

JULY 25, 1968

The City Council of Idaho Falls, County of Bonneville, State of Idaho, met in a Recessed Regular Meeting on Thursday, the 25th day of July, 1968, at the hour of 7:30 P.M. at the City Council Chambers in the City Hall in the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho; due and legal notice of said meeting having been given as required by law and the rules and ordinances of the City.

On roll call, the following members, constituting a quorum, were present: Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen; Councilmen Jim Freeman, Dale Parish, Gordon Nelson, Melvin Erickson, Jack Wood, and Councilwoman Lyn Smith. Also present: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk; Rod Gilchrist, City Planner; Don Lloyd, Public Works Director; Les Corcoran, Fire Chief; LaWayne Chapman, Personnel Director; Robert Fanning, representing the City Attorney Firm of Albaugh, Bloem, Smith and Pike.

Minutes of the last recessed Regular Meeting, held July 11, 1968, were read and approved.

The Mayor welcomed a summer class in Political Government and thanked them for their presence and their interest.

The Mayor announced that this was the time and the place for conducting a public hearing for the purpose of hearing and considering objections to the Assessment Roll of Local Improvement District #37 of any party aggrieved by said assessments.

In his introductory remarks, the Mayor noted that the preliminary work on a storm drainage project for the area was actually started in the early 1930's by the installation of a large drainage tunnel. He said that, in the interim period, as the area developed, storm drainage was erroneously allowed to infiltrate into the sanitary system. Therefore, the residents, today, are faced with a health problem. When the system is overtaxed and flash floods occur, raw sewage appears in basements and on lawns. The Mayor continued by saying that there is now a Federal Law prohibiting raw sewage flowing into rivers and that this is a problem that must be corrected in this as well as other areas throughout the City. He said the Council made every effort to keep the assessment charge reasonable and still have a functional system; that, as a means of economizing, alternates resorting to cross drains rather than under ground pipes were utilized wherever possible. He reminded those present that the hearing this night pertained only to assessments, the manner in which they were computed, the involved square foot per property, etc. The Mayor concluded by saying that, although not required by law, every effort was made to serve notice on all property owners by certified mail advising, in each instance, their square footage and dollar assessment as computed by the Engineering Department. The Mayor thanked all residents for their patience during the construction period and said that paving over broken streets would start at or around the first of August.

The Mayor then asked the City Clerk for written protests and the following were presented:

Gentlemen:

I would like to state an objection to the proposed storm sewer. The system would not benefit my property at all as I have never had any problems along this line. I, along with a group of neighbors, objected to this new system when it was first brought up because of this reason. The people to the north of us need it but we have no need of it at all where we live. For this reason I feel that it is an unjust assessment.

JULY 25, 1968

But if this assessment still has to be paid, I have some cemetery lots at Fielding Memorial Park which the City has taken over. I would like to exchange enough of these to cover the cost of this assessment as I want to pay it in its entirety at this time.

s/ Pauline K. Bird
445 W. Main
Rexburg, Idaho

Assessment No. 169

City Council:

I am writing in protest of the local riverside improvement job, which you received many protest letters and vocal comments about. How come the voice of the people does not count? You overruled their objections (and there were enough to block the job) and went ahead and did it. I deeply resent the high handed way in which it was handled.

s/ Wilba Borrowman
530 Highland Drive
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Assessment No. 454

July 18, 1968

OBJECTION TO ASSESSMENT #70 OF LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 37 OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

I, Mrs. George (Lenora) Dees, the owner of the property assessed on Assessment #70 as listed above, hereby strongly object to this assessment on the grounds only that I am absolutely unable to pay this assessment.

In order that you may understand why I am unable to pay this tax, I shall list below the reasons:

1. I am a widow age 81 years old.
2. I get a very small social security check each month which does not meet the requirements of adequate living expenses.
3. I supplement this income in a small way by doing handiwork which is becoming increasingly harder to do as I get older.
4. My children have helped me to the fullest extent possible, considering their own family obligations and bad health. I cannot expect more financial help from them.
5. It would be impossible as well as impractical and unwise for me to sell my property and move in with my children. My life long desire has been to stay in my own home, taking care of myself as long as humanly possible.

JULY 25, 1968

I sincerely hope that you do understand my position in this matter. I am very upset over the matter but I will not be able to pay this tax.

Yours truly,
s/ Lenora Dees
925 Ada
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Assessment No. 70

City Council of Idaho Falls
Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk

Dear Sirs:

I hereby object to the way this is being handled, and let me suggest a way I think it should be handled: I and many others in this district think inasmuch as we are helping with other projects east of the tracks, the entire City should be helping with this.

The incompetent way in which this was handled years ago, in the matter of the Mound Street mess does not affect mine and many other property holders in this district any more that it affects property holders all over the City of Idaho Falls, so why not make this a City project, as you have made other projects not directly concerning (in particular) to many of us in this district.

s/ Clarence Grover
639 I Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Assessment No. 194

Local Improvement District No. 37
Of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho

Mr. Mayor:

We are writing in protest to the Assessment.

We are not in favor of it in any form.

We are not affected by flooding, therefore we do not feel obligated in any way to have to pay \$189.00.

s/ Mr. Clarence Haws
510 Highland Drive
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Assessment No. 456

JULY 25, 1968

July 17, 1968

City of Idaho Falls
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Attn: Mr. Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk

I am opposed to the Local Improvement District No. 37 because I can't see that the need justifies the expense.

Assessment No. 165

Yours truly,
s/ Mary Phyllis Jensen
Driggs, Idaho

Gentlemen:

I would like to state an objection to the proposed storm sewer. The system would not benefit my property at all as I have never has any problems along this line. I, along with a group of neighbors, objected to this new system when it was first brought up because of this reason. The people to the north of us need it but we have no need of it at all where we live. For this reason, I feel that it is an unjust assessment.

But if this assessment still has to be paid, I have some cemetery lots at Fielding Memorial Park which the City has taken over. I would like to exchange enough of these to cover the cost of this assessment as I want to pay it in its entirety at this time.

Assessment No. 170

Yours truly,
s/ Freda A. K. Johnson

Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk of the City of Idaho Falls

Dear Sir:

I want to protest, the assessment of District #37, as the property we have sits on high ground. It's a rented unit, and we haven't had any trouble with water backing up.

Why should we be penalized for other peoples troubles?

Thank you.

Assessment No. 424

s/ Mr. Emmett Mitchell
Rt. 3 Box 162
Idaho Falls, Idaho

JULY 25, 1968

May 17, 1968

Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sir:

I again find myself in the position to protest against the Assessment Roll of Local Improvement District No. 37. I wrote my protest of this improvement, in February, stating I objected because I knew I would not be able to meet the obligation and I again state my reasons. I am a widow, ill and unable to work. I have no money coming in and find it hard to meet my weekly and monthly obligations. As I said before, my home is badly in need of improvements and repairs which worries me during the night along with the thought of paying the lights, water & etc. It was a well known fact, before you passed this resolution that it would involve many widows unable to pay, but this was ignored and you went ahead with the project. I can remember when the widows of this City were considered and things made a little easier for them when the bread winner was taken, such as a deduction on lights, water and garbage rates. As it is now, we are charged the same as people with large homes, yards, and families, when it stands to reason we do not use the facilities at the same rate. There is week after week my garbage can is never emptied because there is nothing in it. We do not wash, iron or use the electricity, yet we are charged the highest rate for the simple reason the less we use the higher category we are placed in. You may wonder what this had to do with the present assessment but I am trying to state it is impossible for widows, especially the ones like me who do not have any money coming in, to keep up.

I hate to complain but have been taught from childhood to do without what I could not afford. We are cautioned in the bible to get out of debt. I have worked hard for twenty three years to do this. After paying two thousand dollars in hospital and doctor charges, after the death of my husband, who was ill for fifteen years, I feel I cannot be thrown into debt for \$189.00 plus interest; because I do not have the cash to pay this obligation as most of the residents that this situation directly effects can do; as I remember Mr. Price stating at the first meeting "lets get this done, I'll pay my share here and now" as he drew out his wallet. It is also a known fact they could have remedied their problem at the site of their trouble just as the rest of us did and for far less expense.

I feel if it was absolutely necessary to have this work done; over the objection of the residents of this district because most of us could not afford it, it should be a City project, as I am sure you are well aware it will be the entire City that will benefit from it later. It was pushed through just like the raises for the Mayor and other City employees, which are way out of line for a City this size.

JULY 25, 1968

You may say I am just a typical, critical, complaining lady, but please put yourself in our position and let me know how you would handle an unforeseen situation as this assessment. May I hear from you?

Sincerely yours,
s/ Inez S. Molen
535 Highland Drive
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Assessment No. 422

July 22, 1968

Mr. Roy C. Barnes
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sir:

The method used to determine assessments and applied to my particular situation, is prohibitive in cost and I think unfair in relation to any real value I might receive other than contributing to what is no doubt a worthwhile project.

Due to the size and low value of this property - I am forced to ask for an adjustment downward. I should like to suggest that the rear part of this property - that north of the first 132 ft. in depth from the street side, be not assessed, this portion of this property could not conceivably produce any water that would add to the problem to be corrected by District #37, nor does the house on this part contribute to the sewer system of the district.

I would further suggest an on the ground inspection, if this would be of any help. I would then be able to further state my case.

Enclosed is a rough map of the property in question which I hope will help in your understanding of my situation, and after further study see your way to make a downward adjustment.

Assessment No. 465
Assessment No. 467

Sincerely,
s/ J. Lloyd Munk
163 ½ E. Elva Street

Idaho Falls, Idaho
July 24, 1968

JULY 25, 1968

Mr. Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

It seems that you have assessed me on the whole lot on H. Street. I own the north 100 feet of the lot, the south part is owned by Mrs. Miller. Please make the correction and send me the correct amount due. Yours respectfully

s/ John Schwendiman
578 E. Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Assessment No. 161

FROM: MSGT Richard D. Shewey
6816 Kirkwood Court
Boise, Idaho 83705

SUBJECT: LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 37

TO: City of Idaho Falls
City Clerk

In response to your L.I.D. #37 announcement received on July 13, 1968, I would like to declare my objection as a property owner within this district.

When I purchased property within this district, I considered the fact that streets and sidewalks were already in and since the City of Idaho Falls had issued a permit for the builder to make such a project development it was logical to assume that the continued maintenance of the streets were the responsibility of the City.

Several year ago I called the Idaho Falls Street Department and complained about the condition of the street (Monterey Drive) and I was informed that the street did not meet City specifications. In view of the fact that the City of Idaho Falls had seen fit to approve the permit for development of the area it should follow that if the specifications were acceptable at that time then any further upgrading of the standards should remain the responsibility of the City and repairs or replacement would be paid for from funds collected as taxes. Otherwise those property owners within this district are not receiving the services for which they are being taxed.

Assessment No. 100

s/ Richard D. Shewey
MSGT, USAF

JULY 25, 1968

P.O. Box 6018
Salt Lake City, Utah
July 22, 1968

Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Mr. Barnes:

SUBJECT: LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 37 ASSESSMENT #408

I would like this letter to be a record of my disapproval of said assessment. I do not believe the improvement will benefit my property. Those who benefit should be the ones to pay.

Sincerely,
s/ M. Duane Butler
Estate of W.J. Thompson

Assessment No. 408

Idaho Falls, Idaho
July 15, 1968

Mr. Roy Barnes
City of Idaho Falls

Dear sir:

I object to the Assessment No. 82.

Because I am a widow and my income is very limited, and I just can't afford to pay \$168.75 on an assessment.

Sincerely,
s/ Mrs. H. H. Thompson
294 2nd Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Assessment No. 82

July 17, 1968

Mr. Roy Barnes
City Clerk

JULY 25, 1968

Dear Sir:

In regards to the letter, sent to me about protesting the expense of sewer; I have lived here along time. I am too old to work. I live on social security. It is not enough to pay any extra expense. I have to pay for medicine, doctors etc. The City bills are too high, now for me to meet. I feel that this added expense is more than I can meet. Hoping something can be done about this. I really don't understand what this protest can do, as the sewer project has been passed, and they are already busy laying pipes.

Yours truly,
s/ Lillian Tobin
559 Highland Drive
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Assessment No. 423

Dear Sirs:

I don't know how in the world I can pay assessment. I have only social security and a part time job.

s/ Mrs. Ruby Webb
167 Whittier
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Assessment No. 19

I don't see why I should have to pay this assessment on my property and sure am against this assessment.

s/ Mrs. A.B. Whyte
1024 West Main
Mesa, Arizona

Assessment No. 444

There were no verbal protests from those in the Council Chambers. Mr. Lorenzo Parker, 645 J. Street, appeared briefly to congratulate the contractor for the manner in which he was proceeding, particularly, his policy of closing open trenches as soon as possible after pipe installation. Mr. Parker then asked if cash can be paid at the proper time, thus eliminating interest penalty and was answered in the affirmative. Mr. Howard Price, 640 I. Street, appeared briefly to ask if the assessment of 2.7¢ per square foot, as indicated on the notice, was firm and was answered in the affirmative. In the absence of further comment, but recognizing that there were certain written protests which must be studied before the assessment roll could be confirmed, Councilman Nelson introduced the following resolution in writing and moved its adoption:

JULY 25, 1968

RESOLUTION TO FURTHER CONSIDER AND TAKE UNDER ADVISEMENT
ASSESSMENT ROLL OF LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 37
(Resolution No. 1968-09)

“WHEREAS, the City Engineer and Committee on Streets have heretofore made out and certified to the City Council as provided by law an Assessment Roll on Local Improvement District No. 37, and

WHEREAS, on June 27, 1968, the City Council fixed the time and place when and where objections to Assessment Roll by the property owners of said District would be heard, to-wit: Thursday, July 25, 1968, at 7:30 o'clock P.M. of said day at the Council Chambers in the City Building at Idaho Falls, Idaho, and

WHEREAS, notice was duly and regularly given, as provided by law, by the Clerk of the time to file objections to said Assessment Roll, and

WHEREAS, several objections were filed or made to said Assessment Roll, and

WHEREAS, the City Council has decided to further consider and take under advisement said Assessment Roll,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the consideration and examination of said Assessment Roll be continued until another meeting of the City Council”.

Councilman Parish seconded the adoption of said Resolution, and the same, on being put to a vote, was unanimously carried by the affirmative vote of all Councilmembers present, the vote being as follows: Councilwoman Lyn Smith, Councilmen Jim Freeman, Dale Parish, Gordon Nelson, Mel Erickson, and Jack Wood; “Nay”, none; carried.

Mr. Tom Ogawa, 570 N. Wabash, appeared before the Council and submitted a petition with 23 signers, all residents of Wabash, May, College or Whittier Streets:

We, the undersigned property owners in the Capitol Hill area are requesting the Mayor and City Council to investigate and construct adequate drainage and paving for our area.

The attached map will show the boundaries of the drainage area and the streets to be paved marked in red. Councilman Nelson advised that, for sometime, the Council has been cognizant of the problem as stated and that coincidentally, listed on this night’s agenda, was a Grant Agreement awaiting Council consideration, which would make funds available, in part, for a drainage project in this particular area. Nelson noted, further, that the drainage must be corrected before improved streets can be considered. Nelson said the entire improvement would take about two years; one year to correct the drainage problem and another year for street improvement. Mr. Ogawa said that, meanwhile, there is a problem of dust and excessively speeding traffic. It was generally agreed that

JULY 25, 1968

the Police Department be so notified and that these streets, particularly Wabash, be given closer police patrol.

License applications for SECOND HAND STORE, Donald F. Austin for Circle Dot Furniture; CLASS D JOURNEYMAN, WARM AIR HEATING, Ronald B. Williams; CLASS D APPRENTICE, GAS FITTING, Arlan Celain Butler; APPRENTICE ELECTRICIAN, Michael Bird for Loc Electric, Joel Sedquich for Idaho Falls Electric, Donna Van Orden with Ideal Electric; BARTENDER, Geraldine Royter, Joe Flora, Jr., Ronald Swain, were presented. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Freeman, that these licenses be granted, subject to the approval of the appropriate Division Director, where required. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

At a recent informal meeting, the Council had approved a right of way contract with Lydia F. Lee, involving acquisition of property in the amount of \$7,500.00 for the widening of Fremont Street, legally described as the east feet of Lots 1-24 inclusive, Block 23, Highland Park Addition, and authorized the Controller to make payment accordingly. It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Erickson, that this action be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Also, at an informal meeting, the Council had authorized the City Attorney to prepare an ordinance revising the City's underground connection policy; also, to prepare a revised dog ordinance; also, to prepare one ordinance, revising and consolidating existing ordinances pertaining to weeds, garbage, and litter. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that these respective actions of the Council be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The City Attorney had previously explained to the Council that, after careful scrutiny of the three ordinances pertaining to weeds, litter and garbage, it would be unwise to attempt complete consolidation. He had explained, further, that, of these three, the only one in need of complete revision was the weed ordinance which was completely impractical and non-enforceable. It was noted that said revision was available for Council consideration. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Wood, that this weed ordinance be not considered this night but, instead, be handed to each Councilman for careful study. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

With reference to the recently prepared ordinance revising the City's policy on electrical underground connections, Councilwoman Smith invited Howard James, Electrical Public Use Advisor, to explain why same is needed. James appeared to say that, in the past, the residential customer was responsible for the installation and maintenance of service wires from the transformer to the house and that contractors employed to accomplish this have, on occasions, inadvertently, but narrowly escaped serious or fatal injury in the process of installation by forcing a low voltage wire into a compartment in the very near vicinity of high voltage primary connectors. This revised ordinance, if passed, would require that City crews provide said service except for the opening and closing of the trench and, thus, correct a dangerous safety hazard.

Mr. Jack Jensen, Electrical Contractor, appeared before the Council, concurring in the remarks of Mr. James. He said he favored enactment of the proposed ordinance on the grounds of safety and expense to contractors. There were none who appeared to protest the proposed ordinance. In view of the foregoing, the following ordinance was introduced by Councilwoman Smith:

JULY 25, 1968

ORDINANCE NO. 1216

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 4-4-9 OF THE CITY CODE OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO; SETTING FORTH STANDARDS, PROCEDURES, RESPONSIBILITY AND COST ALLOCATION FOR THE INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF UNDERGROUND SYSTEMS FOR DISTRIBUTION OF ELECTRICAL POWER AND ENERGY IN NEW SUBDIVISIONS OF THE CITY; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HERewith; PROVIDING WHEN THE ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE.

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Smith, seconded by Erickson, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

A City Redemption Tax Deed, was presented in favor of Mr. and Mrs. John M. Nichols, accompanied by an appropriate resolution as follows:

RESOLUTION (Resolution No. 1968-10)

WHEREAS, the City of Idaho Falls, did, under and pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 29, Title 50, Idaho Code, and by deed of the City Treasurer dated the 15th day of November, 1961, recorded in Book 138 of Deeds at Page 197, records of Bonneville County, Idaho, acquire title to and possession of the following described real property, to-wit: A tract of land containing approximately 7454 square feet in Block Twenty-eight (28), Highland Park Addition to the City of Idaho Falls, more particularly described as follows: Beginning at the northwest corner of Lot Twenty-one (21) and running thence north 59.36 feet; thence north 87°30' east 125.12 feet; thence south 59.91 feet; thence south 87°45'09" feet to the point of beginning.

WHEREAS, JOHN M. NICHOLS AND BEULAH NICHOLS, HIS WIFE, has offered to pay to the City of Idaho Falls, the amount for which said property was sold to the City, together with all the installments of assessments subsequent to the one for which said property was sold and then due, together with penalties and interest thereon;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

JULY 25, 1968

That the Mayor and City Clerk be, and they hereby are, authorized and directed, upon the payment of said sum of money by said purchaser to make, execute and deliver to the said John M. Nichols and Beulah Nichols, his wife, a deed to said property, pursuant to the provisions of Section 50-1751, Idaho Code.

PASSED BY THE COUNCIL this 25th day of July, 1968.

APPROVED BY THE MAYOR this 25th day of July, 1968.

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
MAYOR

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Freeman, that the resolution be adopted and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign the resolution and the deed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

By memo, the City Planner drew attention to the fact that the Comprehensive Plan is in need of official Council approval. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Councilwoman Smith, that said plan be referred to the City Attorney with instructions to prepare an appropriate resolution of adoption for Council consideration. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The following resolution was introduced, accompanied by a proposed amendment to the Personnel Policy:

RESOLUTION (Resolution No. 1968-11)

WHEREAS, the Mayor and City Council believe the morals of the City employees will be increased by the implementation of a City Employee Suggestion System, thereby causing the employee to feel he is more a part of the "team" through the consideration of employee suggestions by the City Administration; and,

WHEREAS, the Mayor and City Council believe the City will benefit through increased efficiency of operation and through possible financial savings to the City, as well as increased employee morals, by adopting a City Employee Suggestion System.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Mayor and the Council do hereby amend the City Personnel Policy to include the establishment of and the operational rules and procedures for a City Employee Suggestion System. Such amendment to be Section XXXIII, which is attached hereto and made a part of this Resolution.

PASSED BY THE COUNCIL AND APPROVED BY THE MAYOR this 25th day of July, 1968.

JULY 25, 1968

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
MAYOR

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

XXXIII. EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION SYSTEM

This section is to set forth regulations and procedures governing the Employee Suggestion System.

A. Suggestion Committee

The Suggestion Committee shall be made up of two Division Directors and one member of the City Council, designated "non-permanent members", all serving on a rotating basis for a period of one year, and the Personnel Director as a permanent member of the Committee. Appointments of non-permanent members to the Committee shall be made by the Mayor.

B. Rules of Operation

1. Suggestion boxes will be placed in every major building where City employees work. At each box there will be supply of suggestion blanks to be used by the employees. Posters and written explanations of the system will also be posted nearby.
2. Suggestions will be channeled through the Personnel Director to the Suggestion Committee. Pre-addressed envelopes will be available at the suggestion boxes for the employee's use in submitting such suggestions.
3. Prompt acknowledgement, in writing, will be made to the person submitting the suggestion. The suggestion will first be assigned to an investigator who will talk to the employee and gather material for evaluation.
4. The assigned investigator will submit the suggestion to one or more qualified evaluators to determine the relative merit of the suggestion and make recommendation to the Suggestion Committee.
5. As a guide, rewards for suggestions accepted will reflect the resultant savings to the City for the first 12 months in which the suggestion cannot be measured in money, the value of the award will be determined by the Suggestion Committee.
6. If the result of an accepted suggestion cannot be measured in money, the value of the award will be determined by the Suggestion Committee.
7. All decisions of the Suggestion Committee will be final.

JULY 25, 1968

8. All suggestions submitted shall become the property of the City of Idaho Falls and it shall have the right to make full use of them.
9. All actions, whether it be adopted, rejected, or kept for further study, will be made known in writing to the suggestor.
10. All City employees shall be eligible to participate except employees in or above the grade of Superintendent, Police Captains, Fire Battalion Chiefs or equivalent positions whose duties already include planning and making improvements.

C. Financial Control

Financial awards can be made only in so far as the City Council has budgeted and appropriated funds to provide for such awards; and no payment of any award shall be made except upon approval of the City Council.

Two members of the Suggestion Box Committee, Fire Chief Corcoran and Personnel Director Chapman, appeared briefly to say that, as Committeemen, they endorse the proposal and urged its approval. It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Freeman, that the resolution be adopted and the proposed amendment be made a part of the Personnel Policy. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Personnel Director Chapman then reappeared, recommending a resolution which would further amend the Personnel Policy pertaining the pre-employment examinations. Chapman explained that the existing Policy provides for an examination by a physician of the applicant's choice and at his expense and proposed an amendment whereby the City Physician would give said examination with no reference to cost. It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Freeman, that the City Attorney be directed to prepare a resolution, incorporating the amendment as described and recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Public Works Director was submitted:

City of Idaho Falls
Public Works
July 23, 1968

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: STREET NAMING

The old county road which runs along the south boundary of the Airport has never had an official name.

The dog pound has its entrance on this road and also two or three other residences to the west of Skyline Drive. There has been considerable trouble with the proper delivery of mail to these locations. Therefore, we feel that the road should have a proper name. Mr. Pete Hill, Airport Manager, has suggested the road be named "Airline Lane".

We would concur with this suggestion and recommend the Mayor and Council take official action to name this road.

JULY 25, 1968

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Don F. Lloyd, P.E.

It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Freeman, that this be referred to the City Attorney for the preparation of an appropriate ordinance but that the specific road name for purposes of ordinance preparation, be left blank and be the subject of further thought and study. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Next, from the Public Works Director, this memo was read:

City of Idaho Falls
Public Works
July 25, 1968

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: CONCRETE REPAIR CONTRACT 4A-35 C-4 A

As instructed we have re-advertised and received one bid for the repair of concrete from Clarence Reinhart & Sons. The unit prices are slightly less than the original bid.

Since there is work that needs to be done and there appears no one else willing to quote the City, we would recommend that the Mayor and Council authorize this contract to the extent of the budgeted approved figure of \$7,500.00.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Don F. Lloyd, P.E.

It was moved by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Parish, that the concrete repair contract be awarded to C. Reinhart & Sons in the amount of the approved budget figure of \$7,500.00. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Finally, from the Public Works Director, the following memo was presented and read aloud:

City of Idaho Falls
Public Works
July 25, 1968

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: GRANT AGREEMENT FOR STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES G-13-E

The Department of Housing and Urban Development has approved a Federal Grant in the amount of \$137,200 for the construction of storm drainage facilities in the Capitol Hill area of the City. We are attaching hereto four (4) copies of the Grant Agreement and Certificates requiring City approval. Since we have reviewed this data with the Public Works Committee and the City Attorney, we are recommending that the Council authorize the Mayor to execute these Agreements on behalf of the City.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Don F. Lloyd, P.E.

JULY 25, 1968

The Mayor reported that this regular meeting was convened pursuant to a duly dated and signed Notice of Regular Meeting which was delivered in person to each Councilman in due time, form, and manner as required by law. The City Clerk read the original of the "Notice and Certificate" set forth below, and the same was ordered spread on the minutes, as follows:

NOTICE AND CERTIFICATE

1. NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING TO THE MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

Notice is hereby given that a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Idaho Falls will be held at Idaho Falls, Idaho in the Council Chambers of the City of Idaho Falls, City Hall the regular meeting place thereof, at 7:30 o'clock P.M., on the 25th day of July, 1968, for the purpose of considering the execution of a Grant Agreement for Project No. WAS-6-13-0003 and for the purpose of transacting any other business which may properly come before such meeting.

Dated this 23rd day of July, 1968.

s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

The following resolution was introduced by Mr. Gordon L. Nelson:

"RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF GRANT AGREEMENT" (Resolution No. 1968-12)

WHEREAS, City of Idaho Falls organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the (State) (Commonwealth) of Idaho (herein called the "Applicant"), has heretofore submitted an application to the United States of America, acting by and through the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development (herein called the "Government"), for a grant under Section 702 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965, for the purposes designated in the said application; and

WHEREAS, the Government has approved the said application subject to certain conditions and has submitted to the Applicant a certain Grant Agreement dated as of June 1, 1968 (herein called the "Grant Agreement"), for approval and execution by the Applicant, which said Grant Agreement is satisfactory.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE City Council of the Applicant that the said Grant Agreement, a copy of which is attached hereto, be and the same is hereby approved. The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute the said Grant Agreement in the name and on behalf of the Applicant, in as many counterparts as may be necessary, and the City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to affix or impress the official seal of the Applicant thereon and to attest the same. The proper officer is directed to forward the said executed counterparts of the said Grant Agreement to the government, together with such other documents evidencing the approval and authorization to execute the same as may be required by Government.

Said Resolution was read in full and discussed and considered.

JULY 25, 1968

Mr. Gordon Nelson then moved the adoption of the Resolution as introduced and read. Mr. Dale D. Parish seconded the motion, and, on roll call, the following voted "Aye": Nelson, Freeman, Parish, Wood, Erickson, Councilmen. Smith, Councilwoman.

The Mayor thereupon declared the motion carried and the Resolution adopted as introduced and read.

Councilman Nelson submitted a Personnel action on Wesley Davis, a mechanic at the City Garage, employed at \$2.61 per hour and moved that this be increased to \$2.85 per hour to bring said hourly wage in line with other City Garage mechanics. This motion was seconded by Councilman Parish. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Parish, that the meeting adjourn at 8:52 P.M., carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
MAYOR

* * * * *