

JUNE 7, 1962

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls met in Regular Session Thursday, June 7, 1962, at 8:00 P.M. in the Council Chambers at Idaho Falls, Idaho. There were present at said Meeting: Mayor W. J. O'Bryant; Councilmen Leahy, Creek, Foote, and Page. Also present: Roy C. Barnes; City Clerk; George Barnard, City Attorney; Don Ellsworth, City Engineer; Luther Jenkins, Controller; Parley Gillen, Fire Chief; Peter Hill, Airport Manager.

Minutes of the last Recessed Regular Meeting held May 28, 1962, were read and approved.

The Mayor announced that this was the time and the place for a public hearing, as advertised, relative to the establishment of Local Improvement District #28.

The City Engineer was invited by the Mayor to explain and otherwise comment on the proposed district. It was learned that construction costs were estimated at \$95,000; other expenses such as engineering, legal, etc., \$19,000 for a total of \$114,000. It was estimated further, said Ellsworth, that these funds would be raised as follows: Assessments, \$87,500; City's share for City property, \$2,300; City's share for intersection paving, \$2,200; City's share for non-assessable property \$5,500; City's share for culvert construction at Willow Creek, \$4,600; City's share for one section of storm line, \$7,700; City's share for an area outside the City, \$4,200.

The Mayor then directed the City Clerk to read all written protests, as follows:

June 4, 1962

Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

Dear Mr. Barnes:

I wish to put in my protest against paving the alley running in an east-west direction through Block #35 from the east property line of Lee Avenue to the west property line of Emerson Avenue in Crows Addition.

I feel paving the alley would not increase the value of the property, and at this time do not feel able to pay for the increase in taxes.

s/ Mrs. J. M. Schaler
257 10th Street

Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls, Idaho

Gentlemen:

I hereby protest the inclusion of my property in the proposed Special Improvement District No. 28 of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho. Per notice to establish Local Improvement District #28 dated May 22, 1962.

JUNE 7, 1962

This protest covers the following described property: East ½ Lot 40 all of Lot 41 & West ½ Lot 42 Block 35 Crow's Addition described in above notice as (the alley running in an east-west direction a distance of 400 feet from the West property line of Emerson Avenue, in Block 35, Crow's Addition).

The foregoing protest based partly upon the following reasons:

1. The paving of this alley would not add any value to this property or be of personal benefit to myself and would only injure me personally.
2. For the past several months this alley has been used extensively by individuals, whom it is presumed are mostly members of a non-profit organization, driving most of the time at excessive speeds and, to pave the alley would only benefit the patrons and the non-profit organization and possibly allow for increased excessive speed that has been noted in the past.

It is my understanding that alleys are only for the convenience of owners of adjacent property and for the convenience of services, performed by the utilities of the City and other utilities operating under a franchise issued by the City AND NOT FOR A BOULEVARD to be used at excessive speeds by a private and individual or other concerns.

Yours truly,
s/ Fred E. Ring
Property Owner
Dates this 5th day of June, 1962

269 Tenth Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho
May 29, 1962

Mr. Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Mr. Barnes,

I do hereby protest the establishment of an improvement district by the City Council on the alley running east-west direction a distance of 400 feet from the west property line of Emerson Avenue, in Block, 35, Crow's Addition.

My home property lies on the south side of the above mentioned alley and I believe it will not be benefited at all by the improving of the alley. The alley at present is graveled with fine pea gravel, which makes a good road bed for an alley. As I understand, the alleys are not to be

JUNE 7, 1962

used as thoroughfares for general travel. This is dangerous for children who play in the alleys. A number of children play in this alley and an oiled road will speed traffic.

Very truly yours,
s/Ralph O. Waddoups

900 South Emerson
Idaho Falls, Idaho
May 31, 1962

Office of the City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls
City Building
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Gentlemen:

As owner of property (house and lot) located at 900 South Emerson, Idaho Falls, I hereby protest the paving of alleyway in 200 block between 9th and 10th Streets, under Special Improvement District #28.

Very truly yours,
s/ Maurice D. Adams

Idaho Falls, Idaho
June 4, 1962

City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls

This is a protest by John Monsen for the paving of alley east-west direction a distance of 400 feet from the west property line of Emerson Avenue in Block 35 Crow's Addition as being out of line with improvements we really need & as said alley is not used by us once a year, it is a waste of taxpayer's money.

s/ John Monson
261 10th Street

PETITION OF PROTEST

We, the undersigned property owners of Block 11, from the East property line of Lee Avenue to the West property line of Emerson Avenue in Brodbeck Addition to the City of Idaho Falls,

JUNE 7, 1962

do hereby PROTEST the inclusion of this property into the proposed Local Improvement District No. 28 of the City of Idaho Falls, for the purpose of paving the alley running in an East-West direction through Block 11, as described above.

We further petition that the proposed improvements to this alley be removed from the proposed Local Improvement District No. 28, and that there be no assessments levied against the subject properties, lots, parcels of land, etc. as proposed by the Resolution No. 1 (8th day of May 1962), being Resolution of Intention of the City Council; there being no valid reason for said improvements to be impressed on the property owners of the above described property.

John A. Basinger
Dorothy Gehring
Walter W. Narkevic
Clarence Wilde

T. H. Fannesbeck
Norman G. Mickelson
Grant McClellan
Harry J. Kruse

Paul W. Stosich
James E. Johnson
C. N. Walton
E. M. Grayson

Verbal protests were then invited.

Mr. Ford Thueson, 784 I Street, not knowing for sure as to whether or not he was included in the district, appeared and proposed that the underground fluming of Willow Creek is more important, as a safety investment, than the paving of Edgewater Avenue. It was learned that Mr. Thueson was not included in the district and so his protest, although made a matter of record, was not honored as an official protestant against the establishment of the district.

Hearing no further protests, it was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Creek, that the public hearing be officially closed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

The Mayor explained that all protests would be referred to the City Engineer and the City Attorney for study and recommendation.

After some discussion, it was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Foote, that the following improvements be removed from Local Improvement District #28:

STREET PAVING

The alley running in an East-West direction through Block 11 from the East property line of Lee Avenue to the West property line of Emerson Avenue, Brodbeck Addition.

The alley running in an East-West direction a distance of 400 feet from the West property line of Emerson Avenue, Block 35, Crow's Addition.

Higbee Avenue from the North property line of 25th Street a distance of 630 feet South, Section 30, Township 2 North, Range 38, E.B.M.

JUNE 7, 1962

SIDEWALKS

On the North side of Azalea Street from the Southeast corner of Lot 11, Block 1, Edgemont Gardens Addition to the Southwest corner of Lot 9, Block 1, University Manor Addition.

On the East side of Higbee Avenue from a point 378 feet South of the South property line of 25th Street South, for a distance of 192 feet, Section 30, Township 2 North Range 38, E.B.M.

Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

Bills for the month of May, having been properly audited by the Finance Committee were presented as follows, to-wit:

<u>FUND</u>	<u>GROSS PAYROLL</u>	<u>SERVICES & MATERIALS</u>	<u>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</u>
General Fund	\$100,724.70	\$79,092.33	\$179,817.03
Recreation Fund	1,679.00	7,779.80	9,458.80
Fire Fund	20,380.50	1,483.29	21,863.79
Electric Light Fund	24,770.80	64,402.20	89,173.00
Water & Sewer	12,589.70	48,206.46	60,795.86
Police Retirement	<u>1,710.83</u>	<u>.00</u>	<u>1,710.83</u>
TOTAL	\$161,855.23	\$200,964.08	\$362,819.31

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Creek, that the bills be allowed and the Controller be authorized to issue warrants on the respective funds for their payment. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

Reports from Division and Department Heads for the month of May were presented and, there being no objection, were ordered placed on file in the office of the City Clerk.

License applications for RESTAURANT, change of ownership only, for Edward DeJulis, of Jack's Chicken Inn and CIRCUS for Siebrand Bros., located on Sears Parking Lot, were presented. It was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Leahy, that these licenses be approved. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

License application for DANCE HALL, change in ownership only, for Edward DeJulis of Jack's Chicken Inn, was presented. It was moved by Councilman Creek, seconded by Leahy, that the license be granted, subject to the approval of the Police Chief and the Police Committee. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

An extension rider was presented from the Union Pacific Railroad relative to L & T #11890, covering a pole storage site, extending that agreement to August 15, 1967. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Creek, that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

The following damage claim was read:

JUNE 7, 1962

Idaho Falls, Idaho
June 6, 1962

The Honorable Mayor Jack O'Bryant
Members of the Idaho Falls City Council
City Building
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Mayor O'Bryant and Members of the City Council:

We have contacted several of your employees regarding payment of a claim against the City of Idaho Falls for glass breakage, November 21, 1961. This damage resulted from a rock thrown by one of your sanding trucks, breaking a section of our plate glass windows. The repairs had to be made immediately as it was just prior to the Thanksgiving Holiday. We called the man who was in charge of the City garage at that time, to come and inspect the damage, which he did. He told me that he believed the City carried their insurance with Tandy and Wood Company, and to call them to send an adjustor to see us. I did this but it developed that he was away for the Thanksgiving Holiday. I called the man at the garage again, and he told me that he knew that Bennett Paint and Glass had done a lot of your repairs and suggested that I contact them which I did, also W.P. Fuller Company, Valley Glass and Ashton Glass Company. Bennett was the low bidder and their workmen came and made the repairs. After not hearing from the City for several months, I contacted someone in department, who told me that whoever had said that Tandy and Wood carried the liability insurance was misinformed, that Tandy and Wood carried the vehicle insurance but not the liability; that the bill would be honored and paid either to Bennett's or ourselves, in the amount of \$218,98. I feel that this is a just claim and should be honored. It was only recently that after talking to Mr. Don Lloyd of your office that I was advised by him, that the procedure for collection was to write the Mayor and Council, and give the details. I am certain the City does not want to have a record of not taking care of just obligations. I would appreciate your taking this up at the next board meeting so that we can get this long drawn out claim settled.

Yours very truly,
MORGAN'S EQUIPMENT, INC.
s/ M. E. Picanco
Manager

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Creek, that this be referred to the City Insurance Carrier for investigation and recommendation. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

The following letter was then read:

June 6, 1962

JUNE 7, 1962

Mr. Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sir:

I am the owner of residential property at 482 Cliff Street. For several years the City trucks have been using my property, adjacent to my residence, for an access road to the river when dumping snow into the river during the winter months.

Please be advised that this access road over my private property will not be available to the City in the future unless there is some consideration for same. Any reasonable proposition from the City will be favorably considered by the writer.

I trust the Mayor and City Council will give this matter their attention before the coming winter months.

Yours very truly,
s/ G. C. (Gus) Torneten
482 Cliff Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

It was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Leahy, that this be referred to Mr. William Black with instructions to negotiate, if possible, for the acquisition of a right of way across the property in question. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

It was noted that on May 29th, 1962 certain written recommendations had been presented to the City Council, pertaining to written and verbal protests against being included in Local Improvement District #29, as follows:

May 29, 1962

To the Mayor and City Council
of the City of Idaho Falls

Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned, City Attorney and City Engineer, make the following report and recommendations concerning the protests made in the matter of L.I.D. No. 29:

1. The protest of Joe Aldana should be denied. Mr. Aldana has advanced no legal reason why his property should be excluded from the district. His property will be served by the water lines.

JUNE 7, 1962

2. The protest of Hall and Cope should be granted on the ground that the property proposed to be assessed is adequately served by City water lines constructed by protestant at protestant's expense.

3. The protest of Ira Holley should be denied. No legal reason advanced as to why his property should be excluded from the district. His property will be served by the water lines.

4. The protest of Hollywood Bowl, Inc, should be denied. No legal reason why property should be excluded from the district. Property will be served by the water lines.

5. The protest of Joe Phillips should be denied. No legal reason why property should be excluded from the district. Property will be served by the water lines.

6. The protest of Western Livestock should be denied. No legal reason why property should be excluded from the district. Property will be served by the water lines.

7. We regard the protest of the owners of the Motor-Vu property as questionable. There are strong reasons for keeping this property in the district, if that can be legally and reasonably accomplished. The legal question involved is simply whether a tract of more than five acres, which would have been subject to annexation by reason of its having been part of a larger tract from which tracts of less than five acres had been sold, thereby rendering the entire tract liable to annexation, can be legally annexed. This precise question has not been determined by the Supreme Court of the State, and no authoritative opinion can be given until there is a supreme court decision on the question.

Normally, this would be an excellent case to present to the court to obtain such a decision. The time required to get this done, however, would delay the project for several months, as any prospective purchaser of the bonds would insist on having the bond money held up pending the decision.

In view of the critical need for water in other areas of the district, we are therefore recommending that this area be de-annexed and taken out of the district at this time.

8. The Ken Garff property presents exactly the same considerations as the Motor-Vu property, and we make the same recommendation.

Yours very truly,
s/ Don Ellsworth, City Engineer
s/Geo. L. Barnard, City Attorney

JUNE 7, 1962

It was noted further, that on that date, the Council informally approved the foregoing recommendations in their entirety by removing certain areas as recommended and denying all other protests as recommended. It was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Creek, that the Council's action as of that date be made a matter of official record and duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 1030

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 1 OF ORDINANCE NO. 1027 OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, BY ELIMINATING THERE FROM CERTAIN LANDS, AND PROVIDING WHEN THIS AMENDING ORDINANCE SHALL TAKE EFFECT.

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Leahy, that the provisions of Section 50-2004 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-2004 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the Ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried

The following were presented by the City Clerk:

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

Sealed proposals for the construction of street improvements, (Local Improvement District No. 28), addressed to the Mayor and City Council, City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, will be received at the office of the City Engineer, City of Idaho Falls, Idaho until 2 P.M. (MST) on the 3rd day of July, 1962, and then will be publicly opened and read.

The work contemplated consists of construction of street improvements, including approximately 3,430 cubic yards of gravel base, 2,250 tons of plant mix bituminous surface course 2 inches thick, 1,540 square yards of sidewalk, 12,617 linear feet of concrete curb and gutter, 1,783 linear feet of 6 inch to 15 inch diameter storm sewer and appurtenances, and one reinforced concrete box culvert, together with roadway clearing, excavation, and embankment.

Plans and specifications may be examined at the office of the City Engineer, City of Idaho Falls, Idaho. A copy of said documents may be obtained at the above office upon a deposit of twenty-five (\$25) dollars, for each document. The full amount of the deposit will be refunded if said documents are returned in good condition within fifteen (15) days after the award of the Contract.

JUNE 7, 1962

Each proposal must be submitted on the prescribed form and accompanied by a certified check or bid bond payable to the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, in an amount not less than five percent (5%) of the amount bid.

The successful bidder will be required to furnish a bond for faithful performance of the Contract in the full amount of the Contract price.

The right is reserved to reject any or all proposals, to postpone the award of the Contract for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days and to accept that proposal which is to the best interests of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Dated this 15th day of June, 1962.

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS,
IDAHO

Published June 17, 24, and July 1

s/ Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

Sealed unit price proposals for the construction of the 1962 Water District (Local Improvement District No. 29) addressed to the Mayor and City Council, City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, will be received at the Office of the Mayor in the City Hall, Idaho Falls, Idaho, until 2:00 p.m. on the 3rd day of July, 1962, and then will be publicly opened and read.

The work contemplated in this project consists of furnishing and installing approximately 8, 330 lineal feet of 12 inch diameter cast iron water pipe, 627 lineal feet of 6 inch diameter cast iron water pipe, 10, 5" fire hydrants; together with all designated fittings and necessary appurtenances.

The work will be awarded to the one responsible bidder submitting the lowest acceptable bid.

Plans and specifications will be available at the office of the City Engineer, City Hall, Idaho Falls, Idaho. A copy of said documents may be obtained at the above office upon a deposit of \$10.00 for each set. The full amount of the deposit will be refunded if said documents are returned in good condition within fifteen (15) days after the date of the bid opening.

JUNE 7, 1962

Each proposal must be submitted on the prescribed form and be accompanied by a certified check, cashier's check, or bid bond; payable the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, in an amount not less than five percent (5%) of the amount bid.

Successful bidder or bidders will be required to furnish security for faithful performance of the Contract in the full amount of the Contract price.

The right is reserved to reject any and all proposals, to postpone the award of the Contract for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days, and to accept that proposal which serves the best interests of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Dates this 15th day of June, 1962.

City of Idaho Falls
s/ Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

Published: June 17, 24, & July 1

It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Leahy, that authorization be granted to publish, as required by law. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

The following memorandum from the Recreation Director was presented:

June 7, 1962

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Kelvin J. Nelson, Supt. Of Parks & Recreation
SUBJECT: REMOVAL OF CERTAIN TRIANGLES WITHIN THE CITY

There exists within the City numerous strips and triangles which serve no useful purpose to the City of Idaho Falls. They are expensive to maintain and in some instances actually impair normal flow of traffic. Not only are they expensive and difficult to maintain, but with the present overflow of essential work, this Division does not have adequate personnel to maintain them properly.

We, therefore, request that consideration be given to removal of these triangles that they may in effect be placed back into public streets. These areas are:

1. Both the southeast and southwest corners of West Elva and Canal Streets.
2. The triangle at Fourth Street and South Boulevard.

JUNE 7, 1962

We also request that favorable consideration be given toward a proposed realignment of the intersection at Elm and Boulevard.

These requests meet with the approval of the Traffic Division and Mr. Donald F. Lloyd, Director of Public Works.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Kelvin J. Nelson
Supt. Of Parks and Recreation

This was tabled for study and consideration.

The Recreation Director, through the Mayor, has proposed that the City offer and agree to mow the triangular area north of the L.D.S. Temple and in exchange, the Hospital Caretaker would be responsible for watering the river bank area below the Temple and the Hospital. It was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Creek, that this be approved with the condition that a letter of agreement be prepared to be signed or at least initiated by both involved parties. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 1032

AN ORDINANCE REGULATING THE CAPACITY OF TRUCKS USED FOR THE TRANSPORTATION OF GASOLINE TO, OR THE UNLOADING THEREOF AT RETAIL FILLING STATIONS WITHIN THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION OF SAID ORDINANCE; AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES INCONSISTENT OR IN CONFLICT THEREWITH.

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Foote, that the provisions of Section 50-2004 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-2004 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Foote, Page, Leahy; Creek Abstained. Carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the Ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Foote, Page, Leahy; Creek Abstained. Carried.

The following was presented from the Controller:

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

OPERATING PROCEDURES MANUAL

APPROVED: MAYOR AND COUNCIL
DATE ISSUED: JUNE 7, 1962

3.01

TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION AND REPORTING OF TRAVEL EXPENSES

1.00 PURPOSE

The purpose of this procedure is to set forth the requirements for travel authorization and reporting of travel expenses.

2.00 SCOPE

This bulleting applies to all personnel of the City of Idaho Falls traveling on City business.

3.00 DEFINITIONS

3.01 For the purpose of this procedure, travel is defined as any trip away from the City of Idaho Falls which cannot be accomplished within the normal work day.

3.02 Carrier Accommodations -

- a. First Class rail fare is defined and limited to lower berth accommodations.
- b. Airfare is defined and limited to coach or tourist accommodations.

Exception must be specifically authorized on the TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION AND ITINERARY, Form TR-1. Use of better class accommodations during the course of travel must be explained and accounted for by ticket stubs or receipts of the carrier.

3.03 Travel Status -

- a. Travel status is defined as the minimum period of time required to complete a travel assignment beginning with the date and time of departure from Idaho Falls and ending with the date and time of return to Idaho Falls.
- b. The mode of transportation shall be used which will place the traveler at his destination with a minimum of time en-route. Exceptions must be specifically authorized on the "TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION AND ITINERARY", Form TR-1.

- c. Time spent in travel in excess of the minimum limitation, solely for the convenience of the traveler, will not be recognized as "in travel status", and all costs incurred by the traveler by reason of excessive travel time will not be reimbursable to the traveler, and such excessive time shall be chargeable to the traveler.

4.00 RESPONSIBILITY

- 4.01 The prospective traveler shall notify the Controller as far in advance of the proposed trip as possible.
- 4.02 The Controller shall be responsible for preparation of Form TR-1 "TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION AND ITINERARY" in duplicate.
- 4.03 The Division Head shall be responsible for clearing all travel requests with the Mayor and Council.
- 4.04 If an itinerary is changed after Form TR-1 has been approved, either prior to beginning of the trip or during the course of the trip, the traveler will notify the Controller in order that a corrected Form TR-1 may be issued and approved. Change in itinerary during the course of travel must be explained. The revised form will have the word "Corrected" written above the title.

5.00 APPROVAL OF AUTHORIZATION TO TRAVEL

- 5.10 All requests for travel authorization must be approved by the Mayor and Council.
- 5.20 Following approval by the Mayor and Council the original copy of Form TR-1 will serve as authorization to the Controller to obtain tickets and issue travel advances (where applicable). The duplicate copy will go to the traveler for his records.

6.00 EXPENSE STATEMENT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

- 6.10 Each traveler will furnish to the Controller receipts as support for travel expenses claimed.
- 6.11 Air travel will be supported by receipts or ticket stubs, including receipts for excess baggage.

- 6.12 Train travel will be supported by ticket stubs or receipts for Pullman fare.
- 6.13 Bus travel will be supported by stubs when given in the normal course of business.
- 6.14 Lodging accommodation claims will be supported by receipts marked "paid".
- 6.15 Meal expense will be limited to an actual reasonable amount for the traveler (and for his family in the event of a move-in of a new hire, in which case the number in the family and ages of children, if any, will be noted on the expense statement).
- 6.16 Rent-a-car service, taxi service (where fare is in excess of \$3.00 for any single trip) registration fees, auto expense, entertainment of others and other miscellaneous item of travel expense will be supported by receipts, or information sufficient to enable the Controller to verify the reason and claim for such expense. Reimbursement cannot be made for fees for travel insurance, travelers checks, etc.
- 6.17 All telephone calls to the City of Idaho Falls must be made collect and for official business only. Calls placed by the traveler to his residence while on City business are not reimbursable and should not be shown on the expense statement.
- 6.18 Reimbursement will be made for authorized use of private cars in lieu of public carriers at \$.08 per mile. Use of private cars solely for convenience of the traveler will be reimbursed at \$.08 per mile or rail fare, whichever is the cheaper.
- 6.20 A City of Idaho Falls "EXPENSE STATEMENT" Form TR-2 with the supporting documents, shall be filed with the Controller as soon as possible after completion of the trip, but not later than the end of the month in which the trip was completed.

7.00 TRAVEL EXPENSE ADVANCE

- 7.10 At the request of the traveler, the Controller will prepare a travel expense advance, the amount of which will be dependent upon the purpose of the trip, distance and mode of travel, and duration of the trip. A check for the amount of travel advance approved will be transmitted to the traveler prior to departure.

JUNE 7, 1962

8.00 TRIP REPORTING

- 8.10 Immediately following completion of a travel assignment, the traveler shall make a verbal report to his Division Head. A written report shall be prepared, available to the Mayor and Council, summarizing the observations made by the traveler and the apparent benefits defined to the City.

It was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Creek, that the foregoing be approved and that it be incorporated in the Operating Procedure Manual as the official policy of the City pertaining to travel on official City business. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

The matter of employees using their automobiles for City business and/or obtaining gasoline from the City pumps was introduced. After some discussion, it was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Creek, that effective July 1st, 1962, by order of the Council, the practice of paying employees a monthly car allowance will be discontinued as well as the practice of allowing employees using their private cars for City business to obtain gas from the City pumps, and, in lieu of car allowance, and/or obtaining gas from City pumps, the City is to pay the employee, using his private car for City business, at the rate of 8¢ a mile. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried. It was understood that the use of said vehicle in each case, would be approved by the affected Department Head before payment is made and that the daily miles driven by the employee will be reported in the form of a monthly report, approved by the Department Head and submitted to the Controller for payment.

A memorandum from the Electrical Engineer was presented, through the Mayor, relative to parking meter maintenance. It was pointed out that this work would require one full time man and a certain amount of space for service work, neither of which could be provided by the Electrical Department; also one automobile unit. This matter was referred to all Division and Department Heads for study and recommendation.

The question was asked as to whether or not the City should, after a correction notice has been served, remove junker type cars from streets or vacant lots and charge the owner. The Council decided in the affirmative and that arrangements should be made, if possible, with a local salvage dealer for moving the car.

The Mayor noted that at an earlier meeting he had informally appointed Councilman Philip C. Leahy as a Commissioner for Zone 6, Flood Control District #1. It was moved by Councilman Creek, seconded by Page, that the appointment be confirmed and that the Mayor's action in this regard be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

The Mayor read a letter from the Idaho Falls Stake Presidency, written February 4th, 1962, but tabled for presentation until this date, as follows:

February 4, 1962

Honorable Mayor O'Bryant and City Council

Gentlemen:

JUNE 7, 1962

Now that the City Planning Commission has favorably recommended the proposed Recreation Center of the Idaho Falls Stake, and has determined that no zoning ordinances are violated by the Center as now established, we are anxious to know of any regulations, restrictions, or operational procedures that will be applied by the City Council to this area, as well as to other recreational areas or developments throughout the City. We are desirous of rapidly moving ahead with the completion of our facility, and in so doing are anxious to conform to all lawful and reasonable requirements that will affect this, and similar recreational areas.

From the outset we have publicly announced our plans. No one living in the area of our property can fairly contend that our proposal comes to him as a surprise.

Our desire is to provide recreational areas for the youth and adult groups of our stake area, and surely no one can take issue with this. Inasmuch as some of our neighbors have voiced an objection to the lighting of the field during the evening hours, we assure you that we welcome reasonable restrictions in this respect. Perhaps 10:00 or 10:15 P.M. would be sufficient for our purposes without creating inconvenience to our neighbors. On the other hand, our program is such that certain night-time activity is necessary and cannot be avoided.

We are providing you with a sketch of the area to indicate how we proposed it will appear. The area will be maintained, as is all of our church property, in a neat and presentable manner. Frankly, we cannot see where our program can be seriously objectionable. If we felt otherwise, we would not have proposed it originally. It is our belief that the people of our community should have facilities of this type available for their use and development.

Accordingly, we respectfully request an early determination as to the reasonable regulations to be applied to existing and future recreational areas in Idaho Falls.

Very truly yours,

s/ Paul W. Ahlstrom, President

s/ Hal R. Johnson, President

s/ Milton A. Romrell, President

There as no Council action on the foregoing and the proposal on the curfew was tabled, in view of the fact that the Council did not wish to go on record as either favoring or not favoring such a restriction. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

The Mayor explained that facilities will soon be available for direct long distance telephone dialing and asked for a Council decision as to whether or not this system should be installed in City offices. It was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Leahy, that the present system be continued, whereby all long distance calls be placed through the City Building operator. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

JUNE 7, 1962

The Mayor noted that a surplus mobile unit is available for \$300.00 which is desired by the Fire Department for carrying salvage equipment and material. It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Leahy, that this expenditure be approved. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

Controller Jenkins noted that the Public Works budget was revised some time ago and that requests on the revised basis are being handled individually as needed. He therefore, asked that the Council formally approve that Departments budget on a revised basis. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that the revised budget in question be approved accordingly. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

The following memorandum from the City Engineer was presented:

June 7, 1962

Honorable Mayor and City Council
Idaho Falls, Idaho

This letter is in regard to quotations received by Mr. Leland Lowe, Superintendent of Streets, on a used pneumatic wheel-type roller.

This type of flexible pneumatic roller is very necessary to roll streets which are graveled or where the street has an uneven surface. The steel wheel roller that the City now has does not function well in this type of rolling. The Street Department is embarking on a program of using Calcium Chloride on the gravel streets to keep dust to a minimum and this operation will necessitate considerable rolling to tighten up the surface after treatment. This can only be done successfully with the pneumatic type roller.

The steel wheel roller that the City now has is 13 years old and in rather poor condition. It will require approximately \$2,000.00 to put into good operating condition, and even then wouldn't be the type needed.

The Intermountain Equipment Company has a used model 54-B 9 wheel roller of 5,800 to 20,000 pound capacity, powered by a F226 gasoline motor which they will sell for \$3,250.00 and allow \$1,000.00 trade-in on the old roller for a total price of \$2,250.00. This machine is in excellent condition and carries a 60 day warranty.

The Arnold Machinery Company also has a used model Galion, 9 wheel roller of 31,500 pounds maximum capacity and gasoline powered. This machine costs \$4,900.00 and they will allow only \$400.00 trade-in for the old machine.

The Southern Idaho Equipment Company was also contacted, but they were unable to furnish.

JUNE 7, 1962

In view of the cost differential for the two comparable machines, we would recommend that consideration be given the purchase of the roller from the Intermountain Equipment Company for \$2,250.00.

Respectfully submitted,
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

s/ Donald M. Ellsworth, P.E.
City Engineer

cc: Luther Jenkins
Donald F. Lloyd

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Creek, that the pneumatic roller be acquired from the Intermountain Equipment for the reasons as stated. Roll call a follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

A memorandum was presented from Airport Manager Hill explaining the need for additional man power at the Airport. After some discussion, it was agreed that one additional full time man should be hired during the irrigation season and that, also during that period, one custodian is to assist on irrigation half days.

A tax redemption deed was presented in the name of A.W. Hunter. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Creek, that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 1033

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND CLOSING THE ALLEY BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 21, BLOCK 10, FIRST AMENDED PLAT OF BLOCK 10, SOUTH PARK ADDITION, TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, BONNEVILLE COUNTY, IDAHO. ALL BEING LOCATED IN THE SW ¼ OF SECTION 19, T2N, R38 E.B.M., RUNNING THENCE NORTH 140.67; THENCE S 88° 01' E 100.00 FEET; THENCE N 79° 17' 10" E 84.09 FEET; THENCE S 32° 00' 50" E 82.0 FEET; THENCE S 57° 59' 10" W 16.0 FEET THENCE N 32° 00' 50" W 57.00 FEET; THENCE N 66° 59' 43" W 23.60 FEET; THENCE S 79° 17' 10" W 80.41 FEET; THENCE N 88° 01' W 62.00 FEET; THENCE S 45° 00' W 32.79 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 100.65 FEET; THENCE N 88° 01' 30" W 16.01 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, ACCORDING TO THE RECORDED PLAT THEREOF.

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Creek, that the provisions of Section 50-2004 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE

JUNE 7, 1962

PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-2004 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the Ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

In connection with the above, a deed was presented in favor of Merlin W. and Eleanor B. Barnes. It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Creek, that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

RESOLUTION (Resolution No. 1962-04)

WHEREAS, the Department of Highways of the State of Idaho has submitted a Cooperative Agreement stating obligations of the State and the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, and including plans, for widening and improving the Broadway Street bridge over the Snake River on U.S. Highway 20-91 within the corporate limits of the City of Idaho Falls, Bonneville County, Idaho, as described in the Cooperative Agreement and shown on the aforementioned plans, which improvement is to consist of widening the structure approximately 10 feet and of grading, draining and surfacing on 0.110 mile of highway to be constructed under Federal Aid Project F-6422(4); and

WHEREAS, the allotment of Federal and State funds for the improvement is contingent upon compliance with the laws governing State Highways and State Highway traffic, availability of necessary right of way, adequate provision for the maintenance of the completed improvement and the establishment of traffic control measures and means of enforcing them;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That the Cooperative Agreement for Federal Aid Project No. F-6422(4) is hereby accepted and approved.
2. That the Mayor and the City Clerk are hereby authorized to execute the Cooperative Agreement on behalf of the City of Idaho Falls.
3. That duly certified copies of the resolution shall be furnished the Department of Highways and the Bureau of Public Roads.

It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Page, that the foregoing resolution be accepted and approved. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

JUNE 7, 1962

On Motion of Councilman Leahy, seconded by Councilman Foote, the following resolution was adopted by the unanimous vote of the Council and Mayor. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

RESOLUTION (Resolution No. 1962-05)

“Resolved that the actual value of the real property included in proposed Local Improvement District No. 29, is \$1,054,100.00; that the actual value of the real property included in said district, exclusive of the improvements therein; is \$263,525.00; that certain protests against portions of the proposed work have been made in writing by property owners and filed with the City Clerk; that such protests have been made by the owners of less than two-thirds of the abutting, adjoining, contiguous and adjacent lots and lands within such proposed improvement district; that each and all of said protests have been carefully considered; that certain property is included within said district which should not be assessed to pay the costs and expenses of such improvement and that portions of such improvements should not be made and that the same may be eliminated from the district; that the creation of said district is proper, and the district, after such portions are eliminated there from, will be for the best interests of the property affected and the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho; that there is reasonable probability that the obligations of said district, as modified, will be paid; that the resolution of intention passed by the City Council and approved by the Mayor on the 8th day of May, 1962, shall be, and the same hereby is, amended and modified as to the streets and parts of streets, alleys and parts of alleys and lots and lands to be included within said local improvement district to read as follows: to-wit:

Beginning at a point on Section line lying 697.4 feet East of the SW corner of the SW corner of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 8, T2N R38 E.B.M., said point being on the Northwesterly Right-of-Way line of the North Yellowstone Highway, and running thence N 50° 54' E 315 feet more or less; thence N 38° 48' 06" W 164 feet; thence N 50° 45' E and parallel to said highway 575.4 feet, to the North-South centerline of Section 8; thence N 0° 39' W along said centerline, 46.06 feet; thence N 50° 45' E and parallel to said highway 130 feet more or less; thence N 23° 54' W 192.6 feet; thence N 50° 45' E 201 feet; thence S 23° 54' E 493.3 feet; thence S 39° 15' E 20 feet to the Southeasterly Right-of-Way line of the aforesaid highway; thence S 2° 12' E 975.80 feet to the North Right-of-Way line of the Lincoln Road; thence East 20 feet; thence N 2° 12' W 341.7 feet; thence N 69° 08' E 566.7 feet more or less, to the Westerly bank of the Idaho Canal; thence in a Southerly direction along said Canal bank, 657 feet more or less to the South line of Section 8, and said point lying 1,122 feet more or less, East of the South $\frac{1}{4}$ corner of Section 8; thence West along the South line of Section 8, 546 feet; thence N 2° 41' W 779 feet to the Easterly Right-of-Way line of North Yellowstone Highway; thence S 50° 45' W along said Right-of-Way line 319.6 feet; thence S 0° 09' 578.5 feet to a point on the South line of Section 8, said point lying 293.3 feet East of the

JUNE 7, 1962

South $\frac{1}{4}$ corner of Section 8; thence West along the Section line 908 feet more or less to the point of beginning, containing 42 acres, more or less.

The places and courses in the streets, alleys, and rights-of-way in which said water lines are to be placed shall be as follows, subject to such changes in location as the Council may authorize during the course of construction, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the West side of North Holmes Avenue 110 feet South of the intersection of said Street with Anderson Street, running thence North on said North Holmes Avenue to said Anderson Street, thence East on Anderson Street to the Idaho Canal.

Beginning at the intersection of East Anderson Street and North Yellowstone Avenue, thence running Northeasterly along said North Yellowstone Avenue a distance of 1,800 feet.

Beginning at a point on the Lincoln Road (East Anderson Street) opposite the East boundary line of the Idaho Falls Municipal Golf Course, running thence South along the East side of said Golf Course to Elva Street.

The lots and lands in said district which shall be assessed for said improvement, as determined after the decision on protests are as follows:

Beginning at a point South 625.2 feet and S $50^{\circ} 45'$ W 373.4 feet from the NE corner of Southeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., thence S $50^{\circ} 45'$ W 202 feet; thence S $39^{\circ} 15'$ E 164 feet; to North right-of-way line of Yellowstone Highway; thence N $50^{\circ} 45'$ E along said right-of-way line 200 feet, to SW corner of property now or formerly owned by Holly-Wood Bowl; thence N $39^{\circ} 15'$ W 164 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point 20 feet North and 30.3 feet East of the SW corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence North 100 feet; thence N $26^{\circ} 41' 30''$ E 111.93 feet; thence East 177.63 feet; thence S $17^{\circ} 18'$ W 209.48 feet; thence West 165.62 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 20 feet North and 195.75 feet East of the SW corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N $17^{\circ} 18'$ E 209.48 feet; thence East 121.28 feet; thence S $22^{\circ} 12' 30''$ W 216.03 feet; thence West 101.92 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 20 feet North and 297.67 feet East of the SW corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N $22^{\circ} 12' 30''$

JUNE 7, 1962

E 216.03 feet; thence East 338.22 feet; thence S 19° 31' 30" W 212.20 feet; thence West 348.94 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 20 feet North and 646.6 feet East of the SW corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 39 E.B.M., and running thence N 19° 31' 30" E 212.20 feet; thence East 379.33 feet; thence South 200 feet; thence West 450.25 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 20 feet North and 125 feet West of the SE corner of Southwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence West 90 feet; thence North 200 feet; thence East 190 feet; thence South 90 feet; thence West 100 feet; thence South 110 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 20 feet North of the SE corner of the Southwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence North 200 feet; thence East 100 feet; thence South 200 feet; thence West 100 feet; to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is N 89° 55' E 196.2 feet and South 28.48 feet from the North Quarter corner of Section 17, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., thence S 89° 22' 06" E 100.00 feet; thence south 200 feet; thence N 89° 22' 06" W, 100.00 feet; thence North 200 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is N 89° 55' E 296.2 feet and S 0° 05' E 29.73 feet from the North Quarter corner of Section 17, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., thence S 0° 05' E 200 feet; thence S 89° 22' 06" E 120 feet; thence N 0° 05' W 200 feet; thence N 89° 22' 06" W 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point East along the Section line 897 feet and North 41.5 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., thence East 224 feet; thence N 28° 17' E 227.11 feet; thence West 331.61 feet; thence S 2° 12' E 200 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point 26.02 feet South of the North Quarter corner of Section 17, Township 2 North, Range 38, E.B.M., thence South 200 feet; thence West 50 feet; thence North 200 feet; thence East 50 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point which is S 237.27 feet and East 411 feet from the NW corner of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence S 23° 54' E 207.40 feet; thence N 50°

JUNE 7, 1962

45' E 150 feet; thence N 23° 54' W 207.40 feet; thence S 50° 45' W 150 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point South 625.2 feet and S 50° 45' W 20.2 feet from the NE corner of Southeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., thence S 50° 45' W 158.2 feet; thence S 39° 15' E 164 feet; thence N 50° 45' E along North right-of-way of State Highway 191 for 30 feet; thence Northerly 208 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point South 625.2 feet and S 50° 45' W 178.4 feet from the NE corner of the Southeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter, Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., thence S 50° 45' W 195 feet; thence S 39° 15' E 164 feet; thence N 50° 45' E along North right-of-way line of State Highway 191 for 195 feet; thence N 39° 15' W 164 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 201 feet South, and 760.6 feet East of the NW corner of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N 23° 54' W 207.40 feet; thence S 51° 31' W 221 feet; thence S 23° 54' E 207.40 feet; thence N 51° E 221 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is South 237.27 feet and East 41 feet from the NW corner of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence S 23° 54' E 207.40 feet; thence S 50° 45' W 100 feet; thence 23° 54' W 207.40 feet; thence N 50° 45' E 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 50 feet North of the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence North 274.35 feet; thence S 51° 50' W 436.70 feet; thence S 89° 14' E 341.29 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is East 647.95 feet and North 597.76 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N 2° 00' 30" E 248.72 feet; thence S 51° 31' W 200 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 647.95 feet East and 597.76 feet North of the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N 2° 00' 30" W 249.31 feet; thence S 51° 31' W 120.70 feet; thence S 2° 29' E 247.79 feet; thence N 51° 31' E 118.62 feet to the point of beginning.

JUNE 7, 1962

Beginning at a point that is N 89° 56' E along the Section line 575.69 feet and N 0° 04' W 41.50 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., thence N 2° 29' W 200 feet; thence N 89° 56' E 148.94 feet; thence S 2° 00' E 201.51 feet; thence S 89° 56' W 151 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is N 89° 56' E 591.20 feet and S 0° 30' E 32.88 feet from the North Quarter corner of Section 17, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence S 0° 30' E 200 feet; thence N 89° 56' E 127.50 feet; thence N 0° 30' W 200 feet; thence S 89° 56' W 127.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point East along the Section line 877 feet and North 41.5 feet and N 2° 12' W 975.80 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N 51° 20' E 520 feet; thence S 18° 17' 20" E 213.35 feet; thence S 51° 20' W 593.52 feet; thence N 2° 12' W 248.69 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point East along the Section line 877 feet and North 41.5 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N 2° 12' W 200 feet; thence East 20.00 feet; thence S 2° 12' East 200 feet; thence West 20.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is N 89° 55' E 717 feet and S 0° 30' E 35 feet from the North Quarter corner of Section 17, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence S 89° 22' 06" E 374.68 feet; thence S 1° 30' W 200.13 feet; thence N 89° 22' 06" W 367.20 feet; thence N 0° 30' W 200 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point East along the Section line 877 feet and North 41.5 feet and N 2° 12' W 975.80 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence S 51° 20' E 61 feet; thence N 2° 12' W 248.69 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is N 89° 56' E along the Section line 726.69 feet and N 0° 04' W 41.5 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38, E.B.M., and running thence N 2° 00' 30" W 200 feet; thence N 89° 56' E 150 feet; thence S 2° 12' E 200 feet; thence S 89° 56' W 150 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point 54 feet North of South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., thence North 326 feet; thence N 50° 45' E 212.70 feet; thence S 27° 10' E 202.82 feet; thence S 50° 45' W 55 feet; thence S 89° 22' 06" E 68 feet; thence South 200 feet; thence N 89° 22' 06" W 293.3 feet to the point of beginning.

JUNE 7, 1962

Beginning at a point that is 468.67 feet North and N 50° 54' E 231.96 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N 23° 54' W 207.40 feet; thence N 50° 54' E 300 feet; thence S 23° 54' E 207.40 feet; thence S 50° 54' W 300 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 175 feet East and 60 feet South of the NW corner of Northeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Section 17, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence S 28° 10' E 265 feet; thence East 65 feet; thence N 51° 50' E 275 feet; thence to the left along a 20 foot radius curve 49.51 feet; thence West 436 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is North 30 feet and West 25 feet from the SE corner of Southwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence West 100 feet; thence North 100 feet; thence East 100 feet; thence South 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 26.02 feet South and 50 feet West of the North Quarter corner of Section 17, Township 2 North Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence West 376 feet; thence S 51° 50' W 323.65 feet; thence East 630.46 feet; thence North 200 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is N 0° 22' 40" E along the Quarter section line 339.15 feet and N 51° 31' E 212.70 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N 51° 31' E 165.41 feet; thence S 0° 04" W 255.61 feet; thence S 51° 31' W 39.91 feet; thence N 27° 10' W 202.82 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is N 89° 55' E 416.20 feet and South 31.22 feet from the North Quarter corner of Section 17, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence South 200 feet; thence S 89° 22' 06" E 125.01 feet; thence North 200 feet; thence N 89° 22' 06 " W 125.01 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point 50.34 feet North and 293.3 feet East of the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N 0° 09" W 200 feet; thence East 273.51 feet; thence S 2° 41' E 200.20 feet; thence West 282.30 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is N 0° 22' 40" E along the Quarter section line 339.15 feet and N 51° 31' E 378.11 feet from the South Quarter corner of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence N 51° 31' E 319.60 feet; thence S 2° 41' E 247.79 feet; thence S 51° 31' W 319.60 feet; thence S 2° 41' E

JUNE 7, 1962

247.79 feet; thence S 51° 31' W 319.88 feet; thence N 0° 09' W 255.61 feet, to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point which is 686.3 feet South and 191 feet East of the NW corner of the Southwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Section 8, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., thence N 23° 54' W 207.40 feet; thence S 51° 31' W 127.41 feet; thence S 0° 39' E 259.13 feet; thence N 51° 31' E 231.96 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at a point that is 26.02 feet South of the North Quarter corner of Section 17, Township 2 North, Range 38 E.B.M., and running thence South 200 feet; thence S 89° 22' 06" E 196.20 feet; thence North 200 feet; thence N 89° 22' 06" W 196.20 feet to the point of beginning.

ATTEST: _____
CITY CLERK

s/ W. J. O'Bryant
MAYOR

ORDINANCE NO. 1031

AN ORDINANCE CREATING LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 29 OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO; SETTING FORTH THE BOUNDARIES THEREOF; PROVIDING THAT THE PURPOSE OF SAID DISTRICT IS TO PROVIDE WATER SERVICE IN SAID DISTRICT; PROVIDING THAT SUCH IMPROVEMENT SHALL BE MADE AND THAT THE COST AND EXPENSES OF SUCH IMPROVEMENT SHALL BE MADE AND THAT THE COST AND EXPENSES OF SUCH DISTRICT AND THE IMPROVEMENT TO BE MADE THERE UNDER SHALL BE TAXED AND ASSESSED AGAINST ALL PROPERTY IN SAID DISTRICT IN PREPARATION OF THE NUMBER OF SQUARE FEET OF SUCH LOTS AND LANDS ABUTTING, ADJOINING, CONTIGUOUS AND ADJACENT THERETO INCLUDED IN THE IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT FORMED AND IN PROPORTION TO THE BENEFITS TO SUCH PROPERTY BY SAID IMPROVEMENT; FIXING THE POINTS BETWEEN WHICH SAID WATER LINES ARE TO PLACED; SPECIFYING AND DESCRIBING THE LOTS AND LANDS WITHIN SAID DISTRICT WHICH ARE TO BE ASSESSED FOR SAID IMPROVEMENT IS DEPENDENT UPON THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF LOCAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS OF SAID DISTRICT TO DEFRAID THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENT.

JUNE 7, 1962

The foregoing Ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Creek, that the provisions of Section 50-2004 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-2004 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the Ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, 4; No, None; carried.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that the Council adjourn. Carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

s/ W J. O'Bryant
MAYOR
