

MARCH 25, 1965

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls, County of Bonneville, State of Idaho, met in a recessed Regular Meeting on Thursday, the 25th day of March, 1965, at the hour of 7:30 o'clock P.M. at the City Council Chambers in the City Hall at the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho; due and legal notice of said Meeting having been given as required by law and the rules and ordinances of the City.

On roll call the following members, constituting a quorum, were present: Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen; Councilmen Philip C. Leahy, Jim R. Freeman, Gordon L. Nelson, Dale D. Parish, Roy Keller. Absent: Karl G. Page. Also present: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk; Arthur Smith, City Attorney; Luther Jenkins, Controller; Ernie Craner, Parks & Recreation Director; Don Lloyd, Public Works Director; William Fell, Electrical Engineer; Ray Browning, Building Official.

Minutes of the previous recessed Regular Meeting, held March 8th, 1965, were read and approved.

The Mayor recognized Mrs. Howard James, wife of the newly appointed Public Use Advisor, as a visitor to the City and the Council Meeting.

Mr. John Dowdy, 403 Maple, appeared before the Council as Secretary Treasurer of the local antique car club and introduced the subject of the City's old fire truck of the year 1930. He explained the advantage to the City of selling the truck to an organization such as theirs instead of, for example, displaying it in a public park. He said his club would see that it was properly displayed in parades and other displays and that it would be worth at least \$300.00 to them as a collector's item. Councilman Leahy reminded Mr. Dowdy that it would still be in service for 180 to 200 days. The Mayor thanked Mr. Dowdy for appearing and for the proposition.

Mr. Edward J. Brooks, 818 Maplewood Drive, appeared before the Council and presented the following letter:

818 Maplewood Drive
Idaho Falls, Idaho
March 23, 1965

Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen
City of Idaho Falls

Dear Mayor Pedersen:

In light of the new secondary school site decision by the School Board of District 91, several factors which involve the City of Idaho Falls now become of extreme importance. These factors have not been publicized to any great degree, and may have not yet arrived at the stage where clear statements of policy by the City or School Administration have been made.

By formulating these important considerations into questions for the City Council, I hope to help clear the air for further action by the Administration of School District 91 and the citizens of Idaho Falls.

The questions are as follows:

MARCH 25, 1965

1. Is it now economically feasible and desirable in all aspects to annex the proposed school site; Describe the minimum legal land boundaries which would be required to bring the school site and necessary utilities into City jurisdiction.
2. Have annexation proceedings started, and at what earliest and reasonable latest dates can the work be completed?
3. Have all necessary land owners legally agreed to annexation, and if not, what disagreement constitutes failure of the annexation move?
4. Who makes the final move to complete the annexation, and what percentage majority is needed in the vote?
5. In the event annexation fails, will the City provide utilities (water, sewer, and power) to the school site, and at what geographic locations would the legally defined service connections be made? (To define what piping, power lines, etc. the School District would have to finance).
6. If annexation succeeds what costs must be assumed by District 91 for the installation of City furnished utilities?
7. Who furnished fire and police protection under the above two conditions? Is the new fire alarm system affected in either case?
8. Under the legal provisions of joint use recreational facilities, will the City participate in any manner, in an out-of-City school rate? May any City funds be committed prior to annexation, and what amount is currently considered for application to the south site?
9. Does the City now own, or have purchase options on land adjacent to either school site? Describe locations and status.

One final question, which is probably of more use in future site planning, now follows:

10. Does the City have written criteria governing future growth of the municipal airport, regarding restricted building sites, proposed flight approaches and anticipated runway use, which will be of value to the School Board? Are either of the two secondary school sites affected?

Since these questions are of current interest, I would appreciate their consideration at the next Council Meeting if time permits. I plan to attend the next Meeting, but will make myself available at any time that I can be of help.

Sincerely yours,
s/ Edward J. Brooks

MARCH 25, 1965

The Mayor preceded the answering of the various questions by commenting to the effect that great strides had been made in recent years toward cooperation between the City and the School District in effecting joint use facilities. He said the turning point in this regard, many years ago was the arrangement which was worked out for joint use of the Civic Auditorium.

The Mayor and City Council then proceeded to answer the foregoing questions to the best of their knowledge and ability and the essence of said answers, were as follows:

1. The City is not promoting annexation of the area in question a preliminary draft of the land that would be subject to annexation is in the hands of the Engineering Department but a plat has not been prepared. It is doubted that annexation would be considered prior to passage of the bond issue and then it would be subject to the recommendation and approval of the Planning Commission and the Engineering Department.

This has not been legally determined. When annexation of a strip of land is necessary to make another larger area contiguous to the City, said strip should be at least one half a City block in width.

2. Annexation proceedings have not started but when and if said proceedings do start, it would not be until after the plat is approved to the satisfaction of the Engineering Department, the Planning Commission and the City Council. The act of annexation in itself, is a simple procedure, taking very little time.
3. There has, to date, been no request for annexation by the School District or the affected property owners. Therefore, there has been no need for the City to resolve this question.
4. The final move in annexation proceedings is a majority affirmative vote of the Council to pass the annexation ordinance after which the Mayor and City Clerk are authorized to sign. The Clerk is then authorized to publish, after which said ordinance is in full effect.
5. This had not been determined and is a problem that would be resolved by the Public Works Division. It is assumed that the School District would not proceed with construction if the site were not annexed.
6. The cost of utilities would be pro-rated by area.
7. The City's power and right to extend fire and police protection can be extended beyond the City limits in cases of emergency or unusual circumstances. Extension of the fire alarm system to cover the proposed school could be accomplished.

8. This has not been resolved. No funds have been committed.
9. No

MARCH 25, 1965

10. Restricted areas around the airport are governed by the Federal Aviation Agency, commonly referred to as the clear zone. The Engineering Department can provide very explicit information on the subject. With regard to the Airport, proper, there are plans for the extension of certain runways.

This letter was then presented and read:

829 Clairview Lane
Idaho Falls, Idaho
March 24, 1965

Mayor Eddie Pedersen
2030 McKinzie Avenue
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Honorable Mayor Pedersen:

We of the Citizens School Committee feel that a very serious condition exists in our City. We all know there is a grave need for a new high school and that this school will cost all of us a considerable sum of money. It is a definite understood fact that we get more for our tax dollar when the City dollar and the school dollar are used in a joint-use facility. There are several questions in our minds and in the minds of all citizens in Idaho Falls that must be answered.

1. Does the majority of the City Council favor the City participation in a joint-use facility with the new high school?
2. Does the majority of the City Council favor a City expenditure of approximately \$500,000.00 to \$600,000.00 for this joint use facility outside the City limits?
3. Can the City participate in a joint-use facility outside the City limits?
4. Does the majority of the City Council favor participation in a joint-use facility at the south site as selected by the school board?
5. If the answer to question four is "no" does the majority of the City Council feel that they could participate in a joint-use facility of the west side?
6. What problems are involved and what length of time is required to annex the south site into the City limits?

7. What is the cost relative to this annexation?

MARCH 25, 1965

The answers to these questions, we feel, are very important because without the City's participation with the school district, our second high school will have to be short in many aspects as there cannot be enough money obtained from the bond issue to build the plant we need and then equip it as it should be in order to insure our children the needs in education.

We feel the time for speculation is over. In other words, moving ahead on ifs will not and cannot produce results. Certainly the citizens or our City should know how the City administration feels on these issues.

Respectfully,
s/ D. P. Williamson
Citizens School Committee

In Mr. Williamson's absence, Mr. Nello Bonicelli appeared as the representative from the Citizen's School Committee. He agreed there appeared no need to consider these questions, inasmuch as they had generally been answered from the Brooks letter. In answer to a question by Mr. Bonicelli, he was advised that the developer stands the cost of utility installation to serve a new area under development. The Mayor thanked Mr. Bonicelli and Mr. Brooks for appearing and for the civic interest shown in this regard.

License applications for BARTENDER, O. A. Johannesen, L. W. Taylor, Floyd Murray; RESTAURANT (previously approved by the City Sanitarian) J. B. Millard for Millard's Donut Shop; PHOTOGRAPHER, William P. Melville for Melville's Studio & Camera Shop, Diane Sielinsky at 1646 Westwood; SECOND HAND STORE, Donald F. Austin for Circle Dot Furniture Co., J. I. McBroom for Ken Garff Furniture; POOL ROOM (previously approved by the Police Chief) J. B. Millard at 216 First Street; ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR (previously approved by the Electrical Inspector) Truman Wilson for Wilson Electric, J. Wayne Van Orden for Ideal Electric Co.; JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICIAN (previously approved by the Electrical Inspector) J. Wayne Van Orden; APPRENTICE ELECTRICIAN (previously approved by the Electrical Inspector) William L. Read with Jewell Electric; CLASS B WARM AIR HEATING, GAS FITTING & REFRIGERATION JOURNEYMAN (previously approved by the Heating Inspector) Gordon Powell; CLASS C WARM AIR HEAT AND REFRIGERATION JOURNEYMAN (previously approved by the Heating Inspector) C. M. Mays; JOURNEYMAN PLUMBER (previously approved by the Heating Inspector) Donald R. Wilding; APPRENTICE WARM AIR HEATING (previously approved by the Heating Inspector) Kent McGary with Conan & Landon; MOTEL (previously approved by the Police Chief) L. A. Adams for Haven Motel, 27 rooms; BEER (previously approved by the Police Chief - canned & bottled not to be consumed on the premises) John S. Gamble for Gamble Fine Foods; RETAIL LIQUOR, Clara Ames for the Mint Bar were presented. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Leahy, that these licenses be approved. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

It was noted that the City Council had met in an informal meeting on March 11, 1965 and had taken action on various matters of City business requiring attention, as follows:

Rejected a bid from Tandy & Wood on liability insurance and instructed the Controller to prepare bid specifications for purposes of re-bidding, covering the period from May 1, 1965 to MARCH 25, 1965

April 30, 1966, to be submitted by and opened on April 20, 1965, and authorized the present carrier, Tandy & Wood, to continue present liability coverage by binder to May 1, 1965.

Awarded contract to low bidder, Goodwin Builders, for the remodeling of Fire Station #1, to include the base bid in the amount of \$30,975.00 and the 4th alternate covering ceramic tile in the amount of \$2,405.00 and authorized the Mayor and City Clerk to sign the contract documents, with the understanding that the other alternates could be considered and included in this same contract at a later date at the price as quoted if funds permit.

Authorized the City Clerk to advertise for bids for construction of the West Side Fire Station, said bids to be opened April 8th, 1965.

Acknowledged the fact that no good and valid bids had been received on the concrete contract and authorized the City Clerk to re-advertise.

Appointed Messrs. Ray Browning, Don Lloyd, and Robert Sandersfeld as appraisers on certain City owned property to be sold at public auction, lying directly east of the City owned Riverside Sub-station and authorized the City Clerk to advertise said auction accordingly, reflecting the appraisers' appraised value in said notice.

Authorized the Controller to work with the Parks and Recreation Director as a means of determining which lands in the Sand Creek Park are to be utilized for parks or recreation during the predictable future so that all other suitable land can be leased for farm purposes under the direction of the Controller.

Authorized the City Controller to coordinate with the Local Civil Defense Director toward the proper disposition of budgeted funds by the City in 1965 for Civil Defense purposes, together

with refunds to which the City is entitled on previously expended funds by the City for said Civil Defense purposes.

It was moved by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Keller, that all of the preceding business and action conducted at the informal meeting of March 11th, 1965 be ratified and confirmed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

MARCH 25, 1965

The following memo was presented and read:

City of Idaho Falls
Police Division
March 19, 1965

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council, City of Idaho Falls
FROM: Office of the Chief of Police
SUBJECT: ESTABLISHING OF TRUCK ROUTE

City Engineer Robert Sandersfeld and I attended a meeting in the office and by request of Mr. Bob Steiling. Present at this meeting in addition to we three were: Mr. Claude Cain, LaGrande Marchant, Mike Groth, and Howard Schow. Also a telephone communication with Mr. Joe Laird, State Engineer, was initiated.

It was agreed by this committee that as a temporary measure a truck route should be established post haste, due to the change in the Cliff-Street-Yellowstone intersection.

Recommended was:

1. That appropriate signs be placed to the south of Lava Street designating it as a Truck Route.
2. That Lava Street be a through street from Yellowstone Avenue to Capital Avenue with a stop sign being placed on either side at Oneida and Chamberlain Avenues.
3. That Lava Street be designated as a one-way street for westbound traffic only, between Oneida Avenue and Capital Avenue, and erection of adequate signs indicating such.
4. Establish a NO PARKING zone on Lava Street from Capital Avenue for thirty (30) feet to the east and on Capital Avenue on either side for thirty (30) feet to the north of Lava Street.
5. That larger or more adequate signs be placed on Yellowstone at Cliff Street advising of a no left turn.

Mr. Joe Laird explained that the State did not have any signs available and that it was permissible for the City to install such signs as deemed necessary, further that possible help might be obtained from the State crew to erect the signs.

It is the general feeling that this be accomplished as soon as possible. The prohibiting of left turns from Yellowstone to Cliff Street has sent almost all traffic to Broadway loading this street with vehicles bound for points south of Broadway. One of the greatest safety factors is the

MARCH 25, 1965

large trucks now traveling Broadway and especially the large tankers of petroleum products turning at Broadway and going through the center of the City.

It is my recommendation that immediate approval by resolution of the Mayor and City Council be given for the establishment of this truck route.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Robert D. Pollock
Chief of Police

Concurred: s/ R. E. Sandersfeld
City Engineer

The Mayor noted that, in the interests of time, this recommendation from the Police Chief had also been informally approved by the Council on March 19th. It was moved by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Keller, that this informal action be confirmed and ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

This claim denial recommendation was read:

Safeco, Lifeco General Ins.
258 Broadway
Idaho Falls, Idaho
March 17, 1965

City of Idaho Falls
Idaho Falls
Idaho

Attention: Mr. Roy Barnes, City Clerk

Re: City of Idaho Falls - BLP 232171 (Binder)
D/L: 3/11/65 - Claimant: David & Patricia Hamon,
Location: Alley between Water & Ridge Streets, intersecting with Pine Street

Dear Mr. Barnes:

The Sanitation Department gave us immediate notice of this accident and I was able to check the scene before the vehicles were moved. During the day I completed my investigation by obtaining statements from all witnesses and parties involved.

This accident resulted when the Hamon vehicle, a 1960 Chevrolet, was traveling east on Pine Street and collided with the City garbage truck which was traveling south on the alley between Ridge and Water Streets.

MARCH 25, 1965

Our investigation reveals that there was negligence on the part of Patricia Hamon, therefore, we request that you deny the claim which they are presenting against the City.

Since I made immediate contact with the claimant concerning this accident, a formal filing of their claim against the City may not be necessary and action by the City Council to deny their claim would probably be in order without waiting for claimant's filing of the claim notice.

If you have any questions concerning our investigation feel free to contact me at any time.

Sincerely yours,
Safeco Insurance Company

s/ Merlyn D. Colpron
Claims Adjustor
Idaho Falls Field Office

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Freeman, that the recommendation, as presented, be upheld and the claim be denied. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Purchasing Agent was presented:

City of Idaho Falls
Purchasing Agent
March 19, 1965

I One Ton Cab & Chassis

Honorable Mayor and Councilmembers:

Tabulation of bids for one (1) one ton cab & chassis is attached.

Evaluation of bids received show Ellsworth Brothers Inc. of Idaho Falls, submitting the low bid meeting the specifications for \$2,480.70.

The one ton cab & chassis is to be used as a fire salvage truck for the Fire Department.

It is the recommendation of the Fire Department and the Purchasing Department that the low bid be accepted.

This recommendation subject to your approval.

s/ W. J. Skow
Purchasing Department

MARCH 25, 1965

It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Keller, that the low bid of Ellsworth Brothers be accepted as recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

This second memo from the Purchasing Department was then read:

City of Idaho Falls
Purchasing Agent
March 17, 1965

2 Four Door Sedans

Honorable Mayor and Councilmembers:

Tabulation of bids for two (2) four door sedans showing net price with trade-in is attached.

Evaluation of bids received show Smith Chevrolet of Idaho Falls. submitting the low bid, with trade-in, for \$4340.00. The two cars to be traded in are a 1952 Plymouth and a 1960 Plymouth.

These two cars are to be used by the Public Works Division, and the Electric Light Department.

It is the recommendation of the Public Works Division, and Electric Light Department and the Purchasing Department that the low bid with trade-in, be accepted.

This recommendation subject to your approval.

s/ W. J. Skow
Purchasing Department

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Keller, that the low bid of Smith Chevrolet be accepted as recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

From the Building Official, this memo was presented and read:

City of Idaho Falls
Building Official
March 24, 1965

MEMO TO MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL:

Gentlemen:

The Planning Commission requests thirty (30) days additional time to consider and make their recommendation to the Mayor and Council on a petition to re-zone property in the 500 block on East 15th Street, for Rex Purcell, Peter Mehloff and Henry S. Martin, as per Article 3-2, Amendment "C" of the Comprehensive Zone Ordinance #1115.

s/ Ray Browning
Building Official

MARCH 25, 1965

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Parish, that the extension of time, as requested by the Planning Commission, be approved. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Next, from the Building Official, this memo was presented:

March 24, 1965

To: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Gentlemen:

The attached letters are a request to the Mayor and Council for a variance from the Uniform Building Code, Section 1305, which reads as follows:

SECTION 1305: The window area in bathrooms, water closet compartments, and other similar rooms shall be not less than three square feet (3 square feet), unless a mechanical ventilation system capable of producing a change of air every five minutes and connected directly to the outside is provided.

When such required windows are installed, not less than one-half of the required area shall be able to open.

s/ Ray Browning
Building Official

To assist the Council in making a decision on this request for a variance from the Building Code, this letter was read:

International Conference
of Building Officials

Ray Browning
Building Official

P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Mr. Browning:

We are in receipt of your letter of March 3, 1965, requesting an interpretation of Section 1305 (2), second paragraph, regarding mechanical ventilation of bathrooms.

It is our understanding that the cap on the top of the 8-inch gravity flow air vent "Model MVC Master vent" is actually a wind aspirator vent. It is the intent of the Code to require motor-driven vents to provide the ventilation required by this section. Therefore, this vent would not be acceptable.

MARCH 25, 1965

With regard to the noise that would be conducted by the bathrooms, we have had no experience in this regard and therefore cannot comment.

We trust the foregoing is of assistance to you.

Please let us know if we may be of further service.

Yours very truly,
International Conference
of Building Officials
s/ T. H. Carter
Managing Director
s/ R. B. Buchan
Director of Education
Field and Publications

Building Official Browning concurred with the opinion as indicated in the foregoing letter from the International Conference of Building Officials. Councilman Parish commented to the effect that the Holiday Inn organization is probably overlooking the fact that their Idaho Falls location will be subjected to a wider variance in temperatures that in many other section of the country and that mechanical ventilation will prove to be of benefit. It was moved by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Leahy, that the request for the variance be denied. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Finally, from the Building Official, this memo was read:

March 11, 1965

TO: The Honorable Mayor
and City Council
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Gentlemen:

I would like to present for your consideration, the name of Mr. John A. Beins of 615 May Street to replace Mr. Maurice Jacobson as a member of the City of Idaho Falls Plumbing Board.

Mr. Jacobson has accepted work out of the City.

Respectfully,
s/ Ray Browning
Building Official

The Mayor made the appointment accordingly. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Freeman, that the appointment be confirmed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

MARCH 25, 1965

ORDINANCE NO. 1126
Gustafson Park Addition, Division #3

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Keller, seconded by Freeman, that the provisions of Section 50-2004 of the Idaho Code requiring all Ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-2004 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the Ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration. The question being "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 1127
Well Site #11

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Keller, that the provisions of Section 50-2004 of the Idaho Code requiring all Ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-2004 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative,

the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the Ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration. The question being "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 1128
Jennie Lee Addition, Division #9

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF
IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME
A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Leahy, that the provisions of Section 50-2004 of the Idaho Code requiring all Ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being "SHALL THE
MARCH 25, 1965

PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-2004 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the Ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration. The question being "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

The City Clerk read the following proposed advertisement for bids in connection with the proposed paving and sidewalks under Local Improvement District No. 34:

INVITATION FOR BIDS

Sealed proposals for "L. I. D. No. 34" will be received at the office of the City Clerk, City Hall, Idaho Falls, Idaho, until 10:00 A.M. (M.S.T.) on April 20, 1965 and then will be publicly opened and read aloud.

The project consists of the reconstruction of "E" Street and requires the removal of the existing paving, sub-grade material, curb & gutter; sidewalk and installing new sub-base material; 5960 square yards of asphalt paving; 2515 linear feet of combined curb and gutter; 2020 square yards of sidewalk; 6 catch basins and 325 linear feet of 8-inch concrete pipe.

The Engineer's estimate for this project is \$33,500.00.

Specifications and drawings may be obtained at the office of the City Engineer, City Annex Building, Idaho Falls, Idaho, upon payment of a ten dollar (\$10.00) deposit. The deposit will be refunded if specifications and drawings are returned in good condition within fourteen (14) days after bid opening.

A bid of 10% of the amount bid will be required of each bid. The successful bidder or bidders will be required to execute a performance bond in the total amount of their bid.

The right is reserved in the interest of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho to reject any or all bids and to waive any informality in bids received. The competency or responsibility of bidders and their proposed subcontractors will be considered in making the award of the contract.

Dated this ___ day of _____, 1965.

City of Idaho Falls, Idaho
s/ _____
Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Keller, that the advertisement be accepted, and that the City Clerk be authorized and directed to cause the same to be published in the Post Register, the official City Newspaper, in three consecutive weekly issues of said paper. Roll call as follows: Voting Aye: Councilmen Leahy, Keller, Freeman, Nelson, Parish. Voting Nay: None. Motion carried.

MARCH 25, 1965

The City Clerk requested authorization to publish a legal notice, advertising for bids on the fire alarm system, date of the bid opening to be determined by the Fire Chief. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Nelson, that authorization be granted accordingly. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

The City Clerk then drew attention to the need for legal publication covering advertisement for bids on the water line contract, a project covered by the Fire Improvement Bond Issue, and the crushed gravel contract. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Keller, that authorization be granted for publication accordingly. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Finally, the City Clerk drew attention to the need for a public zoning hearing. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Keller, that authorization be granted to publish legal notice accordingly and that the date of said hearing be set for April 22nd, 1965. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Public Works Director was presented:

City of Idaho Falls
3-19-1965

TO: Mayor and Council
FROM: Don Lloyd
SUBJECT: CONCRETE REPAIR CONTRACT

Be advised that two bids were received for the second bid opening on our concrete maintenance contract.

Lloyd Nash & Sons, Inc.	\$7,852.50
C. Reinhart & Son, Inc.	9,745.00

We are recommending that the low bid of \$7,852.50 submitted by Lloyd Nash & Sons, Inc., be accepted. It was moved by Councilman Keller, seconded by Leahy, that Lloyd Nash & Sons be awarded the concrete contract as recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Next, from the Public Works Director, this memo was presented:

City of Idaho Falls
3-25-1965

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Don Lloyd
SUBJECT: DESIGN OF 17TH STREET SNAKE RIVER BRIDGE

In connection with the above bridge project, the City and County Engineering Departments have reviewed a proposal from Barton, Stoddard, Milhollin, and Lupton, Inc. of Boise to design this bridge. This firm prepared the preliminary engineering report, is well qualified and experienced in bridge design, and is acceptable to both the County and the City.

MARCH 25, 1965

The proposal contains two parts:

Foundation Investigation	\$4,000
Bridge Design	8,000

Although these two items must be closely integrated a different Engineer, Mr. Robert P. Jones, will conduct the foundation investigation. The proposal is based upon drilling eight (8) holes and should conditions develop which require additional holes, the cost is set at \$300 each.

We would recommend to the Mayor and Council that this proposal be reviewed by the City Attorney, and should there be no objections, that we enter into an agreement based upon the conditions outlined in this proposal.

It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Keller, that authorization be granted to proceed as outlined, subject to review by the City Attorney. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

A memo was then presented from the Public Works Director pertaining to the transfer of certain funds, as described:

City of Idaho Falls
3-16-1965

TO: Council Public Works Committee
FROM: Don Lloyd
SUBJECT: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

In the preparation of this year's operating budget, we anticipated a need of about \$12,000 for gravel. We have recently become involved in the possible purchase of a long-range source of material, and will require a big portion of the money allocated for gravel.

We are suggesting that capital outlay monies from the Sanitation Section can be transferred to the Street Department to provide sufficient monies to award a gravel crushing contract. This, in effect, will allow the purchase of one new packer truck rather than the two originally anticipated. We feel that this can be done without effecting our equipment program, since there has been a radical change in the sanitation operation. The close proximity of the new landfill has effectively saved one vehicle. This request has been discussed in detail with the Supervisor of the Department and has his concurrence. I would recommend that \$10,000 be transferred from Code 4460-54 to 4430-53.

It was moved by Councilman Keller, seconded by Nelson, that the transfer of funds be approved as outlined and recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

Finally, from the Public Works Director, this memo was read by the City Clerk:

MARCH 25, 1965

City of Idaho Falls
3-23-1965

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Don Lloyd
SUBJECT: VACATION OF ALLEY NORTH OF CLIFF STREET

We have been requested by the Electric Light Division to vacate a portion of the alley north of Cliff Street which lies west of the Westergard property. Because of the location of the new substation and the proximity of high voltage electrical apparatus, it was felt that in the interest of public safety this alley should be vacated. Access will be available for maintenance of existing sewer lines, and the closing has been discussed with Mr. Westergard. We are recommending that this alley be vacated to public use in favor of the City.

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Keller, that the alley be vacated in favor of the Electrical Division as suggested and recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

A memo from the Controller was presented and read, to-wit:

City of Idaho Falls
Memorandum
March 25, 1965

TO: Mayor S. Eddie Pedersen
FROM: L. I. Jenkins, City Controller
SUBJECT: FUND TRANSFER - ELECTRIC LIGHT FUND

January 7, 1965, the Council ratified a transfer as of December 31, 1964, the sum of \$215,000.00 from an unexpected cash balance of the Electric Light Fund to the General Fund, which sum was to be reimbursed to the Light Fund in 1965. We wish to effect the reimbursement prior to March 31st and your permission is requested to do so.

It was moved by Councilman Parish, seconded by Freeman, that authorization be granted, as recommended, for the transfer of funds. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

A comprehensive memo from the Electrical Engineer was introduced by Councilman Leahy, involving a completely revised electric rate schedule. It was noted that, under said rates, the annual reduction in electrical proceeds would approximate \$161,000.00. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Keller, that the City Attorney be instructed to amend the Electrical Rate Ordinance for Council consideration on April 8, 1965, to incorporate all revisions as recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

It was noted that there would be a substantial amount of work necessary in the City Clerk's office to prepare for the foregoing electrical rate changes, including some expense of having the billing machine geared for the new and revised code classifications. It was moved by Councilman

Freeman, seconded by Keller, that the City Clerk be authorized to proceed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

MARCH 25, 1965

Public Works Director Lloyd reported on the closing of the left turn bay at North Yellowstone and First Street. He said First Street merchants had been contacted and that no protest had been registered to date. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Keller, that the Public Works Director be authorized and directed to request from the State of Idaho, Department of Highways, that said left turn bay be closed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

City Controller Jenkins presented a proposal from Spray-all Corporation of Idaho Falls covering weed control for the City. He explained that it was accompanied by a liability insurance policy which would protect all areas including adjacent, near by areas to that which was actually being sprayed. It was moved by Councilman Keller, seconded by Nelson, that the proposal be accepted, subject to final checking and approval by the City Attorney. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, none; carried.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Parish, that the meeting adjourn. Carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

s/ S. Eddie Pedersen
MAYOR
