

AUGUST 28, 1961

Pursuant to a call by the Mayor the City Council of the City of Idaho Falls met in Special Session in the Council Chambers on Monday, August 28th, 1961, at 8:00 P.M. for the purpose of conducting a public hearing relative to the creation of L.I.D. #27 and the assessment of the properties which have been included in said District as well as any other business which might normally be presented.

There were present at said Meeting: Mayor Pro Tem Alex D. Creek; Absent: Mayor W. J. O'Bryant; Councilmen present: Foote, Leahy, Page. Also present: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk; Don Lloyd, City Engineer; George Barnard, City Attorney; Don Ellsworth, Assistant City Engineer; Alva Harris, Building Official; Boyd Wright, Purchasing Agent.

The Mayor Pro Tem announced that this was the time and the place for a public hearing relative to the establishment of Local Improvement District #27. The City Engineer presented a map and explained the location of the various affected areas and the need in said areas for sewer improvement. He explained further that the over all cost of the District to property owners has been approximately set at 5¢ to 6¢ per square foot. The Mayor Pro Tem then directed the City Clerk to present all written protests as follows:

Boise Cascade Corporation

August 22, 1961

City of Idaho Falls
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Re: Notice of Intention to Establish Improvement District No. 27 - PROTEST

Gentlemen:

Come now Boise Cascade Corporation and Bocasco, and hereby protests the inclusion of its property lying north of Briggs Street, south of Lloyd and west of Holmes Street within the proposed local improvement district. Said land owned by Boise Cascade and Bocasco is presently served by a sewer line, and Boise Cascade Corporation and Bocasco were required by the City of Idaho Falls at the time of constructing its property to spend additional sums to connect the said sewer. At the same time, the property owners granted to the City of Idaho Falls an easement over the property owners' property, so that the present sewer could be maintained and ingress and egress easily had. That the present sewerage system is adequate and in good shape and there appears to be no reason why the property owners should now be required to pay for additional said improvement district, having already paid for one.

That at the time the property owners constructed their property they were required to run a waterline some 600 feet which has been used by all property owners around them. Said water line cost approximately \$9.60, and the entire cost thereof was taken care of by the property owners. Therefore, the property owners do now petition that the property be omitted from the local improvement district.

AUGUST 28, 1961

Very truly yours,
s/ W. D. Eberle
Vice President

August 24, 1961

To the Mayor and City Councilmen
of the City of Idaho Falls

I wish to protest against the assessment for the sewer in Mayflower Addition, Block 13, Lots 8 thru 34.

This property has a sewer installed which I did on my own according to City specifications.

s/ Orland Eddins
Box 305
Afton, Wyoming

August 28, 1961

Mr. Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk
City of Idaho Falls, Idaho
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Mr. Barnes:

This is a letter of protest regarding the proposed sewer line assessment on our property on First Street.

The main line sewer is presently along the southeast border of our property. When we purchased this property from Mortgage Insurance Company they had already extended the sewer to the southeast corner of our property. Houses on both sides of our property are also already served with sewer. We obtained a permit from the City to connect to this sewer, and the proposed sewer in First Street will not benefit us in any way. We understand that sewer assessment according to law must be beneficial, and this proposed First Street sewer will not benefit us.

We therefore request that our property not be included in this district since you have not included property on the east and west of us in this proposed district.

Very truly yours,
s/ Charles E. Rigby, D.D.S.

AUGUST 28, 1961

August 27, 1961

Honorable Mayor,
Members of the Council
City Clerk:

Dear Sirs:

Regarding a contemplated sewer improvement on Willow Avenue for Elg Addition; In talking to the Engineering Department, I was told that they proposed tying the new sewer line for this street into the line which is served by the pump on Mound Avenue between I. and J. Streets.

Here are some of the reasons why we are opposed to this sewer development. We do not feel that we should be assessed for this improvement when we have already paid a sewer assessment and have never been able to use the sewer because it was too shallow. It was never corrected even before the paving was done.

A few years ago we were told by the City that it was not possible for us to use the City sewer, as we were too low to make it possible to connect to any of the sewer lines in this district, so we had to go to the expense of digging up our yards to put in septic tanks and drain fields and now we will have this expense all over again which we feel is too much at this time as we are just finishing a costly paving assessment.

We are opposed to being assessed for this improvement because we do not feel that we should be assessed when the residents of Shipp Avenue, J. Street, and K. Street and others that are served by this pump line never paid a sewer assessment for this improvement.

I suppose we would feel different if we lived in a new district, but this is one of oldest sections in town and we feel it would not be fair to be assessed for this development.

We are opposed to this improvement because it would be no better than what we already have. It would be so that we could never have a basement and therefore would be of little value. What would we do if there was a power failure?

Trusting this will have your kind consideration, we are;

Yours very truly,
s/ Les Lingren
s/ Mae Grahm
s/ G. R. Wells

AUGUST 28, 1961

Wize Bi Food Center
August 28th, 1961

Dear Sirs:

We are protesting the Resolution concerning area #7 as projected by City. We feel that there are not enough homes along 17th Street that are not already connected to sewer to warrant the expense that would have to be assumed by the tenants adjoining said sewer project. In view of the fact that the south side of 17th Street has not been developed or included in the City, we would be paying for this development and expense would be prohibitive. Nearly all of the frontage from Ponderosa to June Street is owned by 7 or 8 tenants. Thanks.

s/ Bill McKinley, Manager

August 28, 1961

Mr. Roy Barnes
City of Idaho Falls
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sir:

I am the owner of five acres on East First Street whose frontage is approximately 330 feet. It is my understanding from the City's recent notice that my full 330 feet is to be assessed for the sewer project. It appears that the sewer line will run in front of the above mentioned five acres for less than half the frontage.

If my information is correct, I wish to interpose by objections to the manner in which you have assessed this property.

Very truly yours,
s/ J. M. Brady
935 E. 1st Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

August 24, 1961

Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sir:

AUGUST 28, 1961

Unless I have been misinformed, I understand that the City of Idaho Falls plans on putting a sewer pipe in at the front of my home on East 17th Street and another one on my property at the north of my house and through my property. This land is undeveloped. I therefore protest this plan. I have no use for two sewer lines on my property and feel that I should not be assessed for something of no value to me at this time.

Respectfully,
s/ Joseph W. McNeil

August 28, 1961

Mayor and City Council
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sirs:

I wish to enter a protest to a Local Improvement District Number 27 for the installation of sewer lines to the front of my property located at 755 First Street.

My ground is in the process of being rezoned, whereby a sewer run where this is proposed, would be of no value and would not be able to be used. If the zoning doesn't go thru, the sewer line would not be deep enough to provide basement drains for additional houses on my property.

Furthermore, the amount you want to charge me is nearly \$2,000.00. I am a licensed plumbing contractor and my cost of digging, furnishing and installing this sewer line would be \$350.00 for the 175 feet in front of my property as compared to the \$2,000.00 asked by the Improvement District.

Yours very truly,
s/ Ernest Terry

City Council

We are writing in protest of the new sewer line going past our house. We feel that we already have ample sewage. We also feel it would just be an extra expense.

s/ Dick Carter
s/ Dorothy Carter
800 Garfield Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

AUGUST 28, 1961

Office of the City Clerk
Idaho Falls, Idaho

In regards to the proposed Local Improvement District #27, my property (beginning at a point on the south line of Garfield Street extended which is North 85° 50' 30" West, 495 feet from the North-South center line of Section 17, Township 2 North, Range 38 East Boise Meridian, continuing thence West along the South line of Garfield Street, extended 82½ feet; thence South 132 feet to the point of beginning, in Bonneville County, Idaho) is already connected to the sewer by private line to Cleveland Street. I cannot see any benefit to my property from the proposed Improvement District #27.

The only part of my home that could utilize the sewer is the basement, and it is poured on solid rock. It would be next to impossible to hook it up to the proposed sewer.

Respectfully,
s/ Dwight John W. Burgener
830 Garfield Street
Idaho Falls, Idaho

August 24, 1961

Mayor and City Council
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sirs:

I am protesting against you putting the sewer along June & 17th Street.

Yours truly,
s/ J. F. White
825 E. 17th Street

August 28, 1961

Honorable Mayor and City Council

Dear Sirs:

I would like the small house on the west 30 feet Lot 30, Block 11, South Park Addition excluded from sewer development, with the house No. 458 West 16th Street there is no water in the house and I use it only for storage and it has been registered with the light and water

AUGUST 28, 1961

department as such. I intend to remove the house from the property when the alley and Crow Creek are removed. (Area No. 8)

Yours truly,
s/ Edwin J. Miller

Sarah Simpson, 1200 Canyon Avenue, Lots 46, 47, 48, Block 35, Highland Park Addition paid \$100.00 and hooked up. Should be taken out of the district.

The Mayor Pro Tem then invited verbal protests. Mr. Max Nadauld of the Nadauld Oil Company on the Lewisville Road appeared as did Mr. Jeff Stone who recently purchased adjoining property to Mr. Nadauld. It was learned that these properties are served by a relatively small water line and these men requested that this problem receive consideration. It was explained by the City Engineer that there are no immediate plans for enlarging this water line and the City Attorney pointed out that it would be impossible to include any water improvements in a sewer district. These men elected, then, to protest the sewer district until such time as a water line could be installed which would properly serve their properties.

Messrs. Harvey Bare, 1178 Cassia and Joe Phillips, North Highway, appeared, only to find that they were not included in or affected by the district.

Mr. William McKinley, the writer of one of the written protests, appeared and said he spoke for himself and other 17th Street residents who would withdraw their objections to a sewer line if it could be relocated along the back of the affected homes, rather than down 17th Street. The City Engineer said his department would have no objection to such an alternate route, providing adequate right-of-way can be obtained.

Mr. Lawrence Teel, 1115 Johnson Street, appeared before the Council with regard to the proposed sewer which would serve his property east of Fanning and south of Elva. He requested that the proposed sewer be extended so that it would more completely serve all his property by extending the alley, and thus the sewer line, between Elva and Whittier from Fanning east which would pass through his property and serve certain un-platted ground also owned, in part, by him. The City Attorney explained that Mr. Teel should provide a right-of-way to the City for extension of the alley. Mr. Teel said he had no objection to this except that he was apprehensive for fear others who might develop beyond this point might not be required to do likewise. The City Attorney assured Mr. Teel that once the precedent was established, all future development would carry the same requirements from the Planning Commission and/or the City Council.

Dr. Charles Rigby, 978 7th, writer of one of the written protests, appeared with several questions including one as to why this district was, geographically, so spotty. The City Engineer explained that this was a so called clean up project to cover areas excluded for one reason or another from the primary project in 1958. It was also explained to the doctor that in new subdivisions it is required that the developer make proper sewer arrangements, cost of which are indirectly borne by the property owner.

All written and verbal protests having been heard, the Council reviewed same, recognizing that, in most instances, Council action was required. First to be considered was the Boise Cascade

AUGUST 28, 1961

protest. Recognizing that this presented a problem involving some study it was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Leahy, that action on the protest be tabled pending investigation and recommendation by the Engineering Department. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

Next to be considered was the protest of Orland Eddins. The City Engineer commented to the effect that the private sewer referred to can probably be rehabilitated and converted to a public sewer. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that Mr. Eddins be excluded from the district and the assessment rolls if it can be verified by the Engineering Department that the sewer line in question can qualify as a public sewer and if Mr. Eddins will agree to donate the sewer to the City for purposes of maintenance. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The Dr. Charles Rigby protest was then reviewed. The City Engineer explained that, to comply, it would be necessary for him to construct 50 to 100 feet of sewer line, as the closet line is 35 feet from his property line. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that Dr. Rigby be excluded from the district and the assessment roll providing he will agree to a contract which would provide, among other things, that the sewer construction in question be in existence by the date of the confirmation of the assessment roll and providing it is agreed that the newly constructed sewer be dedicated to the City. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The joint protest of William McKinley, J. W. White and Joseph W. Mc Neil were considered jointly as these all pertained to the proposed sewer line which would serve property owners on a portion of 17th Street. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Foote, that these protests be tabled, pending an investigation by the Engineering Department as to the feasibility of relocating the line in the back of the properties in question, recognizing that this would be subject to the successful acquisition of right-of-way. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The J. W. Brady protest was studied. It was recognized that this did not constitute a protest against formation of the district, but instead, the manner or method by which his assessment was computed. It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Page, that Mr. Brady's protest be tabled, pending a written report from the City Engineer in this regard. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

Next to be considered was the protest of Mr. Ernest Terry. The City Engineer said his Department had no objection to Mr. Terry's proposition. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that Mr. Terry be excluded from the district, providing he would agree to enter into a contract with the City whereby he would be responsible for the construction of the sewer, said work of which would be under the auspices of the Engineering Department, said contract of which would be in existence by the date of the confirmation of the assessment roll. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried. It was understood that the sewer, when installed, would be dedicated to the City.

The protests of Mr. and Mrs. Richard Carter and Mr. John Burgener were considered jointly as they pertained to the same immediate area. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Foote, that these be tabled for study and recommendation by the Engineering Department. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The Edwin Miller protest was then reviewed. It was noted that his was not a protest against the district but, instead, a plea not to be doubly assessed because of a second building on his

AUGUST 28, 1961

property, used only for storage. He was assured by the Council that there would be only one connection fee.

The last written protest from Sarah Simpson was then considered. This problem had been previously studied by the City Attorney who confirmed the fact that the property was already on sewer and the connection fee paid. It was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Leahy, that Sarah Simpson be excluded from the district and the assessment roll. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The last protest to be presented was the verbal one of Mr. Teel, previously described in this Meeting and in this Book of Minutes. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that final action be tabled pending a review and recommendation from the Engineering Department. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

Notation was made by the City Attorney that the foregoing portion of this Meeting was being recorded on tape and that one original and two copies of the transcript would be needed. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Foote, that this expenditure be authorized. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

Bids on radio equipment for mobile units of the Public Works Division, previously opened, were reviewed.

It was noted that specifications were sent to nine suppliers and that only two bids were received as follows:

Motorola	\$6,750.00
R.C.A.	6,450.00

Mr. Earl Neyman, authorized television and local R.C.A. dealer, appeared and protested the specifications on the grounds that they were written in such a manner that it was impossible for any one dealer to properly bid on all items. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that no action be taken on the bids but that they be tabled, pending an evaluation by a qualified authority. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

Messrs. Allen Earl and Ernie Hill of the Mountain States Telephone Company appeared before the Council and asked that their proposition be reviewed to install canopy type telephones on public streets. It was noted that they had selected several strategic locations in the downtown area and that the adjacent merchants had, in each instance, been approached and that they had offered no objection. They revealed that the phones are equipped in such a way that these phones could be used for emergency calls by the Fire and Police Departments with no charge. They again reminded the Council that any and all installations would be brought to their attention for consideration. A contract was presented for approval. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that the contract be accepted and the Mayor be authorized to sign, subject to its being modified to reflect the fact that the City takes into consideration the fact it is dealing with a public utility, plus the fact that this will provide a service to the community. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

A report was made on the proposed tennis courts and it was learned that within the 200 foot affected area, seven property owners favor, two oppose. It was moved by Councilman Page,

AUGUST 28, 1961

seconded by Leahy, that construction on four tennis courts at 7th and Wabash proceed immediately. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

A request from the Fire Chief was presented through the Mayor Pro Tem that two firemen be authorized to attend a fire school at Washington State University September 12th through the 15th. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that Council approval be granted accordingly. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The following rate schedule and policy manual covering the Civic Auditorium was presented:

Schedule capacity of the Civic Auditorium is 2,018.

1. All meetings by Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, P.T.A., Y.M.C.A., and non-profit groups whose object is educational. No charge.
2. Community organizations and others including political parties, plays, concerts, operas, etc. on the following basis:
 - a. For each performance when admission is charged and the affair is sponsored by a local group with local talent. \$125.00
 - b. For each performance sponsored by a local commercial enterprise with no admission charge. \$125.00
 - c. For each performance when admission is charged for any commercial or non-commercial enterprise, when sponsored by a non-profit organization or by school clubs. \$125.00
 - d. For a matinee and evening performance, when admission is charged and sponsored by a civic or organization. \$200.00
 - e. For each 24 hour period for a political convention. \$125.00
 - f. For each performance when admission is charged for any commercial entertainment with no local sponsor or a sponsor other than those mentioned in item C. on a basis of 30% of gross receipts or a charge of \$125.00 whichever is greater. The additional services of light and sound men and necessary door checkers shall be paid by the lessee.
 - g. For each performance when admission is charged and it is sponsored by a business firm in the entertainment field in the City of Idaho Falls and have paid taxes to the City of Idaho Falls and have been in business in Idaho Falls six months prior to the date of requesting rental. \$125.00

AUGUST 28, 1961

on a basis of 15% of gross receipts, whichever is greater. The lessee to pay cost of the light and sound men and any other assistance needed.

- h. Special services for local churches, when their own facilities are not adequate to handle a large crowd. \$ 75.00
Any additional expense or labor in arranging the stage must be defrayed by the renter.

Fees: Rehearsals, three hour limit.	\$ 20.00
Each additional hour	6.00
Light and sound services, each 3 hour performances	7.50
Each additional hour	2.50
Follow spotlight and operator, per hour	1.25
Any special light or sound services required must be paid the going rate.	
Labor, taking in or out equipment necessary for the performance, per man, per hour.	2.50

1. No Sunday rehearsals unless absolutely necessary.
2. No professional or amateur wrestling or boxing matches to be scheduled.
3. Use of building denied to all out of town commercial enterprises.
4. No alcoholic beverages be permitted.
5. No refreshments of any kind be served or sold.
6. Smoking will be permitted in lobby only.
7. Not permissible to place chairs in any aisle.
8. Convention fees be waived for all Idaho Falls Community Service Groups and Fraternal Organizations, Chamber of Commerce, Farm Bureau, Granges, League of Women Voters, P.T.A., Cattlemen, and Nurses.
9. The piano and organ in the Civic Auditorium may be used for performances and by qualified musicians but shall not be rented to individuals for practice purposes.

Reservations for the Civic Auditorium may be placed with Clair P. Humphries, Senior High School, telephone JA3-5890 or at home address, 213 4th Street, JA2-8305.

AUGUST 28, 1961

At any time following the approval and adoption of the above resolutions and fees, changes may be made, enlarged, deleted or exceptions made thereto by a quorum or a majority vote.

Committee Members:

Mr. E. F. McDermott, Chairman
Mrs. H. J. Compton
Mrs. Howard J. Peyton
Mr. Don Foote
Mr. Dauchy Migel

s/ Clair P. Humphrey
Secretary and Manager

It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Leahy, that the foregoing be approved and adopted except that the City Council reserves the right to waive all or any part of the regular fee at their discretion, as if and when the occasion warrants or is seemingly justified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The City Clerk presented the following:

NOTICE OF HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to Section XXIII-A of Ordinance No. 852, as amended by Ordinance No. 1008, of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, that said City proposes and intends to construct the following facilities for use in the operation of its municipal water system, to-wit:

1. To drill a deep well and construct a pump house, install electric pumping equipment and a chlorination and storage tank on Lot 2 of Block 3, Asper Addition to the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, and a track commencing at the Southwest corner of said Lot 2, thence West 80 feet, thence North 119.44 feet, thence East 80 feet, thence South 119.44 feet to point of beginning.

2. To construct chlorination and storage tanks, buildings and incidental equipment, as follows:

One chlorination and storage tank and a building on Lots 28, 29, and 30 of Block 36 of Crow's Addition to the City of Idaho Falls.

One chlorination and storage tank on Lot 7 of Block 7 of Edgewater Heights Addition to the City of Idaho Falls.

AUGUST 28, 1961

One chlorination and storage tank on Block 18 of Capitol Hill Addition to the City of Idaho Falls.

One chlorination and storage tank and one building on Lots 46, 47, and 48 of Block 30 of South Park Addition to the City of Idaho Falls.

One chlorination and storage tank on the tract commencing at the Northwest corner of Temple View Park Addition to the City of Idaho Falls, thence running South along the West boundary of said Temple View Park Addition 150 feet, thence 90 feet, thence North 150 feet, thence East 90 feet to the point of beginning.

One chlorination and storage tank on Lots 1 and 2 of Block 1 of Falls Valley Addition, Division No. 1, to the City of Idaho Falls.

One chlorination and storage tank and a building on the South 40 feet of Lot 3, Block 1, Disney & Hensen Subdivision to the City of Idaho Falls and a tract commencing at the Southeast corner of said Lot 3, running thence South 0° 06' West 100.04 feet, thence North 88° 12' 30" East 128.42 feet to the point of beginning.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN, that a public hearing on such proposal will be held by the City Council, at the Council Chambers in the City Hall, 308 C. Street, in said City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, on Friday, the 8th day of September, 1961, at 8:00 o'clock P.M., when and where all persons interested in or affected by said construction may appear and be heard thereon.

By order of the City Council.

s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

It was noted that, in the interests of time, it was found necessary that this be published without formal Council approval. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that the City Clerk's action and authorization in this regard be ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The following memorandum was presented from the City Engineer:

August 28, 1961

Honorable Mayor and City Council
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Gentlemen:

AUGUST 28, 1961

In response to a request by Mr. W. E. Arrington, we are submitting herewith a map showing his proposal to the City.

Mr. Arrington proposes to improve the portion of Cassiopeia Street from Saturn Avenue west approximately 430 feet to a point west of his office. He will install the sidewalk, curb and gutter, and paving on the side of the street adjoining his property.

The north half of this street abuts to the rear of the lots in Temple View Addition, and therefore is not feasible to assess these properties for another street. The improvement of that portion of this street adjacent to these double frontages lots could reasonably be a community responsibility.

Therefore, we are recommending that the City pay for one-half of the street for a distance of 300 feet, or a cost not to exceed \$1,324.50 for this section of the street.

Respectfully submitted,
Public Works Division
s/ Donald F. Lloyd
City Engineer

It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Leahy, that the City bear one half of the cost of improving the portion of Cassiopeia Street as described at a cost not to exceed \$1,324.50. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The following advertisement for bids was presented covering construction of facilities to provide storage for chlorine contact at each of the City's eight existing water supply wells:

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

Sealed proposals for the construction of water works improvements addressed to the Mayor and City Council of Idaho Falls, Idaho, will be received at the office of the City Engineer, Idaho Falls, Idaho, until 8:00 P.M. Mountain Standard Time, on the 10th day of October, 1961, and then will be publicly opened and read.

The work contemplated consists of the construction of facilities to provide storage for chlorine contact at each of eight existing City water supply wells. Reinforced concrete reservoirs are to be built at five locations. At Wells Nos. 1, 2, and 8, the capacity is 100,000 gallons each, and at Wells Nos. 4 and 5, the capacity is 150,000 gallons each. Steel pressure tanks holding 30,000 gallons each are to be installed at Wells Nos. 6 and 7. The existing elevated steel tanks will be utilized for chlorine contact at Well No. 3. Other major items of work include the furnishing and installing of new pumping equipment, piping, valves, and a central remote control system; renovation of existing pumping equipment; construction of well house additions; and modification of existing structures and equipment.

AUGUST 28, 1961

Plans and specifications may be examined at the office of the City Engineer, City Hall, Idaho Falls, Idaho, or at the offices of Cornell, Howland, Hayes & Merryfield, Consulting Engineers, 1600 Western Avenue, Corvallis, Oregon, or 414 North First, Boise, Idaho. A copy of said documents may be obtained at the Office of Cornell, Howland, Hayes & Merryfield, 1600 Western Avenue, Corvallis, Oregon, upon a deposit of Twenty-Five Dollars (\$25.00) for each document. The full amount of the deposit will be refunded if said documents are returned in good condition within fifteen (15) days after the award of the Contract.

Each proposal must be submitted on the prescribed form, and accompanied by a certified check or bid bond payable to the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, in an amount not less than five per cent (5%) of the amount bid.

The successful bidder will be required to furnish a performance and payment bond for faithful performance of the Contract in the full amount of the Contract price.

The right is reserved to reject any or all proposals, to postpone the award of the Contract for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days and to accept that proposal which is to the best interests of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Dated this 28th day of August, 1961.

City of Idaho Falls, Idaho
s/ Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Foote, that the City Clerk be authorized to publish in five weekend editions of the Post Register, prior to the bid opening on October 10th, 1961. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

The following was then presented:

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

Sealed proposals for drilling a water supply well, addressed to the Honorable Mayor and City Council, City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, will be received at the office of the City Engineer, City Building, City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, until 8:00 P.M. Mountain Standard Time on the 15th day of September, 1961, and then will be publicly opened and read.

The work contemplated consists of drilling a water well approximately 400 feet deep in rock as a source of supply for a domestic water system.

Plans and specifications may be examined at the office of Cornell, Howland, Hayes & Merryfield, Consulting Engineers, 414 North First Street, Boise, Idaho, or at the office of the

AUGUST 28, 1961

City Engineer, City of Idaho Falls. A copy of said documents may be obtained at the above offices upon a deposit of Twenty-Five Dollars (\$25.00) for each document. The full amount of the deposit will be refunded if said documents are returned in good condition within ten (10) days after the date of the bid opening.

Each proposal must be submitted on the prescribed form and accompanied by a certified check or bid bond payable to the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, in an amount not less than five per cent (5%) of the amount bid.

The successful bidder will be required to furnish security for faithful performance of the Contract in the full amount of the contract price.

The right is reserved to reject any and all proposals, to postpone the award of the Contract for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days and to accept that proposal which is in the best interests of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, as determined by the City Council.

Dated this 28th day of August, 1961.

City of Idaho Falls, Idaho
s/ Roy C. Barnes
City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Leahy, that the City Clerk be authorized to publish this advertisement for bids on drilling at the #9 Well on August 30th, September 6th, September 13th, prior to the bid opening on September 15th, 1961. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

Under L.I.D. #27 it was noted that an easement is needed from Mr. W. J. Sperry, owner of property at 825 K. Street, for the laying of a sewer line. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that in exchange for said easement, Mr. Sperry's sewer connection fee be waived. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

It was noted that at a previous Council Meeting, action was tabled on a City Engineer's memorandum explaining a request from Mr. Woodrow Arrington that he be permitted to install an 8" water line from Anderson Street to his property, and that the City bear the cost of the railroad crossing portion of said installation. It was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Foote, that this installation and this expenditure be authorized. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

Coal bids for the coming fuel year starting September 1st were considered. It was noted that these were joint bids with School District #91 and that they had been opened earlier in the presence of a School District Official. It was revealed that Clyde Hess & Son was low bidder with a price of \$10.25 per ton, other bidders being Midland Elevators, Idaho Falls, Bonded Produce and Doug Andrus. It was moved by Councilman Page, seconded by Leahy, that the low bid be accepted. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

AUGUST 28, 1961

It was noted that informal proposal had been previously received for a complete stator rewind at the lower power plant, as follows:

General Electric	\$10,530.00
Westinghouse Electric Co.	\$10,340.00

As time was of the essence and as General Electric would be able to complete the project earlier than Westinghouse, that Company had been informally authorized to proceed. It was moved by Councilman Foote, seconded by Leahy, that this Council action be formally approved and ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 3; No, None; carried.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Leahy, seconded by Page, that the Council adjourn. Carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes
CITY CLERK

s/ W. J. O'Bryant
MAYOR
