

JUNE 24, 1965

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls met in Recessed Regular Meeting, Thursday, June 24, 1965 at 7:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers at Idaho Falls, Idaho. There were present at said Meeting: Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen; Councilmen Parish, Freeman, Keller, Leahy, Nelson. Absent: Councilman Page. Also present: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk; Arthur Smith, City Attorney; Luther Jenkins, City Controller; Don Lloyd, Public Works Director; Ray Browning, Building Official; Ernie Craner, Parks & Recreation Director.

Minutes of the last Recessed Regular Meeting, held June 18th, 1965, were read and approved.

The Mayor announced that this was the time and the place for a public hearing, as advertised, to consider an amendment to Zoning Ordinance #1115, which would add a new zone classification, to be called the Mc-Mobile Court Zone which would permit only mobile courts, and accessory caretakers' dwellings. There were no protests. Therefore, the following ordinance was introduced:

ORDINANCE NO. 1139

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 1115, THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING CODE OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO, BY ADDING THERETO AN MC-MOBILE COURT ZONE; SETTING FORTH THE GENERAL OBJECTIVES AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MC-ZONE; ESTABLISHING REGULATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS PERTAINING TO USE OF LAND AND LOCATION OF BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES WITHIN THE MC-ZONE; PROVIDING WHEN THE ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE.

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Leahy, that the provisions of Section 50-2004 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-2004 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the Ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

The foregoing Ordinance, having been passed on all three readings, permitted introduction of the following regulatory ordinance:

ORDINANCE NO. 1140

AN ORDINANCE REGULATING THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, OPERATION AND ALTERATION OF MOBILE COURTS WITHIN THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; PROVIDING FOR THE

PERMITS FOR MOBILE COURTS; ESTABLISHING REQUIREMENTS
FOR SUCH PERMITS, AND THE AMOUNT OF THE PERMIT FEES;
JUNE 24, 1965

PROVIDING FOR INSPECTIONS OF MOBILE COURTS, ALLOWING MOBILE COURTS IN GC-1, HC-1, AND MC ZONES ONLY; DEFINING THE TERMS HEREOF; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS OF THE ORDINANCE; REPEALING ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH; AND PROVIDING WHEN THE ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE.

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Freeman, that the provisions of Section 50-2004 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-2004 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the Ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Mr. Phil Marsh, State Highway Engineer, appeared before the Council as spokesman for other State Highway representatives, also present, to submit a progress report and to answer questions relative to the Yellowstone Highway traffic signalization system. He introduced Mr. Weldon Nielsen, Synchronization Engineer, and explained that the system was designed and based on 1962 traffic data which has obviously changed in the interim period. Therefore, he explained further, the system, now installed, is in need of turning to effect satisfactory results for handling today's traffic. He said the problem has been accentuated by water line and other type construction on the feeder streets and said construction is affecting the true traffic pattern. He said the equipment is designed to handle peak traffic properly but it is not yet tied into the Broadway signalization grid but that this should be accomplished within thirty days. In answer to a question by Councilman Leahy, as to whether or not the signal in question was tied in with the signals north of the "G" Street underpass, Nielsen answered in the negative. Mr. Nielsen concluded his remarks by saying that maintenance of the system would soon be turned to the City.

The Mayor, recognizing quite a number in the Council Chambers interested in the City's street reconstruction program, introduced Public Works Director Lloyd who, with the use of slides, explained that, tentatively, the program was on a priority basis as follows and in the following priority order:

1. 8th Street - 300 block
2. Shoup Avenue - new construction, from Eagle Rock to Cliff Street
3. River Parkway - unimproved portion
4. Riverside Drive
5. Capital - Cliff to Basalt

6. N. Blvd. - 2nd to 4th Streets
7. 10th Street - 100 block
8. 17th Street - Rollandet to Curtis

JUNE 24, 1965

It was learned that the large group that was present was primarily concerned about the reconstruction of the 100 block on 10th Street and, particularly, the fact that this would include elimination of parking strips and widening. Many of those present were residents living on other near-by streets who had the impression that said widening would open the door to additional widening on that and other streets. In this connection, Mrs. William Zelezny of the League of Women Voters appeared and presented the following petition of protest:

League of Women Voters
of Idaho Falls, Idaho

STATEMENT OF THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO TO THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF IDAHO FALLS OPPOSING THE PROPOSED WIDENING AND REMOVAL OF TREES ON RESIDENTIAL STREETS BETWEEN BOULEVARD AND HOLMES. JUNE 17TH, 1965.

I am Mrs. William Zelezny representing the League of Women Voters of Idaho Falls.

We of the League of Women Voters wish to state again our opposition to the removal of the large, attractive trees on either 9th or 10th Streets.

It has been recognized that one of the reasons that the Atomic Energy Commission chose Idaho Falls as the site for the National Reactor Testing Station was the fact of a beautiful City. We believe the City has done and is doing a lot in many areas of Idaho Falls to make the City more beautiful; yet, at the same time much has been undone in other areas - Memorial Drive and C Street are two examples. We do not wish to see any more streets added to the list of examples. And we are not sold on the aesthetic value of asphalt.

We do realize that the very presence of the N.R.T.S. has caused tremendous growth in Idaho Falls which has caused a variety of problems, some of these being problems relating to traffic. We feel that the City is wise to attempt to solve problems before they occur. However, we do not believe that the widening of these streets is the only solution available to the management of traffic here. Perhaps more of the street could be available to traffic through limiting parking to one side. The City certainly knows of the alternatives available and we of the League do urge you to consider these and choose one which you find adequate but which would not require the widening of the streets and therefore, the removal of these trees.

Thank you very much.

Mrs. Richard Smith, 915 S. Higbee, also representing the League of Women Voters, appeared and protested any widening of any of the east-west streets between Boulevard and Holmes on the grounds that this would increase and speed traffic in a residential area and that trees would be destroyed. She continued that the City's objective could be accomplished with alternate parking or

JUNE 24, 1965

elimination of same. In answer to Mrs. Smith's question as to whether or not the street might be considered for reconstruction without widening, the Mayor said it's possible that a meeting of the minds might be resolved by negotiation.

Mr. W. P. Rosenthal, 215 9th, appeared and commented to the effect that he and others had a previous understanding that the City had abandoned plans for street widening in this area. He asked if this proposed widening was to be construed as a step toward a complete street widening project. Councilman Nelson replied by saying that this one block improvement was motivated by the need for maintenance and repair. He said that new construction of streets is handled by the creation of Local Improvement Districts but that reconstruction, according to City policy, should be approached on a pay-as-you-go basis from budgeted funds as the need arises. He said reconstruction of this one block should not be construed as the beginning of a trend on 10th toward its conversion into an arterial. Rosenthal concluded his remarks by saying that, in his opinion, 10th Street does not command the traffic to warrant widening.

Mr. Harold Craggs, 250 10th Street, appeared and protested the widening on the grounds that this, applied to only one block, accomplishes nothing. He said that the primary problem is one of drainage which wouldn't be corrected by widening.

Mr. Herbert Pringle, 347 9th, appeared and protested the widening in question on the grounds of excessive costs in relation to benefits. He said it would seem economically unsound to eliminate existing curbs and gutters, just for the sake of widening when this facility would appear to be in satisfactory condition.

Mr. Bud Stevenson, 120 10th, appeared and protested the widening on the grounds that it would create an additional hazard for the many children residing in this block. He conceded that the existing street surface was in need of reconstruction.

Mr. Marvin Walker, 386 10th, appeared and said traffic was already permitted to proceed at too fast a speed on 9th and 10th Streets without a street widening program. He proposed four way stop signs around Kate Curley Park.

Mr. Al McGlinsky, 269 6th, appeared and protested the widening of the grounds that there was no need for the establishment of an arterial on 10th Street. Councilman Nelson again stated that the widening was not considered from the standpoint of the establishment of an arterial but, rather, as a means of providing a better facility, for movement and passage of vehicles.

Parley Rigby, 2210 10th, appeared and reminded the Council that at one time, there was serious consideration toward widening 5th Street. He said that, in his opinion, this had more merit than the widening of 10th Street.

Mr. Robert Englund, 421 7th Street, appeared and said that, in his opinion, parking of vehicles does not pose as much of a problem as many would be led to believe, citing as an illustration the situation around the high school which at one time was being predicted as a problem area. As a near by resident to the high school he said this problem has never materialized.

In the absence of further appearances and in light of the many protestants, it was moved by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Keller, that the 10th Street reconstruction be deleted from the program. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, none; carried.

JUNE 24, 1965

Councilman Leahy explained his "Nay" vote by saying that, in his opinion, the widening should be deleted from the program but that, if possible, reconstruction of the existing roadway should not be deleted, inasmuch as the street is in need of improvement.

Councilman Parish asked if the Engineering Department considered it feasible to reconstruct 10th Street without widening. Public Works Director Lloyd answered to the effect that this would not be practical to do a complete and effective job. Parish therefore proposed that the 100 block residents on 10th Street be so notified with reasons for this decision.

Mr. A. D. Hill, 352 11th, appeared and expressed appreciation to Councilman Leahy for his position on the possibility of reconstructing the existing roadway without widening. This completed the hearing on the 10th Street widening.

The City Clerk then read this memo as prepared by the Public Works Director:

June 24, 1965

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: STREET RECONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

We are writing reference to the street reconstruction program as outlined on the visual presentation in previous meetings. We are suggesting it is now time to advertise for the construction of the final program. We are, therefore, requesting that the City Clerk be authorized to advertise for bids on July 4, 11, and 18, to open bids July 20, with a planned contract dated for the 22nd.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Don
Donald F. Lloyd

It was moved by Councilman Keller, seconded by Nelson, that excluding the 100 block on 10th Street the advertising be prepared to include all other portions of the program as presented this night and the City Clerk be authorized to publish accordingly. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Mr. Floyd Aiman, local contractor, appeared before the Council relative to the Johns Hole Bridge Construction. He pointed out that the project is behind schedule due primarily to late delivery on beams. He drew attention to the fact that the north lane, when constructed, would be located approximately where the old bridge is now standing and that there would be a substantial amount of blasting necessary to provide proper footings for the north lane bridge. He said this

would speed up preparatory work on the north side but would result in there being no bridge crossing facility in this area for about sixty days. Councilman Nelson voiced concern over this proposition on the grounds that the City must take into consideration the added liability this would create, in the event of an emergency. The Mayor also expressed concern on the grounds that there could conceivably be some work stoppage on the south land and that this could result in no traffic

JUNE 24, 1965

facility all winter. It was moved by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Keller, that the proposition, as stated and presented, be denied. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

At the invitation of the Mayor, Mr. Gerald Jorgensen of the Engineering Department, appeared before the Council and, by maps, illustrated certain areas designated as omitted lands by the Bureau of Land Management. He explained that, in some instances, it would behoove the City to file on these lands for purposes of parks, recreation, roads, etc. In this connection, then, the following draft of a proposed letter was presented:

Mr. Jesse L. Kirk
District Manager
Land Management
P.O. Box 1867
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sir:

The City of Idaho Falls would like to make application in the near future on part of the omitted land surrounding Idaho Falls for the purpose of parks, playgrounds, roads, golf courses, etc.

On the accompanying Map No. 1 will be found, shaded in red, the location of Tracts No. 1, 2, 3, and 4. The City's intention is to file on that portion of the tracts which is located in the omitted lands.

On the Map No. 2 will be found, shaded in red, the location of lots, blocks, streets, alleys, and other parcels or portions of same lying within the omitted lands upon which the City intends to file; more specifically described as Blocks 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 40, 68, 69, and 81, in Highland Park Addition; Lot 2, south of the railroad tracks, Lot 3 & 4 in Section 12, 2N, R 37, E.B.M.

On Map No. 3 will be found the location of that portion of Lot 7, Section 35, T 2N, R37, E.B.M. lying within the omitted lands upon which the City also plans to file.

Sincerely,
s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
Mayor

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Parish, that the Mayor be authorized to forward said letter to the Bureau of Land Management as proposed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Mr. James Shane, co-owner and manager of the Rogers Hotel, appeared before the Council and presented a historical background, relative to the manner in which the City had billed the Hotel for JUNE 24, 1965

water. He said this had been climaxed about a year ago when the City elected, without his consent, to meter the Hotel as a means of arriving at a true billing figure. He reminded the Council that, in order to do this, it became necessary to install four meters because of four water lines serving the premises. He said he did not object to being metered but did object to a separate billing on each meter, paying the higher front-end rate on each bill and not getting the full advantage of the cheaper end rate which could only be beneficial if the water consumption were added together on one billing. The Council reminded Mr. Shane that this had previously been considered by ordinance and was denied on the grounds that it would be precedent setting and that other water users in this category would be affected by passage of an ordinance that would permit billing on an accumulative basis for water consumption serving one building from more than one line. Mr. Shane questioned that there were others in this category. Council action was tabled on this matter and the City Clerk was directed by the Mayor to supply Mr. Shane with an extract of the minutes pertaining to denying passage of the proposed ordinance on which he had previously requested consideration, plus data relative to other affected water users.

License applications for JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICIAN, previously approved by the Electrical Inspector, William L. Read, Melvin Van Orden, Glen S. Landon; ELECTRICAL APPRENTICE, previously approved by the Electrical Inspector, Mac B. Mills with Ideal Electric; BEER, previously approved by the Police Chief, Donna Green for canned and bottled not to be consumed on the premises at Enco Service, 401 N. Yellowstone; BEER, previously approved by the Police Chief, change of name only, Fred Huth for Fred & Kelly's Broiler; RETAIL LIQUOR, transfer of name only, Fred Huth for Fred & Kelley's Broiler were presented. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Keller, that these licenses be approved. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

License application for JOURNEYMAN PLUMBER, Eldon M. Crooke was presented. It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Nelson, that this license be granted, subject to the approval of the Heating Inspector. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

License application for GROCERY, Stephen Johnson for Fruit Market was presented. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Freeman, that this license be granted, subject to the approval of the City Sanitarian. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

This damage claim was presented and read:

Safeway Stores, Inc.
P. O. Box 1680
Salt Lake City, UT
April 26, 1965

City of Idaho Falls
P. O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Store #323
Elm & Eastern
Idaho Falls, Idaho

JUNE 24, 1965

Gentlemen:

On April 12 we had a power outage at this store and according to our store manager, representatives of your company were changing over the transformers and touched two lines together which blew the fuses in the transformer; this in turn blew two fuses and two disconnect switches to our refrigeration equipment.

Attached is a copy of the invoice in the amount of \$18.52 for the cost of making the necessary fuse replacement and service call. If you are in agreement with same, we would appreciate your sending your check to reimburse us.

Very truly yours,
Construction and Design
Safeway Stores, Inc.
s/ F. J. Herr
Manager

In connection, the following memorandum of recommendation was presented:

TO: W. H. Fell
FROM: Howard James
SUBJECT: DAMAGE CLAIM

On April 12, Jakes crew was working on the transformer bank behind this Safeway Store. In the course of the work, one of the jumpers was dropped into the secondary, blowing a transformer fuse and single phasing the service into the store. This in turn caused the protective fuses in the store to blow exactly as planned to protect the motors in case of a single phasing situation.

In view of the fact that this problem was caused by one of our own crews, I would recommend that the City pay the claim in full.

It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Freeman, that this be referred to the City Insurance Adjustor for investigation and recommendation. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Next to be presented was the following damage claim:

Idaho Falls, Idaho
June 15, 1965

Mayor & Councilmen
Idaho Falls, Idaho
ATTN: Don Lloyd

JUNE 24, 1965

Dear Sirs:

Due to the water main breaking on our property in June 1964, it damaged our garage and driveway. Your man, Verl Bates, informed us to get a bid from a contractor on the garage. We had Wadsworth Const. Co. in and his bid was \$431.00. This was to remove the existing floor, raise garage up to original level, pour a new 4" concrete floor and repair damaged stucco.

Respectfully,
s/Elmer E. Price
960 Lovejoy
Idaho Falls, Idaho

It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Nelson, that this also be referred to the City Insurance Adjustor for investigation and recommendation. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

The City Clerk drew attention to a legal notice which had been published without formal Council approval, in the interests of time; namely, an advertisement for bids on the retaining wall at the Eagle Rock Power Plant. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Keller, that this action be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

It was noted that, at an earlier informal meeting of the City Council, bids on the Reinhart Park Swimming Pool, opened June 14, 1965, had been rejected on the grounds that all bids were in excess of the engineer's estimate. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Leahy, that this action be duly ratified and that authorization be granted for the Recreation Director to seek a satisfactory contract on this project on a negotiated basis. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

It was further noted that, at the same informal meeting, the Council approved the issuance of a carnival license to the Ammon Lions Club for a negotiated amount of \$50.00, said carnival to be conducted June 22 through June 26 on the Lewisville Highway. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Keller, that this action be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

The City Clerk drew attention to the fact that he had issued a \$75.00 beer license and a \$10.00 restaurant license to the Russett Lions Club without formal Council approval, by order of the Mayor. It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Leahy, that this action be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

An agreement was presented from the Union Pacific Railroad, L.D. #19841, covering a water pipe line crossing at Shoup Avenue. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Keller, that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

This letter was read by the City Clerk:

Idaho Falls, Idaho
June 11, 1965

JUNE 24, 1965

Mayor and City Council
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Gentlemen:

The Northgate Mile, Inc., a corporation composed of businessmen and property owners with property and places of business along North Yellowstone Avenue between First Street and East Anderson Street, extended, has passed a resolution by its Board of Directors, requesting the Mayor and City Council to change the name of North Yellowstone Avenue from First Street to East Anderson Street, extended to Northgate Mile.

The term "Northgate Mile" has been used for more than a year by the businessmen along North Yellowstone Avenue to indicate and designate North Yellowstone Avenue as a certain business community, and approximately \$15,000.00 has been expended by Northgate Mile Inc., to advertise North Yellowstone Avenue is commonly known as Northgate Mile.

It would not be necessary to change any street numbers on North Yellowstone Avenue after the change in name as the street numbers would remain the same as they are at the present time.

The change of name would not be harmful to anyone and will be of assistance to the various places of business, as well as the public, located along that street.

Northgate Mile, Inc.
s/ Milton A. Romrell
President

s/ Charles E. Bird
Secretary

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Nelson, that this be referred to the Public Works Director and the State Highway Department for study and recommendation. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Next to be presented was the following letter, read by the City Clerk:

Boise Cascade
P.O. Box 200
Boise, Idaho 83701
June 8, 1965

The Honorable Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen
Idaho Falls, Idaho

JUNE 24, 1965

Sir:

NLBC Inc. and NLBC Utilities, two wholly owned subsidiaries of Boise Cascade Corporation, by this letter, make application to have Esquire Acres annexed into the City of Idaho Falls.

The annexation will be to the betterment of the present occupants by providing City services not now available which will have an effect of increasing their property values and to the City of Idaho Falls by increasing their assets, their monthly revenue, and extending the City limits.

It is expected a fair valuation of \$101,000 be considered as the remuneration for the present facilities, the expense of which has been borne by NLBC Utilities and the use of which will be enjoyed by the City of Idaho Falls.

It is hoped consideration and approval by the governing bodies of Idaho Falls will be given.

Sincerely,
s/ James C. Hayes
General Manager

The Public Works Director said his Division were making a complete study on this matter and, therefore, the Mayor ordered the matter tabled, pending receipt of said study.

The following memo from the Police Chief was presented:

June 16, 1965

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Don Lloyd
SUBJECT: VACATING AN ALLEY

We have been requested by Mr. Jerome H. Keller to vacate the alley between Poulson and Elva lying west of Higbee. This alley lying in Block 15 of the Mayflower Addition has partially been closed sometime in the past.

This request is for slightly over 300' additional to be vacated. There are four property owners involved.

We have electric utilities, gas, and water, in the alley and would need a utility easement. This office has no objections to the vacating of this alley providing we maintain the utility easement.

s/ Don

JUNE 24, 1965

MEMORANDUM
June 23, 1965

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: City Electric Light Division

In regard to the request of Mr. Jerome H. Keller for vacating of the alley between Poulson and Elva west of Higbee, it is the feeling of the Electric Light Division that we could service this area with as easement that would permit vehicular traffic along the easement. We feel that this type easement would be necessary, due to the type of buildings that are constructed in this area, which makes operation and maintenance of the pole line from the street impossible. Therefore, we recommend that if alley is to be vacated that easement must be accessible to vehicular traffic at all times.

s/ J. Brent Davis
Electrical Engineer

It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Keller, that the City Attorney be directed to prepare a vacating ordinance subject to the condition that the easement in question be accessible to vehicular traffic, as recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Next, from the Public Works Director, this memo was presented:

City of Idaho Falls
6-17-65

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Don Lloyd

SUBJECT: CONTRACT WITH ARCHITECT FOR NO. 11 WELL

In accordance with the Mayor's instructions, we have talked with Mr. Harold Collard, Architect, requesting that he assist us in developing the West Side No. 11 Well.

Attached hereto are standards for contract agreement between the owner and the architect calling for an 8% fee of the project construction cost.

We would recommend that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign the City's approval.

s/ Don

JUNE 24, 1965

It was moved by Councilman Keller, seconded by Nelson, that Mr. Collard be selected to assist in the development of the No. 11 Well and that the Mayor be authorized to sign the City's approval. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Finally, from the Public Works Director, this memo was presented and read:

City of Idaho Falls
6-23-65

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Don Lloyd
SUBJECT: TRAFFIC PROBLEMS

On Tuesday, June 15th, the Mayor invited several local businessmen to the Council Chambers for a briefing on some traffic problems relative to Broadway.

The City Engineer, at this time, presented suggestions to remedy specific traffic problems. There was considerable discussion and differences of opinion on most items.

Shoup Avenue, however, received the immediate and unanimous support of those present and we are requesting authorization from the Council to make the change. The change involves constructing a new street between Eagle Rock and Cliff, and signing which will affect two-way traffic on Shoup Avenue from Broadway to Cliff Street.

s/ Donald F. Lloyd

It was moved by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Keller, that approval be granted for construction of the street as described after which two way traffic be permitted on Shoup from Broadway to Cliff Street. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

This letter of recommendation from Lawrence E. Matson was read, relative to bids received on renovation of the City Building Annex to provide office space for the Electric Light Division:

Lawrence E. Matson A/A
319 C Street-P.O. Box 2556
Idaho Falls, Idaho
June 24, 1965

Mr. William Fell, Electric Division
City of Idaho Falls
P. O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho

JUNE 24, 1965

RE: ALTERATIONS TO CITY BUILDING ANNEX

Dear Mr. Fell:

At the bid opening held June 22, 1965 for alterations to the City Building Annex to provide new office space for the Electric Division, the following bids were received:

| | |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Goodwin Builders | \$40,900.00 |
| Clark Construction Co. | \$41,472.00 |

As you are aware, the bids were considerably in excess of the anticipated cost of the project. It is therefore recommended that they be rejected at this time. It is further recommended that the project be tabled for the present, due to apparently limited interest by contractors at this particular time, but that the plans be further analyzed by ourselves and your Division for possible modification and re-bidding at a later date, when this type of project will likely have more appeal to bidders, possibly as indoor winter work.

It is also recommended that, if the project is re-bid at a later date, a longer period be allowed between advertising and receiving of bids, perhaps on the order of 21 days.

Very truly yours,
s/ Lawrence E. Matson
A. I. A.

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Keller, that bids be rejected for the reasons as stated with the understanding that the project be re-bid at a later date. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

From the Building Official came this memo:

June 24, 1965

MEMO TO COUNCIL

Permission is requested to permit construction of a sign in front of the Senior High School, as shown on the attached drawing, by Hitch Neon Sign Company.

Sincerely,
s/ Ray Browning
Building Official

This matter was ordered tabled by the Mayor until it was determined who would control the sign in question.

JUNE 24, 1965

The following memo from the Controller was presented through the City Clerk:

TO: Mayor and Council
FROM: L. I. Jenkins, Controller
SUBJECT: IDAHO SALES TAX - SELLER'S PERMIT

Pursuant to the Idaho Sales Tax Act of 1965, every person who is in any way subject to the Idaho Sales Tax, must obtain a Seller's Permit by July 1, 1965. Submitted herewith is completed application for the City of Idaho Falls lacking only the signature of an Officer of the City. Providing there are no legal obstacles, and since there will be numerous certificates of exemption presented by our suppliers for certification to the City's exemption from payment of the tax, and further, the necessary reports to the State Tax Collector probably will be a responsibility of the Controller's office. I therefore suggest that the Controller be authorized to sign the application for a Seller's Permit and supplier's exemption certificates, with Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk as an alternate authorized signature.

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Parish, that the Controller be authorized to sign and submit the application in question and that the names of the Mayor, the Controller and the City Clerk be given as authorized signing officers of the City. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Councilman Freeman presented and read the following:

Y. M. C. A.
Place Ave. & Walnut St.
Idaho Falls, Idaho
June 17, 1965

Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen and
Members of the City Council
City of Idaho Falls
City Building
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Gentlemen:

A request from the Idaho Falls Idaho Young Men's Association is hereby made for:

- (1.) Sixteen (16) additional feet on the north of the present building for the construction by the Y.M.C.A., for two (2) standard handball courts, and

JUNE 24, 1965

- (2.) That the rental on the complete parcel of leased ground, including that now occupied by the present building, be one dollar (\$1.00) per year replacing the present fifty dollar (\$50.00) per year rental fee.

The City Attorney, Arthur Smith, suggests that a new twenty-five (25) year lease be negotiated covering the complete parcel of leased land.

Your favorable consideration of our request will be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely yours,
s/ W. O. Kunter
Pres. - Board of Directors

This was referred to the Engineering Department for study, particularly as it would affect the adjacent City owned parking lot.

Recreation Director Craner reported on the progress being made toward finalizing negotiations for construction of the Reinhart Park Swimming Pool. He said that the Intermountain Paddock Pool Company from Salt Lake City had submitted a satisfactory proposal in the amount of \$12,000.00 with the understanding that the City would do the excavation work and the further understanding that said price would not include rest rooms or an office. Craner proceeded to make note for the record that, in the interest of time, the Council had informally agreed to accept this proposal as described. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Leahy, that this unofficial action of the Council be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Craner then asked the Council's permission for the Junior Chamber of Commerce to be allowed to display fireworks at Highland Park on July 3rd. There was a question of liability. The Controller explained that this could be handled by a special extension of the existing liability policy. It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Freeman, that permission be granted, subject to the necessary arrangements being made on liability insurance. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Freeman, that the meeting adjourn. Carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
MAYOR
