr. Barnes:

This is to notify you that we object to our part of the assessment of Dist. 44. \$324.50. For the reason it is costing too much money for the size of our part.

Thank you,
s/ Clarence G. Munsee
129 9th Street

Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen
City Building
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Mayor Pedersen:

DECEMBER 9, 1971

We have recently been advised that the alley behind our home at 135 N. Placer is to be paved as a part of L.I.D. No. 44. This is to be done at a proposed cost of over \$450.00 to us.

We wish to enter our vigorous protest to this paving project for the following reasons:

- (1) This alley is presently a perfectly adequate all weather gravel alley.
- (2) It is impossible for us to see that benefits commensurate with costs will be achieved by either the residents of this block or by the City.

We have lived in our present residence at 135 N. Placer for twenty years and we have had greatest respect for Idaho Falls City Management. This has been particularly true during your administration. It's therefore difficult for us to see how a project of this type has approached as near to implementation as this one has.

In these days of rising costs in municipal administration and increasing taxes in all areas it's impossible for us to understand how municipal resources can be wasted in paving over an adequate graveled alley. Collection from us of \$450.00 through the L.I.D. saps our financial resources as taxpayers as surely as an increased tax rate.

We, quite frankly, would much prefer to keep our present alley surfacing and to have our \$450.00 spent wisely on real civic needs.

Please understand, we are proud of our City and we don't object to spending \$450.00 to improve our City. We do object to squandering this much money on an "improvement" project that we neither want nor need. We'd very much appreciate any thing that you can do personally to bring a stop to this wasteful and unnecessary part of L.I.D. #44.

Very truly yours,
s/ Anna O. Nertney
135 N. Placer

December 7, 1971
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Subject: Assessment #161 Amount \$564.75 L.I.D. #44

Dear Sir:

In accordance with the Notice of Time to File Objections to Assessment, I hereby object to the assessment. I do not believe that the paving of the alley is desirable, necessary nor equitable in cost. I believe the paving of the alley will turn it into a thorough-fare making it dangerous

DECEMBER 9, 1971

for children passing through. Further I believe that paving all the alley will allow the commercial business which is established in our block to use this alley as a thorough-fare and will make it hazardous for the residents of our block.

I. Therefore, strongly object to the assessment as shown and urge that this L.I.D. be cancelled.

Very truly yours,
s/ J. Keith Ormond
197 N. Placer

Mayor Pedersen
Members of the City Council:

This is a request to change the amount of the street assessment in front of Lots # 1, 2, and 3, Block 2 of the David Smith Addition.

First, I'd like to say that we are in accord with this improvement district that will help our City overall. Specifically, we're pleased to see this main thoroughfare, Skyline Drive improved. However, my wife and I have one valid objection. We only want to pay for one half of the new street. No more. No less.

Our assessment statement from the City asks a great deal more. The problem in question involves the street in front of the above mentioned Lots, One, Two and Three, Block Two of the David Smith Addition, these face South Skyline Drive.

We had the new street (Skyline) measured and it measures thirty feet six inches. We feel we should be assessed for fifteen feet three inches, which is obviously one half. Our assessment statement from the City asks us to pay for twenty two and one half feet, which amounts to two thirds assessment, not fifty percent. We ask the City Councilmembers that this adjustment be made.

Thank you,
s/ Eloise & Thurman
Peterson

TO: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk
SUBJECT: Assessment Roll of Local Improvement District #44

My first objection to the above assessment is the calculated amount. My assessment number is 225. The calculated amount is \$5,072.54. I believe this to be a little steep.

I also object to the installation of a 6' sidewalk instead of a 5' sidewalk. I would not object to the 6' sidewalk if the City wants to assume the cost of the extra foot of sidewalk. But I do not feel I should be assessed for this extra foot at .75 per foot when 5' sidewalks have seemed to be adequate thru the rest of the Skyline area. I feel this is why my calculated assessment amount is so high.

DECEMBER 9, 1971

s/ Kenneth E. Rowland
Rt. #4, Box 148
Idaho Falls, Idaho

ATTN: Roy Barnes
FROM: Joe Laird
SUBJECT: L.I.D. #44

I have received a request from Mrs. Owen Brunson, Route 4, Box 292D, that an official protest be entered against L.I.D. #44 on behalf of her mother, Otilie Seiler, 142 N. Ridge, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Otilie Seiler is the owner of Lot 4, Block 43, Original Town Site, Assessment #43 (our Assessment Roll lists Richard Smith, 159 Taylor, Idaho Falls, as the recorded owner) and protests against said L.I.D. #44 on the grounds that it is of no value to her and that she is not financially capable of making the L.I.D. payments.

This protest would be against the alley paving in Block 43, Original Town Site, which is the block bounded by Elm Street, Placer Avenue, Walnut Street and Ridge Avenue.

s/ Joseph Laird

November 23, 1971

Gentlemen:

I feel the above amount of assessment of \$324.50 is too much. I have not been able to work due to two knee operations. I had four the last 4 years and have to have one more after the first of the year. My income has been very little and a lot of doctor bills. My wife also had two operations in the last two years. Our expenses have been very heavy. If possible could this amount be reduced to whatever you think would be fair under these circumstances. I would appreciate it very much if this could be done.

Sincerely thanking you,
s/ Thomas I. Sloan

December 7, 1971

In reply to Local Improvement District No. 44, City of Idaho Falls, I want to let you know I am strongly against having the alley between 9th and 10th Streets paved. I never use the alley; second, I am not financially able to pay the assessment that has been calculated; third, what good would a pavement do with all the junk and litter that is scattered in this alley. I say make everyone keep their part litter-free and allow no junk piling in alley.

I also understand I am assessed much higher than other property owners with the same size lot. Why that?

DECEMBER 9, 1971

s/ Kate Young
152 9th Street

Nelson noted that the purpose of this hearing was not to protest creation of the district, inasmuch as said district had actually been created and some construction work had even been accomplished. Instead, he explained that this hearing was only for the purpose of considering those protests who feel their assessment, as calculated, had been computed in error for one reason or another. Nelson then invited comment from the floor.

Mr. Cecil Stalker, 660 Whittier, appeared before the Council to ask the amount of engineering costs of this district. He said he had been advised they were in the amount of \$257,000.00 which he considered exorbitant. It was explained what that figure represented, approximately, the total cost of the district including engineering. The breakdown figure just for engineering was not readily available.

Mr. Keith Kenny, 635 East College, appeared to ask why he was being assessed for improvement of a street that does not front on his property. It was explained to him by the City Engineer that his was an end assessment for the improvement of a side street about one half block away. Mr. Kenny protested this assessment on the grounds that his property would not benefit and, further, on the grounds that this assessing procedure was neither fair nor equitable. Asked for comment, City Attorney Smith informed Mr. Kenny that end assessments on a graduated scale of 50% for the first quarter of the half block, 25% for the next quarter, 15% for the third quarter and 10% for the final quarter is a proven formula and permitted by State Law. He said it is the most commonly accepted manner of assessment for the improvement of side streets within a one-half block limit. Smith continued by saying that if property is within one-half block of a block of a side street, some benefit is derived in the eyes of the law and that benefit is considered to be in direct relation to the distance from the proposed improvement. Smith concluded his remarks by saying this State Statute has been on the books for many years.

Mr. Kenny then drew attention to a particular distance where the owner of two lots is being assessed more than another owner of three lots. The City Engineer said if specific details were submitted to his department, this matter would be investigated. Mr. Kenny then asked why all of the unimproved streets in his area are not included in this district. Nelson answered by explaining that this would be prohibitive because of cost, particularly on the part of City participation.

Mr. Arthur Montague, 679 College, appeared to protest his assessment on the grounds that this as well as other assessments and continually higher property taxes was fast placing more obligation on his property than it was worth. Montague then drew attention to an alleged engineering error when the storm sewer was being installed under L.I.D. No. 40, resulting in a situation whereby he found it necessary to bring in fill dirt at a cost of \$100.00 and a retaining wall may even be necessary. The Mayor assured Mr. Montague this would be investigated.

Mr. Kenneth Rowland, Market Road, owner of property within the South Skyline area appeared before the Council. It is to be noted that Mr. Rowland was the author of one of the foregoing written protests. Mr. Rowland reminded the Council that his assessment is about \$2,000 higher than when it was originally figured. He said this appeared exorbitant. He otherwise referred to his written assessment and said he had proposed certain less expensive alternates which he hoped would be considered.

Mr. Robert Bybee, representing the Elks Club, appeared to ask if petitions representing 100% of affected property owners were necessary before a given improvement was included in an improvement district and was answered in the negative by Councilman Nelson. In fact, continued

DECEMBER 9, 1971

Nelson the Council would be within their rights to include areas in any given district without any petitions or formal requests, but that this would be only under unusual circumstances and where it was deemed to be in the best interest of the public.

Mr. Stalker reappeared to ask when the City would accept cash payment and was answered to the effect that, at the proper time, a public announcement and legal notice on this matter would be made by the City Treasurer.

In answer to a question by an unidentified citizen, the City Treasurer explained that the assessments would be in 15 annual installments but that, any time during that period the unpaid balance could be paid off and the only penalty would be one year's interest.

Several written objections to the Assessment Roll Of Local Improvement District No. 44 having been filed, and the City Council having considered said written objections to said Assessment Roll, the adoption of the following Resolution was moved, seconded and unanimously passed, to-wit:

(Resolution No. 1971-40)

“RESOLVED: THAT THE CITY COUNCIL TAKE UNDER ADVISEMENT ALL THE OBJECTIONS TO THE ASSESSMENT ROLL OF LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 44, AND RENDER A DECISION ON SAID OBJECTIONS AT A FUTURE MEETING.”

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
Mayor

At this point and by invitation of the Mayor, Councilman Nelson introduced his son Joe to all City Officials around the Council Table. The Mayor thanked Joe for his presence and his interest.

The Mayor announced that this was the time and the place for a public hearing, as advertised, to consider a rezoning request by the Groberg interests on the following described property:

Lots 4 through 13, Block 3, Fairmont Park Division #1
Lots 5 through 11, Block 1, Fairmont Park Division #2
Lots 2 through 9, Block 7, Fairmont Park Division #2

It was learned that this request for R-3 and R-3A zoning was prompted because it was the intention to construct a condominium complex. Mr. DeVerl Bateman, 1467 Stanger, appeared before the Council and said it would appear the legal advertisement carried the wrong legal description and, in fact, included his residential property which was several blocks from the proposed condominium project. In view of this development, it was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Parish, that this matter be referred back to the Planning Department and the Planning Committee for investigation and further study. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Bills for the month of November, 1971, having been properly audited by the Fiscal Committee, were presented in caption form as follows:

DECEMBER 9, 1971

<u>FUND</u>	<u>GROSS PAYROLL</u>	<u>SERVICES & MATERIALS</u>	<u>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</u>
General Fund	\$141,597.19	\$84,533.43	\$226,130.62
Fire Bonds	50,444.64	6,759.41	57,204.05
Water & Sewer Fund	13,566.92	43,500.02	57,066.94
Electric Light Fund	40,976.11	140,978.36	181,954.47
Recreation Fund	1,301.43	505.25	1806.68
Police Retirement	2,547.25	.00	2,547.25
<u>TOTAL FUNDS</u>	<u>\$250,433.54</u>	<u>\$276,276.47</u>	<u>\$526,710.01</u>

It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Freeman, that the bills be allowed and the Controller be authorized to issue warrants on the respective funds for their payment. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Reports from Division and Department Heads were presented for the month of November, 1971, and, there being no objections were accepted by the Mayor and ordered placed on file in the office of the City Clerk.

License applications for GROCERY STORE, Vern Kelsch for Okay Saving Center, Northgate Savings Center, Memorial Drive Saving Center, Acie J. Wood for Highland I.G.A. Market, Murphy's Market by John R. Christensen, Bert H. Armstrong for Buttrey's, Don Jones for Village Market, Oscar Matson for Matson's; RESTAURANT, B.P.O.E. 1087 by Martel Orme, Robert Landini for J.J. Newberry Co., Jack Magnusson for Scotty's Drive-In, Inc.; ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR, D. H. Fannesbeck for Baker-Fannesbeck, Inc.; JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICIAN, D. H. Fannesbeck; APPRENTICE ELECTRICIAN, David Luthy with LOC Electric, Wayne L. Munson with Baker-Fannesbeck; CLASS D CONTRACTOR, REFRIGERATION, Doyle Founds with Baker-Fannesbeck Inc.; CLASS D JOURNEYMAN, WARM AIR HEATING, Wilford Wilcox; CLASS C JOURNEYMAN, GAS FITTING & WARM AIR HEAT, Elbert M. Willis; JOURNEYMAN PLUMBER, Mark Goyen; BOWLING ALLEY, B.P.O.E. Elks 1087 by Martell Orme; TAXI CAB, Larry Burtenshaw for Valley Cab. Co.; PHOTOGRAPHY, Brad Wrigley with B. & W. Photographs; DANCE HALL, B.P.O.E. 1087 by Martell Orme, C.B. McNeil for Bon Villa Club; HOTEL, Janet Fletcher for Miles Hotel; TAXI CAB OPERATOR, Rick Wheeler, Byrle Moore, Robert Benjamin, Bill Mahoney, Steven Edmiston, Robert N. Southern, Jr., Daryl Nystrom; BARTENDER, Ritch Heuer, Jessie E. Funk, Morris Jenkins, Verla Staples, Ramona Prophet; BEER, CANNED & BOTTLED, NOT TO BE CONSUMED ON THE PREMISES, Eleanor Jackson for El Rancho Motel & Service, Vern Kelsch for Okay Saving Center, Northgate Saving Center, and Memorial Drive Saving Center, Acie J. Wood for Highland I.G.A. Market, John Christensen for Murphy's Market, Don Jones for Village Market, Bert H. Armstrong for Buttrey's; BEER, CANNED & BOTTLED, TO BE CONSUMED ON THE PREMISES, Leola Boylan for Hawaiian; BEER, CANNED, BOTTLED & DRAUGHT TO BE CONSUMED ON THE PREMISES, Oscar Matson for Matson's Service, Lloyd L. Brown for Eagles Lodge, C.B. McNeill for Bon Villa Club, Ray Metcalf for Turf Bar, George McKissick for Grand Bar, Patrick J. Boylan for Shamrock, John Sharp, Attorney for Red Fox, Inc., Martel Orme for Elks, Melvin Haeger for One-Ninety-One Club, Raymond Klouitter for Dixie's Bar, Ky Nii for Hollywood Bowl, Del W. Pack for Westbank Coffee Shop & Lounge, were presented. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that these licenses be granted, subject to the approval of the appropriate Division Director, where required. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

DECEMBER 9, 1971

The City Clerk drew attention to the fact that the Council, on November 30th, had informally approved a lease between the City and the Community Re-development Commission on a parking lot within the urban renewal area. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that this action be ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none, carried.

The City Clerk drew attention to the fact that the Council, on November 30th, had informally approved a lease between the City and the Community Re-development Commission on a parking lot within the urban renewal area. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that this action be ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Also, on November 30th, the Council, at an informal meeting, authorized the advertising of bids for construction of a maintenance and storage building at the Airport. It was noted that even though this was a non-budgeted item, it was considered to be of an emergency nature and, therefore, warranted. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Nelson, that this action be ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Council, at an informal meeting on November 23rd, approved continuance of the Blue Cross group hospitalization program for another year, as outlined in the following letter:

Blue Cross of Idaho
November 19, 1971

Honorable S. Eddie Pedersen, Mayor
City of Idaho Falls
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

RE: GROUP #1068 – CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
GROUP #1069 – IDAHO FALLS LIBRARY

Dear Mayor Pedersen:

Since the last revision in rates for your group (March 1, 1970), the general economy has been increasing at approximately 6.1%; the cost for an average day's stay in Idaho Falls hospitals is up 15.4% over last year, and physician's' fees have increased 13.3%. We sincerely hope President Nixon's Economic Stabilization Act will stem the tide of the inflationary pressures for the benefit of all.

Under the current guidelines of the Wage and Price Freeze regulations, we are permitted to increase our rates to cover the cost of providing the benefits and to project rating that will be adequate to cover increasing utilization of benefits by our subscribers. We cannot, at this point in time, including any projection for increasing hospital or physician charges beyond August 15, 1971. We fully expect the Phase II regulations to permit some increase in charges for both hospitals and physicians. Consequently, our rate projection at this time may very well be inadequate, but we have no alternative.

The experience of your group from January 1, 1971 through August 31, 1971, is as follows:

DECEMBER 9, 1971

Income	\$73,142.54
Paid Claims	\$72,280.84
Paid Claims Loss Ratio	99.0%

It has been our practice to provide your group experience on a calendar year basis and we will continue to do so. The above experience is for your information.

Following the Freeze regulations on renewal rating, your rates, effective January 1, 1972, for your current benefits will be:

Single	\$11.85
Two Party	\$29.40
Family	\$32.60

The government, in an effort to stabilize costs, has announced that the Medicare Part A deductible and co-insurance amounts paid by the individual will be increased approximately 13% January 1, 1972, leaving these additional amounts payable under our Blue Cross "65" program will remain unchanged. The major medical portion of the rates, however, will be adjusted to the regular group rate. Consequently, the rates for this category of enrollment, effective January 1, 1972, will be:

Single	\$ 7.40
Two Party	\$14.80

We appreciate your interest in Blue Cross and your cooperation.

If you desire additional copies of this correspondence to circulate among your City personnel, we will be happy to supply them.

By copy of this letter, Mr. Stan Johnson . .your local Blue Cross representative . .is advised to contact you. Mr. Johnson will assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,
s/ Jack L. Mitchell
Enrollment Manager

It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Erickson, that this action be ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

In the interests of time the City Clerk, on November 30th, with the approval of the Police Chief and the Sanitation Department, issued a beer license transfer to Lowell Bybee of the Stockman's Bar. This was necessary because his place of business was moved from 840 to 921 Northgate Mile. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that this action be ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Purchasing Department was presented:

DECEMBER 9, 1971

City of Idaho Falls
December 2, 1971

Purchasing Agent

Motor Fuels & Lubricants (City & School)

Honorable Mayor and Councilmembers:

The Purchasing Department requests approval to advertise for joint bids with School District 91 for Motor Fuels and Lubricants for the year 1972.

s/ W. J. Skow
Purchasing Department

It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Hovey, that authorization be granted to advertise for bids, jointly with the School District, for motor fuels and lubricants for 1972. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

From the City Clerk, this memo was submitted:

City of Idaho Falls
December 7, 1971

MEMO

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk

Gentlemen:

Several years ago we established a policy of charging off utility accounts once a year rather than bothering the Council periodically throughout the year. Attached is a list recommended for charge off at this time in the amount of 382, totaling \$16,588.07. This compares with 521, totaling \$21,102 a year ago.

These accounts have been on the books since 1967. They have been in the hands of a professional collector during that entire time. They are to be considered entirely uncollectable. They are comprised of deceased persons, bankrupts, persons without assets or skips. In 1967, \$3,413,897 was billed for all utilities. Based upon that figure, the amount recommended for charge off represents a percentage of .49 hundredths of 1%.

Your authorization to charge these accounts off the accounts receivable is requested.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

DECEMBER 9, 1971

ACCOUNTS RECOMMENDED FOR ANNUAL CHARGE-OFF

NAME	ACCT #	AMOUNT	NAME	ACCT #	AMOUNT
Merlin Ricks	99-010081-1	\$48.80	Mary Ferguson	99-057519-1	\$28.45
Sharl Rhodes	010088-1	29.09	Ann Sparks	057520-1	28.75
Lyman Newton	010100-1	8.00	Louisa Likes	057521-1	94.90
Jeanie Brown	010101-1	7.95	Clifford Gunderwig	057523-1	12.25
Joyce Smith	010108-1	21.40	G. Cleverly	060004-1	9.95
Myra Speas	010109-1	28.85	Mike Deshazo	060073-1	87.50
Delbert Adams	010111-1	21.55	James Mann	060077-1	83.00
Vadean Colvin	010113-1	8.00	Ace Nelson	060078-1	45.15
Richard Barfus	010113-2	27.20	Ellen Hanes	060080-1	52.50
Dewain Mangum	010117-1	15.70	Dixie Brown	060083-1	85.90
James L. Jennings	020016-1	85.15	Delano Dobson	060084-1	105.83
John S. Brown	022055-1	109.90	Minnie Hall	060085-1	34.80
Dixie Brown	022070-1	15.85	Gary Huitt	070028-1	14.60
Jack Stringfellow	022079-1	11.65	Marvin DeLack	080021-1	57.00
Ted Linford	022083-1	74.30	Ken Littleford	080026-1	105.76
Nita Davis	022084-1	62.75	Elm St. Phillips	090071-1	35.00
Edmond Renneker	022085-1	20.85	Mary Scheel	090080-1	11.20
Jess R. Sears	022087-1	60.70	Fred Ball	090083-1	6.00
Jess Sears	022088-1	23.26	Roy Jennings	090084-1	62.30
Gene Hanes	022089-1	17.05	Bernadine Cope	090085-1	20.55
John Reiv	022091-1	57.70	Veronica Dehnert	090087-1	42.10
Carol Leathers	022092-1	51.55	June Pollard	090088-1	9.00
Shirley Nelson	022093-1	87.40	James S. Smith	090089-1	15.30
Dave Middlemas	030010-1	32.80	Susan Kelsey	090091-1	11.95
Willa Cleverly	030044-1	40.95	Sonic Car Wash	090092-1	446.20
Claud Raven	030046-1	21.10	Herman Hammon	090093-1	14.60
Marion Douglas	030047-1	22.70	William Jordan	090094-1	60.55
Lynn Parker	030048-1	153.33	Karen Simpson	090095-1	34.10
Scott Tinno	030051-1	7.65	F. J. Miller	090096-1	14.25
J. Kay Jorgensen	030055-1	38.05	Frank Byrd	090098-1	28.65
Frank Scheer	040044-1	33.20	James T. Boespflug	100052-1	30.00
Lillian Jolly	040050-1	93.45	Mae Massengale	100055-1	20.70
Don McMillan	040051-1	8.50	Tim Bosworth	100056-1	12.60
Mary McCurry	057515-1	11.34	Rose Gonross	100057-1	6.70
Richard Lamb	057516-1	21.75	Bill Red Cloud	100058-1	40.90
Rose Lee Duran	057517-1	8.20	Lew Larter	100059-1	65.75
Merle Biorn	057518-1	14.65	Charles Bohannan	100060-1	7.30
Dwight Chapman	110069-1	25.70	Irene Burk	360041-1	17.95
Louise Bates	110073-1	45.20	E. H. John	370017-1	31.35
LaRae Shirley	110076-1	26.95	Phil Bailey	370018-1	28.25
Donald James	110077-1	68.85	Vonda Wilding	390022-1	44.20

DECEMBER 9, 1971

Opal Schulz	99-110078-1	\$12.35	Tony Gomez	99-390027-1	\$21.05
Rickey Smith	110079-1	10.95	Jim W. Musial	390028-1	28.40
Phyllis McKinney	110080-1	33.85	Francisco Lara	390029-1	79.35
Wayne D. Taylor	110081-1	8.80	Don Reid	390030-1	8.90
Lois Waters	110084-1	75.35	Terry Hymnas	390031-1	18.15
Sherman Hartshorn	110086-1	217.69	Gwen Lee	390032-1	33.00
Leona Hart	110089-1	25.75	Bud Wright	400026-1	46.95
Elmer Davies	110091-1	12.30	Jeff Davies	400027-1	39.05
Ruth McKinney	120041-1	41.80	Jim Schoelen	400031-1	10.05
Lewis F. Hunt	120042-1	55.60	Leo Skiles	400032-1	38.40
Wayne Hammond	120043-1	9.95	Teresa Morgan	400033-1	8.05
A.R. Taylor	120044-1	13.55	Robert Barnes	400034-1	85.40
Connie Bennett	120045-1	9.00	Loudine Hamilton	410034-1	100.60
Dean Quinton	137015-1	34.40	David Janson	410039-1	25.80
James Windmiller	137020-1	65.55	Mrs. Jack Pearce	420023-1	84.79
Don Dickson	137021-1	11.15	Denny O. Dennis	420029-1	14.45
Terry Smith	137022-1	38.50	Gary Hutt	420030-1	24.85
Alan Reyelts	137023-1	8.40	Kathleen Horkley	420032-1	10.35
Mike Slavinsky	137025-1	11.30	Raymond Batton	420033-1	22.00
Walt Grass	137029-1	143.55	Dennis R. Stewart	420034-1	15.00
Don Hanson	140006-1	23.85	Ernest Nurrietta	420035-1	45.60
Jack Sears	140047-1	24.15	Ted Ashment	420036-1	26.00
Kenneth Jepson	140048-1	45.05	Jerry Lloyd	420037-1	17.80
Uvaldo Torres	140051-1	131.30	Antonette Olaveson	420038-1	9.65
Al Worrying	140051-1	57.35	R. B. Cordon	420040-1	10.75
Irene Didds	140052-1	96.40	James C. Butery	420041-1	36.95
Arlene Campbell	140054-1	11.70	Wynn Lloyd	420042-1	39.30
The Blue Room	156533-1	87.50	Nyla Nuckolls	440031-1	94.50
Andy C. Garcia	156534-1	49.35	Glenn Porter	440033-1	26.20
Judy Ritchie	156536-1	13.35	Dennis Taylor	440037-1	33.50
Tony Casello	156537-1	26.30	Ella Gansz	440038-1	103.32
Ruby Beckstrom	156540-1	63.40	Gordon Leavitt	440041-1	37.40
Bill Lee	156542-1	18.05	Charles Hillman	450052-1	65.10
Walker's Maverick	160012-1	26.20	Darleen Waddoups	450060-1	45.35
Walker's Maverick	160013-1	15.00	Clyde Bull	450065-1	14.90
Gerald Gardner	170009-1	42.80	Larry Jordan	450066-1	41.25
Bill Lee	170043-1	38.65	Linden Elmer	450067-1	18.05
Centennial Holding	170044-1	47.75	C.A. Nelson	450069-1	14.80
Diana White	170045-1	21.30	Arland Woolf	450070-1	109.30
Jerry Lloyd	180036-1	53.45	Sybil B. O'Toole	450071-1	41.25
Quenton Kruger	180046-1	81.15	Fred Preston	450074-1	78.20
John Miller	180047-1	51.50	Stuart Hollman	460010-1	72.10
Roger Skar	180048-1	71.25	Joy Liljinguist	460011-1	8.80
Charles Reid	180050-1	55.60	Sharon Book	460013-1	34.85
Marvin Rude	180051-1	71.10	C.T.Thomas	460014-1	55.40

DECEMBER 9, 1971

Mary Baker	99-180052-1	\$63.70	Marie's Luncheon	99-480005-1	\$114.00
Thelma Moffat	180053-1	49.50	Fergies Floors	480006-1	26.00
Tony Costello	180054-1	101.98	Joseph E. Seal	480007-1	43.20
Dan Montgomery	190072-1	24.91	United Motor Club	480008-1	41.55
Don Mickelson	190075-1	25.40	Vonda Wilding	490005-1	9.40
Charles E. Allen	190076-1	18.25	Joe Peterson	490006-1	6.50
Margaret Hesseltine	190077-1	37.40	Vern Lloyd	490007-1	149.02
Bobbie Payne	190078-1	86.30	Ivan Maughn	490008-1	8.65
Sandra Nelson	190079-1	30.20	George Crenshaw	500045-1	176.00
Jack Spencer	190080-1	57.40	Clayton Wilkerson	500071-1	41.85
Marco Deschamp	190081-1	28.30	Sheila Allen	500072-1	45.85
Ruth Johnson	190084-1	77.90	John P. Andreonli	500074-1	18.80
Bill Dodds	190085-1	21.70	Joseph Raymon	500076-1	54.55
Jolee Crimley	190087-1	38.50	Monte D. Smith	500078-1	108.80
W. K. Nelson	190089-1	27.85	Claude Lamough	500079-1	7.55
Frank Scott	190093-1	37.55	Hazel Bowman	500082-1	11.45
Robert Robinson	190096-1	230.50	Joe Lee DeJolie	500083-1	26.90
Ronald Landon	190102-1	104.75	Armor Fence Co.	500084-1	95.50
Gary Barrett	200077-1	40.85	Jim Powell	500085-1	9.15
Charles Campbell	200078-1	69.35	Joyce Jackson	500087-1	22.00
Warner Thomas	200080-1	70.60	Ray Lecheminant	500088-1	48.30
Pat Gulla	200081-1	18.45	Phyllis Thompson	500089-1	7.45
Clinton Beebe	200083-1	39.65	Kent O'Neil	500091-1	74.80
Joyce Barney	200084-1	79.45	Evan Skeem	500092-1	119.85
Merna Ferrin	200086-1	29.50	Frank Hulse	51008-1	57.35
Delores Hiatt	200088-1	8.60	Gene Thompson	510052-1	8.72
Keith Empey	310041-1	22.70	Leona Hart	510061-1	19.90
Roy Holliday	310044-1	31.00	Robert E. Johnson	510064-1	14.70
Merlin Ricks	310045-1	48.60	Dan Perkins	510065-1	20.20
C.M. McKenzie	320030-1	29.85	Kenneth Evensen	510068-1	20.50
Don Trujillo	320031-1	144.45	Jacks Chicken Inn	520016-1	135.54
Ann W. Mooney	320034-1	41.55	Supersonic Carwash	520018-1	65.09
John Nelson	330018-1	105.95	A & G Produce	520019-1	174.46
J.C. Nichols	330020-1	23.25	Bill R. Johnson	600017-1	23.75
H.R. Zinniman	330021-1	16.45	Carl Whited	600018-1	10.80
Nora Cook	340025-1	17.75	Bill Red Cloud	600019-1	25.40
Ron Christensen	350003-1	6.40	Broadway Bowl	610020-1	675.65
Gordon Clifford	350004-1	9.40	Arthur Tirrell	610027-1	32.80
Darwin High	360025-1	40.44	H.W. Haddon	610028-1	26.60
Anela Reinman	360030-1	31.45	Floyd Strain	610031-1	8.30
Lawrence J. Morin	360035-1	7.10	Mary June Morgan	620010-1	30.50
Fred & Kelley's	630004-1	48.15	Carl Cameron	100064-1	7.30
Kemps Hotel Rogers	640002-1	104.00	Darwin Meikle	800050-1	15.00
Carmen Andrews	650042-1	16.80	Robert Robinson	800063-1	23.05
Bill Holley	650043-1	8.10	Ronald Morton	800065-1	42.90

DECEMBER 9, 1971

Doris Rinkes	99-650045-1	\$10.10	Laura Skarr	99-800066-1	\$33.60
Barbara Adams	650046-1	36.80	Marg Lewis	800068-1	86.45
Herb F. Winger	660045-1	76.52	Mrs. Ken Janes	800069-1	70.80
F & L Contractors	660047-1	53.80	Don Yorgensen	800070-1	31.00
Kars Conoco	660048-1	85.65	Robert McShannock	800071-1	66.80
Jean Colby	660049-1	75.88	J.B. Wetzel	800072-1	16.35
William Hill	670110-1	43.50	Ed Maurer	800073-1	21.50
C.A. Nelson	670112-1	13.20	Mrs. Joyce Farnes	800074-1	30.50
Lynn Harmon	370113-1	18.65	David Sutherland	800075-1	54.20
Darrell E. Shuck	670114-1	7.20	Sarah Frey	800076-1	25.95
Kay Daly	670115-1	17.26	Jack A. Dobson	800077-1	95.30
Brent Bingham	670116-1	23.40	Mary G. Sutherland	800083-1	32.05
Mrs. Dan Bowen	670117-1	15.60	John L. Howard	810008-1	27.36
Hubert Frandsen	670119-1	6.15	Van Landon	810032-1	75.10
Mrs. Nola Bell	670120-1	6.26	Betty Brunson	810034-1	23.30
Boyd Stoddard	670121-1	9.75	A. Sykes	810036-1	24.45
Rosaline Jacobsen	670123-1	28.85	Dale Drollinger	810037-1	7.95
Larry Stevenson	670124-1	7.75	Helen McBride	820072-1	46.25
Paul Hoopes	680046-1	35.80	James Radford	820088-1	22.00
John Andreoli	680048-1	7.05	Martha Prithett	820103-1	55.75
Ken Humphries	680052-1	21.75	Lynn P. Short	820111-1	51.65
Lee Holladay	680054-1	34.45	Bruce Tracerwell	820124-1	10.00
Lynn Rhoades	690006-1	14.70	Robert Johnson	820125-2	21.50
Dona Cole	690097-1	18.00	Elaine Anderson	820135-1	20.10
Barbara Bedwell	690098-1	6.80	Vonie Chambers	820137-1	113.25
Marla Ottesen	690099-1	10.00	John D. White	820138-1	28.00
Charles Bartholomew	690100-1	95.10	Rosalie Evans	820130-1	67.75
Mrs. Olin Cupp	690102-1	27.50	James Edwards	820141-1	39.70
Mrs. A.L. Taylor	690104-1	92.00	Darryl Keele	820141-2	151.18
Nancy C. Garza	690105-1	15.75	W. S. Hudson	820143-1	31.95
Sam Cleaver	690106-1	18.55	Richard Kimble	820142-1	25.50
Steven Summers	820146-1	24.10	L.D. Beadles	850006-1	63.20
Marian Jenkins	690107-1	6.15	Lupe Billman	820147-1	37.80
Bill Waddoups	690110-1	69.10	Donald Kanutson	820148-1	9.00
Ramon Sepulbeda	700027-1	44.00	Tom Knudson	820149-1	25.90
William Starnes	700028-1	10.00	Dee Nelson	820151-1	34.15
George Dugan	700029-1	15.85	Gordon Leavitt	820152-1	6.70
Madelyn Rennaker	700030-1	9.85	Larry Butler	820153-1	21.25
Antonio Lopez	700036-1	14.90	Joyce Petersen	820154-1	54.00
Bill Banning	720039-1	35.69	William Lacy	820155-1	11.30
Richard Wheeler	720051-1	8.40	Kenneth Givens	850007-1	134.40
L.D. Young	720053-1	29.40	Kent Anderson	860000-1	30.55
Jack Hoffman	730017-1	17.00	Lynn E. Phelps	870000-1	44.65
Leona Hart	730018-1	10.78	Ronald Nelson	890037-1	10.00
William Reed	740004-1	28.25	Barry Sharer	890038-1	16.20

DECEMBER 9, 1971

Ronald J. Sherrick	99-740010-1	\$65.70	Don Bate	99-890039-1	\$25.25
Eva M. Smith	750003-1	10.85	tom Carr	890040-1	24.30
Paul Rhoades	750007-1	86.60	Bill Gibson	890041-1	36.75
Val D. Coon	750011-1	21.40	Charles W. Brown	890046-1	11.15
Ted Linford	780019-1	14.30	Dale Robertson	890048-1	28.55
Rita Weeks	780022-1	182.90	Merrill Hansen	890053-1	31.90
John Williams	780023-1	53.60	Edward Tureson	890055-1	29.95
Frontier Bar	780028-1	102.90	Joseph McMillion	890057-1	23.55
Joyce Jackson	790016-1	21.45	John L. Schluter	890058-1	31.20
Gail Singleton	790017-1	38.10	David Barker	890062-1	27.40
John C. Bryan	790018-1	37.10	Peggy Reincke	900025-1	25.50
Ann Jennings	790019-1	26.55	Walter F. Jones	910006-1	29.00
Bert Holverson	790020-1	70.72	Ray Tremelling	920021-1	6.85
Eugene R. Buck	800002-1	13.15	Dale H. Harding	920022-1	18.55
			TOTAL		\$16,588.07

It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Erickson, that the utility accounts as listed, be charged off for the reasons as stated. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the City Planner was presented and studied:

City of Idaho Falls
December 9, 1971

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Rod Gilchrist
SUBJECT: REQUEST OF VARIANCE SIGN IN R-3A ZONE

Attached is a copy of the request for a variance to permit a double faced sign to be attached to a professional building in the R-3A zone located at 280 South Holmes. The proposed sign is approximately 30 inches high and 48 inches long, and would be mounted against the building extending the 48 inches out from the structure.

The City recently approved a similar request for another business located in the same building. This existing sign projects out from the building the same distance as the proposed sign. Because of the previous permitted sign, this office has no objection to this request.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Parish, that this variance be granted for the reason as stated. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Councilman Parish introduced Ordinance No. 1311 entitled:

“AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE COSTS AND
EXPENSE OF CREATING ENLARGED LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

DECEMBER 9, 1971

IMPROVEMENTS THEREIN (EXCLUSIVE OF THE COST AND EXPENSES OF IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN STREET INTERSECTIONS AND NOT LESS THAN \$27,100.00) BY THE INSTALLMENTS PAYABLE IN TEN EQUAL ANNUAL PAYMENTS AS NEARLY AS MAY BE, AND AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF LOCAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS OF SAID DISTRICT IN THE NAME OF SAID MUNICIPALITY FOR SAID INSTALLMENTS, AND FIXING THE RATE OF INTEREST THEY SHALL BEAR AND MAKING THE SAME PAYABLE MONTHLY.”

and moved that the ordinance be adopted and passed by the Council on its first reading. Motion was seconded by Councilman Hovey and the same being put to a vote, was unanimously carried by the affirmative vote of the Mayor and all Councilmen present.

It was moved by Councilman Nelson that the rules be suspended, and that the ordinance be placed on its second and third reading. Motion was seconded by Councilman Freeman and the same being put to a vote, was unanimously carried by the affirmative vote of the Mayor and all Councilmen present.

It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Councilman Wood, that the ordinance pass its third reading, and that the same be adopted, and the Clerk be instructed to publish the same as required by law, and the same being put to a vote, it was unanimously carried, the vote being as follows: Councilman Freeman, Councilman Parish, Councilman Nelson, Councilman Hovey, Councilman Erickson, and Councilman Wood.

On motion of Councilman Hovey, seconded by Councilman Parish, the following resolution was adopted by the unanimous vote of the Council and Mayor:

(Resolution No. 1971-41)

“RESOLVED: THAT THE ACTUAL VALUE OF THE REAL PROPERTY INCLUDED IN PROPOSED LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 42 IS \$4,245,020.00, AND THAT THE ACTUAL VALUE OF SAID REAL PROPERTY, EXCLUSIVE OF THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON, IS \$1,797,390.00; THAT THE ORGANIZATION AND CREATION OF THE DISTRICT IS PROPER AND THAT THERE IS REASONABLE PROBABILITY THAT THE OBLIGATIONS OF SUCH DISTRICT WILL BE PAID.”

Councilman Nelson introduced Ordinance No. 1312 entitled:

“AN ORDINANCE CREATING AND SETTING FORTH THE BOUNDARIES OF LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 42 IN AND FOR IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO, FOR THE PURPOSE OF GRADING, GRAVELING, PRIME-COATING, TACK-COATING, PAVING, CURBING, GUTTERING, SURFACE DRAINING, CONSTRUCTING SIDEWALKS, PLANTING TREES AND INSTALLING STREET LIGHTS ON CERTAIN STREETS AND PARTS OF STREETS, ALLEYS AND PARTS OF ALLEYS THEREIN; PROVIDING THAT SUCH IMPROVEMENT SHALL BE MADE AND THAT THE COST AND EXPENSE OF SUCH IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE TAXED AND ASSESSED UPON ALL PROPERTY IN SAID DISTRICT IN PROPORTION TO THE NUMBER OF SQUARE FEET IN LANDS AND LOTS ABUTTING,

DECEMBER 9, 1971

ADJOINING, CONTIGUOUS AND ADJACENT THERETO INCLUDED IN SAID DISTRICT AND IN PROPORTION TO THE BENEFITS DERIVED TO SUCH PROPERTY BY SAID IMPROVEMENTS; AND PROVIDING THAT THE WHOLE COST AND EXPENSE OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN STREET INTERSECTIONS AND ALLEY INTERSECTIONS SHALL BE PAID FROM THE GENERAL FUNDS OF THE CITY AND FIXING THE AMOUNT THEREOF; AND PROVIDING FURTHER THAT THE MAKING OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS IS DEPENDENT UPON THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF LOCAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS TO DEFRAY THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS OTHER THAN THE COST AND EXPENSE TO BE PAID FROM THE GENERAL FUNDS OF THE CITY.”

and moved that the Ordinance be adopted and passed by the City Council on its first reading. Motion was seconded by Councilman Parish and the same being put to a vote, was unanimously carried by the affirmative vote of the Mayor and all Councilmen present.

It was moved by Councilman Hovey that the rules be suspended, and that the Ordinance be placed on its second and third reading. Motion was seconded by Councilman Freeman, and the same being put to a vote, was unanimously carried by the affirmative vote of the Mayor and all Councilmen present.

It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Councilman Wood, that the Ordinance pass its third reading, and that the same be adopted, and the Clerk be instructed to publish the same as required by law, and the same being put to a vote, it was unanimously carried, the vote being as follows: Councilman Freeman, Councilman Parish, Councilman Nelson, Councilman Hovey, Councilman Erickson, and Councilman Wood.

This memo from the Traffic Safety Committee was submitted:

Idaho Falls
December 9, 1971

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Traffic Safety Committee
SUBJECT: TRAFFIC RECOMMENDATIONS

Idaho Code 49-748 states STOP signs shall be mandatory at grade level railroad crossings of streets and highways, provided however that when in the determination of the department of highways or local authorities as to the streets or highways under its respective jurisdiction the existence of STOP signs at a given crossing would constitute a greater hazard than their absence, mandatory placement shall be deemed waived.

It is the opinion of this committee that placement of STOP signs on South Yellowstone Avenue at the railroad spur crossing, south of Sunnyside Street, serving Bombadier West, would create more of a hazard than their absence.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Robert D. Pollock
Traffic Safety Committee

DECEMBER 9, 1971

It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that the recommendation as above indicated be approved. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

From the Police Chief, this memo was forthcoming:

City of Idaho Falls
December 9, 1971

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Office of the Chief of Police
SUBJECT: REMOVAL OF PARKING

It is recommended that there be NO PARKING on the south side of Broadway between Shoup and Yellowstone Avenues.

There are presently only two (2) parking spaces at mid block.

Curb painting cannot be observed during winter months and signing is inadequate.

Respectfully submitted;
s/ R.D. Pollock
Chief of Police

It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that this matter be referred to the Traffic Safety Committee for study and recommendation. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Another memo from the Police Chief was presented, to-wit:

City of Idaho Falls
December 9, 1971

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Office of the Chief of Police
SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION OF LOADING ZONE

It is suggested a loading zone be established on the west side of Shoup Avenue immediately south of "F" Street.

Imperial Motors has showroom doors opening to Shoup Avenue at this location. There are times when parked vehicles block their getting a vehicle out as late as 10:00 P.M.

The Traffic Safety Committee was polled and is in accord.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ R.D. Pollock
Chief of Police

DECEMBER 9, 1971

It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that this recommendation for a loading zone at the location as stated be approved. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Mayor observed that there are many interests to be considered and served at the Sand Creek Park. To avoid conflict of said interests the Mayor recommended the creation of a Board to be known as the Sand Creek Park Committee. Councilman Freeman said this was endorsed by the Parks & Recreation Commission. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Erickson, that such a committee be created and established. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Mayor proceeded to appoint the following members to the aforementioned newly created committee: Richard Walker, Wayne Hammond, Paul Conlin, Ivan Ashment, Karl Homer, and ex-officio member Ernie Craner. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Erickson, that these appointments be confirmed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Mayor recommended that because of the holidays, the next regular Council meeting be scheduled for December 21, 1971. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Freeman, that this be approved. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Councilman Wood reported that the County Commissioners have asked for an opinion to the creation of a local housing authority. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Freeman, that the City Council go on record that they have no objection to the creation of such an agency, providing the County Commissioners feel there is a need and the Mayor be authorized to advise the Commissioners accordingly by letter. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Councilman Erickson noted that included in this night's license applications was one for five taxi cab permits from a newly organized cab company in the City.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Wood, that the meeting adjourn at 9:30 P.M., carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
Mayor

* * * * *