

JANUARY 23, 2003

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls met in Regular Council Meeting, Thursday, January 23, 2003, in the Council Chambers at 140 South Capital Avenue in Idaho Falls, Idaho.

There were present:

Mayor Linda Milam
Councilmember Robert Barnes
Councilmember Mike Lehto
Councilmember Bill Shurtleff
Councilmember Ida Hardcastle
Councilmember Joe Groberg

Absent was:

Councilmember Brad Eldredge

Also present:

Shan Perry, Assistant City Attorney
Rosemarie Anderson, City Clerk
All available Division Directors

Mayor Milam requested Boy Scout Neil Sing to come forward and lead those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mayor Milam and Councilmembers honored Brent Mitchell and LeRoy Erickson for having retired from the Fire Department by presenting them with watches.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

The City Clerk requested approval of the Minutes for the January 9, 2003 Regular Council Meeting.

The City Clerk presented several license applications, including a BEER LICENSE to Mama Inez (Transfer Only); BARTENDER PERMITS to Julie A. Denison, Julie D. Duke, Cody W. French, Sharon L. Hartwell, and Debra Solis, all carrying the required approvals, and requested authorization to issue these licenses.

The City Clerk requested Council ratification for the publication of legal notices calling for public hearings on January 23, 2002.

It was moved by Councilmember Groberg, seconded by Councilmember Hardcastle, that the Consent Agenda be approved in accordance with the recommendations presented. Roll call as follows:

Aye: Councilmember Barnes
Councilmember Lehto
Councilmember Shurtleff
Councilmember Hardcastle
Councilmember Groberg

Nay: None

Motion Carried.

JANUARY 23, 2003

REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS

Mayor Milam requested Councilmember Hardcastle to conduct a public hearing for consideration of new fees and fee increase to golf operations by an amount that exceeds one hundred and five percent (105%) of such fees collected in Fiscal Year 2001-2002. At the request of Councilmember Hardcastle, the City Clerk read the following memo from the Parks and Recreation Director:

City of Idaho Falls
January 21, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: David J. Christiansen, Parks and Recreation Director
SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR 2003 GOLF FEE INCREASES

Attached for your consideration is a copy of the proposed golf fee increases for Fiscal Year 2003. The fees indicated by an asterisk (*) were increased by an amount greater than 105% and were therefore publicly advertised as required by law. The fee increases were discussed at great length by members of the Golf Advisory Board during their November 25, 2002 and December 9, 2002 meetings. It was at the December 9th meeting in which the increases were unanimously approved. They are, therefore, submitted for your approval.

s/ David J. Christiansen

PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 2003 GOLF FEE INCREASES

	Fiscal Year 2002 Fees	Proposed Fiscal Year 2003 Fees
Golf Courses:		
Green Fees Resident:		
18-Hole Weekdays	\$15.00	\$15.50
18-Hole Weekends/Holidays	\$16.00	\$16.50
9-Hole Weekdays	\$11.00	\$11.50
9-Hole Weekends/Holidays	\$12.00	\$12.50
Green Fees Non-Resident:		
18-Hole Weekdays	\$17.00	\$17.50
18-Hole Weekends/Holidays	\$18.00	\$18.50
9-Hole Weekends	\$13.00	\$13.50
9-Hole Weekends/Holidays	\$14.00	\$14.50
Punch Ticket Resident:		
18-Hole	\$145.00	\$150.00

9-Hole	\$105.00	\$110.00
--------	----------	----------

JANUARY 23, 2003

	Fiscal Year 2002 Fees	Proposed Fiscal Year 2003 Fees
Punch Ticket Non-Resident:		
18-Hole	\$165.00	\$170.00
9-Hole	\$125.00	\$130.00
Season Pass Resident:		
First Adult	\$470.00	\$488.00
Second Adult	\$370.00	\$384.00
Young Adult	\$295.00	\$306.00
First Senior	\$295.00	\$320.00
Second Senior	\$260.00	\$285.00
Season Pass Non-Resident:		
First Adult		\$503.00
Second Adult		\$399.00
First Senior		\$335.00
Second Senior		\$300.00

Councilmember Hardcastle stated that the Golf Advisory Board, made up of 12 people, make up a full spectrum of golfers. Almost all of the Board is in attendance this evening. They spent a lot of time examining many proposals for an increase in the revenue to bring it closer to the operating and maintenance expenses of the golf courses. The fee increases and new fees presented are the result of much study and discussion. In addition, the staff was tasked with reducing the budget for operation and maintenance by 10%. The staff completed that task. With staff's recommendations, the City Council has adjusted this year's budget. Within the structure of the fees, the green passes include \$.50 and the season passes include \$15.00, which is for capital improvement. Sales tax is also included within the structure of the fees. The Parks and Recreation Council Committee received a sense from the rest of the City Council that the golf courses should break even or come as close as possible to achieving that end. Councilmember Hardcastle stated that the three City of Idaho Falls golf courses are first class and they are a bargain. The fees presented are in line with others in the region and are in some cases lower.

Councilmember Hardcastle opened the public hearing and requested those who wished to speak in opposition to the proposed fee increases.

Keith Boam, 46 North 3400 East, Rigby, Idaho, appeared to state that he did not approve of the increases. He is a senior citizen and does not need any more expenses. He stated that it would be easier to accept the new fees and fee increases if he understood where all of the money goes. Mr. Boam requested to know where the money comes from to cover the losses that have been experienced in the last two years.

Councilmember Hardcastle stated that the losses are paid from the General Fund, which is through property taxes.

Mr. Boam requested to know where the money would go if the golf courses saw a profit.

Councilmember Hardcastle stated that the profit would be returned to the General Fund.

Mr. Boam stated that there may be another solution to the problems experienced by the golf courses. He was involved with a privatization in the operation of a golf course in California. This does work. Privatization does not take away from the

ownership of the real estate and any improvements would remain with the City of Idaho Falls. The City has already privatized some functions of the golf course, those being the

JANUARY 23, 2003

coffee shops, golf carts, and pro shops. The operations and maintenance should also be privatized. That would create a profit for the City and citizens would not have to face the fee increases.

Catherine Nelson, 2173 Calkins Avenue, appeared to state that she is against the fees. She stated that they should be higher, especially for out-of-City residents. People come in from Utah and they laugh at the lowness of our fees. The season pass is a bargain, especially for the seniors who play everyday. The three golf courses within the City are beautiful and are run by fine pros and superintendents. They were told to reduce their costs during the past year and they accomplished that. Mrs. Nelson reviewed for Council what she proposed the new fees and fee increases to be. She stated that the fees from the City Garage were exorbitant. It costs so much more to golf at other courses outside of our area. She believed that weekend rounds fees should be increased.

Tye Tomchak, 1742 Brenthaven, appeared to state that the golf pros are No. 1. It seems that the golf courses always come up short. The money is taken from the General Fund to make budgets balance. The General Fund is paid for by City taxes. He agreed with Mrs. Nelson, in that the out-of-City people need to pay more. He stated that he did not know anywhere else in the United States that gives a senior a 30%-38% discount, except at the City of Idaho Falls golf courses.

Councilmember Hardcastle requested those in favor of the new fees and fee increases to come forward at this time.

Rick Carosone, 3460 Grove Lane, appeared to state that he is a member of the Golf Advisory Board. He stated that his views are his and not necessarily that of the Golf Advisory Board. He stated that the Golf Advisory Board did recommend a fee of \$22.00 for out-of-State players. He was not certain what happened to that recommendation. Regarding the fees that were changed by \$.50, he explained that the fees are kept as minimal as possible. They try to maintain a breakeven point on the season pass. If a person plays 31 rounds, that would pay off the season pass. Mr. Carosone stated that he struggles every year when the Parks and Recreation Director comes before the Golf Advisory Board to say that there is a shortfall. He did not know what the goals and philosophies are from the City Council. He requested the City Council to give a general consensus of what is to be accomplished with the three golf courses. Councilmember Hardcastle stated that it was her sense through the budget process, that the City Council wants the golf course budgets to break even.

Councilmember Lehto stated that he disagreed with that direction. Two years ago, when the Council was facing the same issue of raising fees, it was the resolve of the City Council to meet to come to an agreement as to what was to be accomplished with the golf courses. The City Council has not done that. Councilmember Hardcastle stated that this process would take place.

Rick Carosone stated that direction would make the Golf Advisory Board's job easier. The newspaper did a good job in outlining what the Golf Advisory Board accomplished in meetings. He reviewed the process for those present. The Parks and Recreation Director met with the Golf Advisory Board in the fall and stated that there is a shortfall in the golf course budgets. The Golf Advisory Board then begins the process of developing a plan. Expenses and fee increases are looked at. Recommendations are presented to City Council. The City Council can approve or deny all or any portion of the proposal from the Golf Advisory Board. What the public sees at the City Council Meeting is not necessarily what the Golf Advisory Board proposed. The expense portion for the golf courses is as follows:

Employee Salaries	\$ 553,000.00
Employee Benefits	\$ 238,000.00
Garage	\$ 195,000.00

JANUARY 23, 2003

Municipal Equipment Replacement Fund	\$ 150,000.00
Utilities	<u>\$ 88,000.00</u>
Fixed Costs	\$1.6 Million

75% of the budgets for the three golf courses are fixed costs. The alternatives for the City Council to consider are to cut back on expenses (the quality of the product will go down), or to hire a management company (the City must live with what the management company dictates). Mr. Carosone stated that the City has good golf courses and they are inexpensive. He shared the following statement from Matt Nelson, United States Golf Official, who visits the City's golf courses every year:

“Conditions from tee to green appear quite consistent with very good playing quality presented. In fact, as has been mentioned in previous reports, golfers at the Idaho Falls Municipal Golf Courses enjoy some of the finest playing conditions for the cost per round as can be found anywhere in the United States.”

Mr. Carosone stated that he upheld those sentiments.

Councilmember Shurtleff stated that Mr. Carosone inferred that Pocatello's golf courses are not run by privatized companies. Mr. Carosone stated that Pocatello's golf courses are run by privatized companies, but they looked toward the professional management company, which is a step above what they now have in place. He reviewed for City Council Pocatello's fees as compared to Idaho Falls. Councilmember Shurtleff stated that Pocatello's courses do a little better financially. Councilmember Shurtleff asked Mr. Carosone whether he would be against privatization. Mr. Carosone stated that he would have to review the proposal before he could make a decision. At this time, he would say that he would be against privatization, but if it could be shown that a program works for the City of Idaho Falls and the average citizen of Idaho Falls, he would be able to have an open discussion about it. Councilmember Shurtleff asked whether the property tax payer who pays into the General Fund should support golf courses. Mr. Carosone stated that he did not have an answer to that question. Councilmember Shurtleff questioned Mr. Carosone whether he supported the golf fee increases in 2001. Mr. Carosone stated that he supported those fee increases. Councilmember Shurtleff questioned Mr. Carosone as to what the 2001 fee increases would produce. Mr. Carosone stated that he was not sure, in that he did not understand what the City Council was trying to accomplish. Before the budget was finalized, the Golf Advisory Board reviewed every possible method to balance the budget for golf courses. Councilmember Shurtleff questioned whether the Golf Advisory Board received any financial oversight until the end of the year. Mr. Carosone stated that was true, with budget year 2003 beginning in November, 2002. The full year's revenues are not in when a budget is created for the new year.

Councilmember Lehto stated that he very appreciative of the Golf Advisory Board and the work they do. He is also aware of the situation that the Golf Advisory Board is put in at the end of the year because of lack of discussion on the Council's part to give clear direction. When he looked at the proposal from the Golf Advisory Board, one of his concerns was these rates need to favor a decision making process in a non-resident household verses a resident household. He explained that the decision to increase fees to balance the golf budget was determined in a rush, and he felt that maybe some time needed to be taken to determine whether this was essential. Mr. Carosone stated that out-of-City people sit in the Golf Advisory Meetings and they voice their opinions as to what is right and what is wrong. The Golf Advisory Board needs to determine fees based upon whether they will scare people

away from the City's golf courses. At some point, the Board has to determine whether fees have been raised to a point that the City may scare away some non-residents. The Board needs to walk that fine line and balance that. Mr. Carosone stated that he believed that non-

JANUARY 23, 2003

residents should pay a little more to play golf in Idaho Falls. Since he has been on the Board, he has pushed for an out-of-State fee. The pros were unopposed to that fee. Councilmember Lehto stated that he fundamentally believed that, as a Council, the out-of-State people provide an economic benefit to this community. That provides enhancement and growth to the businesses in our community. He stated that he requested that this fee be removed from the fee increases as the benefit from out-of-State people has not been analyzed. Councilmember Lehto questioned Mr. Carosone whether the Board was given instruction to consider, given the economic downturns, that rates not be increased so that people would consider staying at home instead of playing golf. Mr. Carosone requested a clarification as to Councilmember Lehto's comment regarding non-residents are not paying enough, but out-of-State players are paying too much. Councilmember Lehto stated that a larger increase has resulted, percentage wise, to the resident golfer. He would have asked the Board to take that into consideration and not raise the resident fee. Business owners in Idaho Falls and the surrounding area are very appreciative of the low rates on the golf courses. That gives the consumer more money to spend in our community and in our businesses. The City Council has not explored any of that. Mr. Carosone stated that he would rather not raise rates. That again addresses the philosophy as to whether any shortfalls should come from the General Fund. He explained, further, that if shortfalls could come from the General Fund that would be great. If not, Mr. Carosone did not want to cut expenses as he did not want to see the quality of the golf courses deteriorate. That was his personal opinion.

Councilmember Barnes stated that it is only fair that a policy statement should be developed on where the golf courses should be. That policy statement should be then passed on to the Golf Advisory Board as something to work under. He challenged the Golf Advisory Board to maintain the delicate balance between the quality of maintenance on the courses and the fees that are charged. If quality is slipping, other things are impacted. Idaho Falls has always maintained well-groomed courses.

Tom Hersh, 598 Gladstone, appeared to state that he is a member of the Golf Advisory Board and President of the Men's Golf Association. He stated that the Golf Advisory Board balanced the increase of fees very well. He did not feel that the Board was rushed or that they did not receive the information in time to make good decisions. The Golf Advisory Board felt that the golf courses needed to break even, whether the Council gave that directive or not. His reason for approving the fee increases was for fear that season pass purchases would diminish and good golf would diminish. If the City decides to privatize golf courses, the golfers will be hurt. The golf courses in Idaho Falls are run fantastically. The Parks and Recreation Director and his crew are unbelievably good. The fee increases had to take place. The Golf Advisory Board made a strong recommendation to raise the out-of-State players fees, and were surprised to have that removed from the fee increases.

LaMar Densley, 1402 Three Fountains Drive, appeared to state that the City has great pros. The golf courses are superb. He stated that he was in favor of the golf fee increases. He was just informed that half of the season passes are non-resident. The City residents are bearing a large portion of that burden as taxpayers. Non-residents should pay significantly higher than the residents pay for season passes. That will help in the fiduciary responsibilities of the City.

Councilmember Shurtleff questioned Mr. Densley as to how he would feel if the City were to raise rates 2-1/2%-3% every year. Mr. Densley stated that, recognizing the responsibility that the City has, he would be willing to do that. He stated that the non-resident needed to pay his/her share.

There being no further comment either in favor of or in opposition to the new golf fees or fee increases, Mayor Milam closed the public hearing.

Mayor Milam commented that privatization or contracting management for the golf courses is something that can be considered. This is not necessarily going to work out

JANUARY 23, 2003

well. The City of Idaho Falls has a \$15 Million asset that would be at risk. Seattle, Washington has three public courses and they are canceling their management contract because they are concerned about losses, but more important about the management and maintenance of the golf courses. This may be something to be considered, but it should not be held as a panacea to fix all. In terms of Council philosophy, there are six individualists on the Council. They need to work towards a consensus. Over the last ten years, there has been a change of philosophy, in that revenues should match expenditures. Recognizing that when the budget is set in August, the City is only half way through a golf season. Budget projections are based on incomplete current year revenues and expenditures. She understood, through the budgeting process, that though there are a variety of opinions on the City Council, the consensus has been to work towards balancing revenues with expenditures.

Councilmember Hardcastle added that two years ago, in the July budget meetings, the point was made that revenues needed to balance to expenditures for the golf courses. A work session has not been held regarding this, but that was the consensus.

Councilmember Lehto stated that if Councilmembers were aware of moneys that were put into other entities of the City, they would be less likely to say, "Balance the budget."

Councilmember Hardcastle stated that this was discussed two years ago. It was even discussed this summer during the budget process.

Councilmember Lehto stated that when he attended the Council Committee Meeting with Councilmember Hardcastle and Councilmember Eldredge, they indicated that they were developing a philosophy. He left that meeting with the idea that there was some room to miss the budget. Part of that philosophy was going to be to set a rate for the resident and a 15% increase for the non-resident. These things need to be brought to the full Council through a Work Session. He stated that he is not opposed to a fee increase if it is needed. He stated that the golf courses are one of the City's good businesses. The budget is very close. He is very proud to be able to say that the City has affordable golf. Some are offended that a small amount is subsidized by the General Fund. A complete economic analysis of what these golf courses mean to the City has not been completed. Changing the fees is pure folly until the Council does that. The City Council accepted the Golf Advisory Board's recommendation in raising fees in 2001. Every year following those fee increases, the rounds of golf played has gone down. He questioned whether the rounds of golf decreased because of the fee increases. Councilmember Lehto stated that waiting five months before approving the current fee increases, in bad economy, will not hurt the City of Idaho Falls at all. The City just had a very good budget analysis and review. The City is extremely healthy. Reserves are left over. More money was put into the General Fund reserves.

Councilmember Hardcastle clarified that season pass sales went up this past year. Rounds of play did decrease. She did not have an explanation for that. She stated, further, that the City Council would have a Work Session on this issue.

Councilmember Shurtleff stated that one of the main reasons that he voted against the City's general budget was because of the golf budget. The golf budget basically serves no purpose whatsoever. Its revenues are always projected too high. Expenses from actual to what was increased this year was up 11%-12%. He did not understand why expenses would go up that high in one year. He did not agree that cutting expenses would cut services. Golfing could carry itself and do very well, and still maintain an excellent three courses. He believed that revenues could be projected at budget time. The City could do a better job of setting budgets and revenues and knowing where the money is going to come

from. It is disappointing for the Golf Advisory Board and for the City Council to determine where the golf budget is, unless a lot of work is done each month. The City has not done a good job in operating the budget. Private enterprise is not the answer in every case, but it

JANUARY 23, 2003

probably has a better record in managing than the City has at this time. Councilmember Shurtleff agreed with Councilmember Lehto in not increasing the fees at this time and start over with the budget for the golf courses.

Councilmember Hardcastle stated that she portrayed what the criteria was for this budget year. She stated that perhaps she did not represent the Council as she should have, but it was based on what was discussed in the past.

Councilmember Groberg thanked the Mayor for her explanation on the evolution of the budget. He sat on the City Council when the Council was more interested in golf than it is today. It was just a few years ago that the consensus developed that golf should pay for itself. The budgets that have been developed are more on target to achieving that. He stated that his understanding was that the Golf Advisory Board was charged to assist in achieving that goal. The Golf Advisory Board has analyzed the budget and come forward with a proposal. He would like to see more of a differentiation between residents and non-residents. Councilmember Groberg stated that the Council should respect the efforts of the Golf Advisory Board in responding to the Council's request.

Councilmember Lehto stated that the Golf Advisory Board has done a great job with the direction they received. He stated that he has attended enough of the Council Committee Meetings where he has had input into the process that has not been considered. He requested that the Council take this on as a line item or an action in the budget hearings in May to lead the way to open discussion in this matter.

Councilmember Groberg stated that this discussion will be held during the budgeting process. He will make sure that it is there. He stated, again, that the fee increase proposal is a response to the City Council's request to balance the golf budget.

Councilmember Lehto stated that had the tables been turned and golf revenues had exceeded the expenditures, there would not be a fee decrease this year. If the Council's philosophy is to have golf pay for itself, even coming in \$40,000.00 short, it was a good effort to achieve that.

Councilmember Barnes stated that the Golf Advisory Board has discussed every issue. He complimented the Golf Advisory Board for their efforts and stated that he would support them in their recommendation to raise golf fees.

Councilmember Hardcastle stated that she has attended Golf Advisory Board Meetings and watched them labor over this decision with a great deal of intensity. She also saluted the pros, superintendents and staff because they have also been laboring to bring the golf budget into balance. They have made a commitment to accomplish that.

Councilmember Lehto appreciated Councilmember Barnes' position on this and thanked him for his comment. Councilmember Lehto suggested that part of the philosophy discussion might be that economic benefits from the three best assets in the City might be part of the consideration whether the budget balanced or not. He hated to tinker with one of the City's best businesses.

It was moved by Councilmember Hardcastle, seconded by Councilmember Groberg, to approve the new fees and fee increases to golf operations by an amount that exceeds one hundred five percent (105%) as presented for the 2002-2003 Fiscal Year. Roll call as follows:

Aye: Councilmember Groberg
 Councilmember Barnes
 Councilmember Hardcastle

Nay: Councilmember Shurtleff

Councilmember Lehto

Motion Carried.

JANUARY 23, 2003

Following a brief recess, the following memos were submitted from the Municipal Services Director:

City of Idaho Falls
January 15, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: S. Craig Lords, Municipal Services Director
SUBJECT: AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE POLICE VEHICLES

Municipal Services respectfully requests authorization to purchase two (2) used 2002 Ford Crown Victoria Sedans with police package for a total amount of \$39,600.00. The vehicles are available through Salt Lake County's vehicle sale. These units are low mileage and will include overhead lights, sirens and the control box.

s/ S. Craig Lords

It was moved by Councilmember Groberg, seconded by Councilmember Hardcastle, to approve the purchase of two (2) Ford Crown Victoria Sedans with police package through Salt Lake County's vehicle sale as presented. Roll call as follows:

Aye: Councilmember Hardcastle
Councilmember Shurtleff
Councilmember Lehto
Councilmember Groberg
Councilmember Barnes

Nay: None

Motion Carried.

City of Idaho Falls
January 16, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: S. Craig Lords, Municipal Services Director
SUBJECT: AGREEMENT WITH SESAC, INC.

Attached for your consideration is an Agreement with SESAC, Inc. providing for a license to copyrighted compositions at the Civic Auditorium. It is respectfully requested that Council approve said Agreement and authorize the Mayor to sign.

s/ S. Craig Lords

It was moved by Councilmember Groberg, seconded by Councilmember Hardcastle, to approve the Agreement with SESAC, Inc. to provide for a license to copyrighted compositions

JANUARY 23, 2003

at the Civic Auditorium and, further, give authorization for the Mayor to execute the necessary documents. Roll call as follows:

Aye: Councilmember Groberg
Councilmember Barnes
Councilmember Shurtleff
Councilmember Hardcastle
Councilmember Lehto

Nay: None

Motion Carried.

City of Idaho Falls
January 15, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: S. Craig Lords, Municipal Services Director
SUBJECT: BID IF-03-06, NEW VEHICLES

Attached for your consideration is the tabulation for Bid IF-03-06, New Vehicles. It is the recommendation of Municipal Services that we award to the low bidder meeting specifications as per Attachment "A". The low bid for Items V and IX of Wackerli Auto Center did not meet the required specifications. The bid for Item V called for a $\frac{3}{4}$ Ton Pickup with single rear wheels, and they bid a 1 Ton Pickup with dual rear wheels. The bid for Item IX called for a flex fuel Sedan, and they bid a regular gas engine sedan.

The trade-in units will go to the dealer with the exception of Items B through J and Item K which will be sold to Bonneville School District No. 93, who agreed to meet the amount bid by the dealer.

s/ S. Craig Lords

Councilmember Shurtleff requested to know the City's policy for purchasing flex fuel vehicles verses gas engine vehicles. The General Services Administrator appeared to state that flex fuel vehicles are purchased to comply with the Energy Policy Act, which is to cut dependence on foreign oil. This also helps the City to comply with the Clean Air Act, which has not been a problem here. In the future, municipal fleets will be required to have a certain percentage of the alternate fuel vehicles. The City has 4 pickups and a sedan that are flex fuel vehicles. Mayor Milam stated that it is her understanding that the flex fuel vehicles are cleaner, easier and less expensive to maintain. The City has been purchasing bi-fuel vehicles for 3-4 years and have had extremely good maintenance records with those vehicles. The employees that have been driving those vehicles are very pleased with them. Councilmember Groberg requested to know whether the purchase of these vehicles are as of a result of a general City policy, or is it originating through a specific department. The General Services Administrator stated that General Services and Municipal Services have been the originating department for

the purchase of these vehicles. It was moved by Councilmember Groberg, seconded by Councilmember Hardcastle, to accept the low bid meeting specifications as per Attachment "A" for New Vehicles. Roll call as follows:

JANUARY 23, 2003

Aye: Councilmember Shurtleff
Councilmember Hardcastle
Councilmember Barnes
Councilmember Lehto
Councilmember Groberg

Nay: None

Motion Carried.

The memo from the Parks and Recreation Director regarding the Lease Agreement with Sandy Downs Simulcasting, LLC. was withdrawn by the Division Director. The Planning and Building Director submitted the following memo:

City of Idaho Falls
January 21, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Renée R. Magee, Planning and Building Director
SUBJECT: EXTENSION OF RECORDING DATES FOR FAIRWAY ESTATES ADDITION, DIVISION NO. 10 AND FAIRWAY ESTATES ADDITION, DIVISION NO. 11

Attached is a request from Mountain River Engineering on behalf of the Developer to extend the recording date for Fairway Estates Addition, Division Nos. 10 and 11. This Division respectfully requests an extension to May 1, 2003 to record these final plats.

s/ Renée R. Magee

It was moved by Councilmember Barnes, seconded by Councilmember Hardcastle, to extend the recording of the final plats for Fairway Estates Addition, Division No. 10 and Fairway Estates Addition, Division No. 11, to May 1, 2003 as requested. Roll call as follows:

Aye: Councilmember Lehto
Councilmember Hardcastle
Councilmember Groberg
Councilmember Barnes
Councilmember Shurtleff

Nay: None

Motion Carried.

The Public Works Director submitted the following memos:

JANUARY 23, 2003

City of Idaho Falls
January 17, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Chad Stanger, Public Works Director
SUBJECT: CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 – PAVING EQUIPMENT RENTAL, 2002 PROJECT

Attached is proposed Change Order No. 1 to the Paving Equipment Rental Project 2002, increasing the amount of the contract by \$9,777.00. This amount reflects the amount charged to the contractor, TMC Contractors, Inc., by the State Tax Commission as a Use Tax on asphalt plant mix supplied by the City under another supply contract.

Public Works recommends approval of this Change Order; and, authorization for the Mayor and City Clerk to sign the documents.

s/ Chad Stanger

Following a brief explanation, it was moved by Councilmember Shurtleff, seconded by Councilmember Barnes, to approve Change Order No. 1 to TMC Contractors, Inc. for the Paving Equipment Rental – 2002 Project and, further, give authorization for the Mayor to execute the necessary documents. Roll call as follows:

Aye: Councilmember Lehto
Councilmember Barnes
Councilmember Groberg
Councilmember Shurtleff
Councilmember Hardcastle

Nay: None

Motion Carried.

City of Idaho Falls
January 17, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Chad Stanger, Public Works Director
SUBJECT: ENGINEERING SERVICES – WELL NO. 16 ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL UPGRADES

Attached is a proposed Engineering Services Agreement, in the amount of \$26,402.00, between the City and Black and Veatch Corporation for design services related to mechanical and electrical upgrades to Well No. 16. These

upgrades are consistent with those previously installed at other wells on the system.

JANUARY 23, 2003

Public Works recommends approval of this Agreement; and, authorization for the Mayor and City Clerk to sign the documents.

s/ Chad Stanger

It was moved by Councilmember Shurtleff, seconded by Councilmember Barnes, to approve the Engineering Services Agreement with Black and Veatch Corporation to provide design services related to the mechanical and electrical upgrades to Well No. 16 and, further, give authorization for the Mayor to execute the necessary documents. Roll call as follows:

Aye: Councilmember Hardcastle
 Councilmember Shurtleff
 Councilmember Lehto
 Councilmember Groberg
 Councilmember Barnes

Nay: None

Motion Carried.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilmember Lehto, seconded by Councilmember Barnes, that the meeting adjourn at 9:05 p.m.

CITY CLERK

MAYOR
