

OCTOBER 6, 1983

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls met in Regular Council Meeting, Thursday, October 6, 1983, in the Council Chamber in Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Prior to calling to meeting to order, the Mayor called upon Eagle Scout, Stefen Giese, to come forward and lead all there present in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. The Mayor then called the meeting to order, and upon roll call, the following were found to be present: Mayor Tom Campbell; Councilmen Wes Deist, Paul Hovey, Sam Sakaguchi, Ralph Wood, and Art Chandler; absent, Councilman Mel Erickson. Also present: Velma Chandler, City Clerk; Attorney Dale Storer, and all other available Division Directors. Mayor Campbell excused Councilman Erickson, as there had been a death in his immediate family.

Minutes of the last Regular Council Meeting held September 22, 1983 and a Special Meeting held September 27, 1983 were read and approved.

Mayor Campbell honored Eagle Scouts Brent Johnson, Stefan Giese, Steven Harding, Greg Christensen, Michael Stone, Michael Ketay, Bill Litner, Brady Jensen, and Mike Pettinger. He thanked them for being the fine young men that they are and the service and credit they are to the City. He then presented each scout with a certificate of appreciation, after which each scout received a congratulatory handshake from all City officials around the Council Table and a round of applause from all those present in the Council Chamber.

The Mayor announced that this was the time and place, as legally advertised, to conduct a public hearing to consider a re-zoning request for property located adjacent to the west side of Channing Way, and called upon Councilman Wood, as chairman of the Planning and Zoning Committee, to conduct the hearing. At the request of Councilman Wood, the City Clerk read this explanatory memo from the City Planner:

October 5, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Rod Gilchrist
SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR REZONING - PROPERTY ADJACENT TO
WEST SIDE OF CHANNING WAY

Attached is a copy of a request to rezone a portion of the property on the west side of Channing Way, across the street from the Grand Teton Mall. This property is currently zoned R-3A and R-2A, and the petitioners are requesting it be changed to C-1 and R-3A. They are also proposing to construct a raised landscaped berm on a 20-foot wide strip at the rear of the proposed R-3A property.

This matter was recently considered at a public hearing conducted by the Planning Commission on September 13th. At that time no protests were heard to the proposal, and after some consideration, the Planning Commission recommended, by vote of 7 to 1, to approve the request.

This matter is now being submitted to the Mayor and Council for your consideration.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

Councilman Wood then asked City Planner Gilchrist to locate the subject property on a map on the wall and explain the request.

OCTOBER 6, 1983

Councilman Wood then invited anyone who wished to speak in favor of the request to be heard at this time.

Dick Skidmore, one of the developers, appeared briefly to emphasize the reason for the request and the asset it would be to the area if zoned as requested. He asked the City Planner to explain the difference in R-3A and C-1 zoning. Gilchrist answered that R-3A zoning, primarily, provides for apartments and offices and C-1 is basically for light commercial structures. Skidmore said that it was designated for adequate traffic and they had received several requests for a spa or similar usage and the zoning would need to be C-1 to allow this usage. He said that, in his opinion, it would be compatible to have C-1 zoning in this area. He further explained the plans for development of this area, including a berm between the zones. Councilman Deist asked how high the berm would be. Consulting Engineer David Benton answered that the berm would be five feet high. Councilman Chandler asked what type of buildings were planned. Skidmore answered that they had no specific plans for the buildings, but the demand seems to be for the type of buildings that would require the C-1 zoning.

There being no one else who wished to speak in favor of this request, Councilman Wood then invited those who wished to speak in opposition to be heard at this time.

Dick St. Clair, 1932 East 25th, appeared as spokesman for the area residents and presented and read the following letter which states the reasons they are objecting to the zone change.

October 6, 1983

Mayor Thomas Campbell and City Council Members
City of Idaho Falls
City Building
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

RE: Rejection of Proposed Zoning Ordinance Change for Area Within
Boundary of 17th Street, Channing Way, 25th Street, and Hoopes
Avenue

Dear Mayor Campbell and Members of the City Council:

A majority of the residents and owners of residential homes in the Rose Nielsen Subdivision, located immediately west of Hoopes Avenue oppose the request by developers to change the zoning of the vacant land bordered on the north by 17th Street, the east by Channing Way, the south by 25th Street, and the west by Hoopes Avenue. Attached to this letter are Petitions signed by a majority of the residents and owners of residential property in the Rose Nielsen Subdivision, indicating their opposition to the proposed zoning changes.

It is unfortunate that we as residents of the Rose Nielsen Subdivision must take up the City Council's time by making our views known to it, rather than the Planning and Zoning Commission. However, the Planning and Zoning Commission did not give adequate notice or publication of the request for zoning changes either to newspaper, radio, or television stations making public service announcements, nor by actual notice to property owners and residents of the area that would be substantially impacted by the proposed changes. A review of the Minutes of the Planning and Zoning Commission indicates that

the only parties represented at its September meeting to consider the request, were the parties requesting the change, and no others. Had there been

OCTOBER 6, 1983

adequate notice to the community, we assure you that we would have made our views known to the Planning and Zoning Commission, rather than taking up the City Council's time.

The majority of the residents and owners of residential property in the Rose Nielsen Subdivision purchased or built homes in that area upon reliance of the zoning ordinance in effect for the last four or five years, indicating that the above-described area would generally be single family dwellings on both sides of Hoopes Avenue, and multiple family dwellings between the single family dwellings and Channing Way. Most of us felt that it was a desirable goal of the City to have a buffer zone of multiple dwellings between the commercial activity of Grand Teton Mall, and the Rose Nielsen Subdivision.

The City of Idaho Falls, and its developers Dick Skidmore and Jack Jensen can be proud of their work in creating a very desirable single family dwelling subdivision in the Rose Nielsen area. However, there seems to be no justification to destroy the desirability of that subdivision, by creating a business community adjacent to it. If the proposed zoning changes are approved by the City Council, the entire area between the Rose Nielsen and the Grand Teton Mall, except for a small strip on either side of Hoopes will be constructed into businesses and office buildings. That will increase the noise and pollution level, the traffic flow through the subdivision, and decrease the desirability of the easterly view for residents in the area. As soon as these office buildings and commercial buildings are construed, the developers will necessarily have to request a zoning change of the strips on both side of Hoopes Avenue from RPA to either R3A, as no one will be willing to build or buy a single family dwelling immediately adjacent to office buildings and businesses.

Normally, for the City Council to amend an existing zoning ordinance, the Planning and Zoning Commission should receive input from the community to be affected by the change, and should make findings as to the necessity of the change, and its impact on a number of factors, including property rights and property values, housing, and community design. A review of the records of the Planning and Zoning Commission, indicate that no such findings have been made, or even considered. Also, it would appear as though there is adequate commercial and zone R3A properties along 17th Street on both sides, along Hitt Road on both sides adjacent to the Vo-Tech School, and the already existing R3A section west of Channing Way. It would appear as though additional R3A zone property could be located on the east side of Hitt Road between 17th Street and 25th Street. It would also appear as though there is adequate unallocated commercial area behind the Grand Teton Mall to the South. It would also appear as though the City ought to be looking at proposed zoning of the property between 25th Street and Sunnyside Road to be bordered ultimately by Channing Way on the west and Hitt Road on the east for R3A and commercial zoning. All of that property would be more than adequate for any commercial demand, without sacrificing the buffer zone which developers now propose to eliminate.

In summary, we appreciate the City Council's rejecting the proposed changes, or alternatively referring the matter back to the Planning and Zoning Commission for determining the necessity, desirability, and impact of the

OCTOBER 6, 1983

proposed changes before making its recommendations. Thank you very much for allowing us an opportunity to be heard on this significant issue.

s/ Richard T. St. Clair

The following petition, with approximately 200 signatures, was also presented by Mr. St. Clair.

TO: The Mayor and City Council for the City of Idaho Falls

THE UNDERSIGNED residents and owners of residential property located in the Rose Nielsen Subdivision oppose the contemplated zoning changes to the platted property bordered on the north by 17th Street, the west by Hoopes Avenue, the south by 25th Street, and the east by Channing Way. We understand the proposed changes would increase several times the area presently zoned for commercial development and office buildings, while decreasing the transition buffer area of multiple family dwellings. We believe the changes are neither justified nor beneficial to the surrounding community, and will substantially reduce the desirability and value of homes in the Rose Nielsen Subdivision and on both sides of Hoopes Avenue.

St. Clair stated that it was the consensus of the area residents that a five foot berm would not be adequate protection for their homes nor for future development and, he felt that no one would want to build residential homes so near a C-1 zone; and eventually the entire area would either remain undeveloped or it would be requested to all go C-1 zoning. St. Clair suggested that a "three fountain" type community be considered for this area that is presently zoned R-3A and R-2A.

Mr. Larry Lawroski, 2375 Belmont, appeared briefly to state that, in his opinion, the Planning Commission is not planning - just reacting. He said that zoning decisions are made and then changed within the next year or two. He said that, when he purchased his home, he was under the impression that the entire area would remain residential and that parks and playgrounds would be developed for their use. He requested that the Mayor and Council provide some leadership to the Planning Commission so that there is planning rather than reaction. He strongly urged that the Council reject this request and get back to the job of really planning.

Councilman Chandler said that, in defense of the Planning and Zoning Department, it was unfortunate that the residents had not been properly advised of the Planning Commission hearing and he had discussed this with the City Planner and other Councilmen and it had been determined that the legal description published in the Post Register should be clarified so that it is easier for local residents to properly identify the property being considered. He stated that the Planning and Zoning Department notifies all residents living within 300 feet of the affected property, but that is difficult to do when the property is vacant. Lawroski said that, maybe, the procedure should be reviewed. Councilman Chandler agreed to this suggestion.

Mr. Lee Richardson, 1991 Malibu, appeared briefly to state that he owns property within 192 feet of this area and he nor his neighbors had received any notification of the hearing and the proposed change.

Mayor Campbell said that it was an important point that some people were not notified and this should be further considered by the Committee. Councilman Wood apologized for the residents not being properly notified.

OCTOBER 6, 1983

Mr. Howard Romero, 1929 East 25th Street, appeared briefly to state that the Idaho Falls downtown area consists of approximately 74 acres and the new mall, as it is now being developed, has 86 acres, and the zoning of this area would be a saturation of commercial zoning. He said that the City ordinance provided that the City shall protect the value of property for nearby owners and he does not feel that this protection is being adequately afforded to the residents. He urged the Council not to change this zoning as he feels that there is no real reason for it to be changed.

Mr. Adrian Hill, 2389 Malibu, appeared to ask the height limitations of the different zones. City Planner Gilchrist explained the limits of each zone. Mr. Hill said that, in his opinion, this re-zoning would be "spot zoning" and he recommended denial.

Mr. James King, 2290 Belmont, appeared to state that a Salt Lake City resident who was considering renting space in the Teton Mall had asked him concerning the impact on the mall in the area. King told him that the drawback at this time was the traffic flow on 17th Street. He said that the Salt Lake resident replied that the salesman had already informed him that the City intends to remove the parking and median on 25th Street and make it a major thoroughfare. King asked if this were true. Mayor Campbell answered that the City has no plans to remove the median on 25th Street, but that it is planned that 25th Street be a through street to St. Clair. Mayor Campbell said that the median will not be continued, but the median that is there now will not be removed.

Christy Romero, 1929 East 25th Street, appeared to state that they were concerned about the close proximity of the proposed mall to their home when they purchased it in 1979, but Mr. Skidmore had, very proudly, explained his plans for the area and they agreed to what he proposed, but now he is requesting a change which, in her opinion, will degrade the value and re-sale prospects of their home.

Attorney Storer asked the City Planner to explain the uses for this area under consideration as designated in the Comprehensive Plan. The City Planner answered that the Comprehensive Plan, at this time, reflects the existing zoning of the area. Storer asked, what is the nature of the use designated in the Comprehensive Plan. Gilchrist answered that it is designated as residential use, it shows the shopping center as commercial and then professional offices and apartments on the West side of Channing Way. Storer asked if the proposed re-zoning is in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan. Gilchrist answered that the request is not in compliance with the plan as it exists, if favorable action is taken on this re-zoning request, separate action will have to be taken to amend the plan.

Councilman Hovey asked when this subject property was annexed and zoned and how many acres were annexed at that time. Gilchrist answered that there was approximately one hundred and sixty acres annexed. Hovey asked if Gilchrist recalled what was the basic reason or argument for annexing that amount of land at that time. Gilchrist answered that, as he recalled, the idea was to bring the large tract in and pre-plan it, in effect, with the zoning around the entire area. Hovey asked if Gilchrist recalled any argument that the developer had had previous problems in other annexations and zonings because he would have it annexed and zoned a certain way and then the Council would be confronted with upset people when the developer requested changes. Hovey said, as he recalls the discussion, the developer wanted to have the zoning "set" and therefore the request to annex such a large parcel of land at one time. Gilchrist answered that he did not recall a specific discussion but, generally speaking, he was sure that it was the general intent to annex a large parcel of land and show how it was zoned.

Mayor Campbell said that several citizens have testified, this night, that there is adequate commercially zoned area in the City at this time and asked Gilchrist if he deemed this to be true. Gilchrist answered that an economic feasibility study has not been taken for some time and he could not truthfully answer that question at this time. Mayor Campbell said that sometimes zoning is changed just because the area is not developing

under that zone and asked Gilchrist if he felt this property would develop under its present zone. Gilchrist said it was premature to answer that question. He said it depends on the

OCTOBER 6, 1983

success of the mall now under Construction. Councilman Chandler asked if this request could be considered at a later date if it failed to be approved at this time. Gilchrist answered in the affirmative.

There being no further questions nor comment, Councilman Wood said that, considering the desire to not change the comprehensive plan at this time, and also the trust that the citizens had in the developer as he explained to them what he intended to do, he would move that this change in zoning be denied and the City Attorney be directed to prepare a written Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Decisions. This motion was seconded by Councilman Deist. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Ralph Wood, Art Chandler, Wes Deist, Paul Hovey and Sam Sakaguchi; No, none; carried,

The Mayor then called for a five minute recess.

After the re-convening of the meeting, the Mayor called upon Councilman Wood to conduct the annexation proceedings as listed on the agenda. At the request of Councilman Wood, the City Clerk read this explanatory memo from the City Planner:

City of Idaho Falls
October 5, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Rod Gilchrist
SUBJECT: ANNEXATION OF STREET RIGHTS-OF-WAY

Attached are copies of annexation ordinances for several parcels of street rights-of-way on the West Broadway, Bellin and Mill Roads, Grandview Drive and a portion of Esquire Acres Park. These are portions of streets where part of the rights-of-way is now within the City limits, part is outside the City limits. Annexation of these properties will place them all within the City limits.

The Planning Commission recently considered this matter and unanimously recommended the properties be annexed. This Department concurs with that recommendation.

This matter is now being submitted to the Mayor and Council for your consideration.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

Jeffery Trunzer appeared briefly to ask why the City did not annex these parcels all at once rather than take several meetings to do so. Councilman Sakaguchi advised Mr. Trunzer that it takes a lot of time to prepare for these annexations and it would not be proper to hold some of them up until others are ready.

The following Ordinances were then presented and acted upon individually:

ORDINANCE 1733

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO
THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID
LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO. (PORTION OF
ESQUIRE ACRES PARK AND PART OF GRANDVIEW
DRIVE)

OCTOBER 6, 1983

The foregoing ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Deist, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 1734

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO. (BELLIN AND MILL ROADS BY NEW SWEDEN ESTATES)

The foregoing ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Deist, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 1735

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO. (BELLIN AVENUE NORTH OF BROADWAY)

The foregoing ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Deist, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance places before the Council for final consideration, the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

OCTOBER 6, 1983

ORDINANCE NO. 1736

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO. (RIGHT-OF-WAY ANNEXATION ON BROADWAY)

The foregoing ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Deist, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 1737

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS: DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO. (RIGHT-OF-WAY ANNEXATION ON BROADWAY)

The foregoing ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Deist, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 1738

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING CERTAIN LANDS TO THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS; DESCRIBING SAID LANDS AND DECLARING SAME A PART OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO. (GRANDVIEW DRIVE THROUGH COACHMAN WEST ADDITION)

The foregoing ordinance was presented in title. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Deist, that the provisions of Section 50-902 of the Idaho Code requiring all ordinances to be fully and distinctly read on three several days be dispensed with. The

question being, "SHALL THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 50-902 OF THE IDAHO CODE
REQUIRING ALL ORDINANCES TO BE READ ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS BE DISPENSED

OCTOBER 6, 1983

WITH?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried. The majority of all the members of the Council present having voted in the affirmative, the Mayor declared the rule dispensed with and ordered the ordinance placed before the Council for final consideration, the question being, "SHALL THE ORDINANCE PASS?" Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

Mr. Milton Adam, 1438 South Woodruff Avenue, appeared to ask the Council to deny an Idaho Falls Consolidated Hospital request for another extension on payment of about \$75,000 in road and bridge fees. Attorney Storer said that a request had been received but that he has made no recommendation to the Council for action. Adam said that the land had become a liability to the taxpayers because it provides no tax revenues, but the City must provide protection services. Mayor Campbell informed Mr. Adam that the land was not a burden, as even though the land does not produce tax revenues the City does not provide services, per the annexation agreement, until it is developed, therefore, it is not costing the taxpayers any money. The Mayor accused Mr. Adam of having a personal vendetta against the hospital and that his request is not really a rational request. Mayor Campbell informed Mr. Adam that the Council will consider the request at the Council meeting on October 20th.

Bills for the month of September 1983 were presented, as follows:

	<u>GENERAL</u>	<u>STREET</u>	<u>AIRPORT</u>	<u>WATER/SEWER</u>
MAT/SERV	\$ 913,103.41	\$ 721,483.82	\$ 115,135.98	\$ 901,926.41
SALARY	<u>472,188.94</u>	<u>26,629.87</u>	<u>9,767.88</u>	<u>65,359.35</u>
TOTALS	\$1,385,292.35	\$ 748,113.69	\$ 124,903.86	\$ 967,285.76
	<u>ELECTRIC</u>	<u>SANITATION</u>	<u>RECREATION</u>	<u>SAN/SEW CAP</u>
MAT/SERV	\$2,676,083.64	\$ 485,760.38	\$ 38,181.83	\$ 15,401.55
SALARY	<u>93,345.96</u>	<u>32,555.78</u>	<u>6,666.23</u>	<u>.00</u>
TOTALS	\$2,769,429.60	\$ 518,316.16	\$ 44,848.06	\$ 15,401.55
	<u>MUN CAP IMPR</u>	<u>LIBRARY</u>	<u>BRIDGE/ART ST</u>	<u>WATER CAP</u>
MAT/SERV	\$ 242,541.38	\$ 6,173.18	\$ 51,186.33	\$ 608.60
SALARY	<u>.00</u>	<u>22,511.57</u>	<u>.00</u>	<u>.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 242,541.38	\$ 28,684.75	\$ 51,186.33	\$ 608.60
	<u>REV SHARING</u>	<u>ICDBG LOAN</u>	<u>SURFACE DRAIN</u>	<u>CITY TOTALS</u>
MAT/SERV	\$ 25,834.09	\$ 2,402.50	\$ 3,090.01	\$ 6,198,913.11
SALARY	<u>.00</u>	<u>.00</u>	<u>.00</u>	<u>729,025.58</u>
TOTAL	\$ 25,834.09	\$ 2,402.50	\$ 3,090.01	\$ 6,927,938.69

Councilman Chandler reviewed all major expenditures. It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Wood, that the Controller be authorized to pay all bills as listed. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Chandler, Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, and Wood; No, none; carried.

Monthly reports from Division Heads were presented for the month of September, and there being no questions nor objections, were accepted by the Mayor and ordered placed on file in the office of the City Clerk.

OCTOBER 6, 1983

The City Clerk asked for Council ratification of the forwarding of the following damage claims to the City's Liability Insurance Carrier without Council authorization.

TO: Clerk, City of Idaho Falls
Idaho Falls City Electric Department
and Building and Zoning Department
Idaho Falls, ID 83402

You are hereby notified pursuant to the provisions of Idaho Code Sec. 6-901, et. seq., that the below signed, Sharon Edwards has a claim against the City of Idaho Falls, as more particularly set forth below.

The Claim arises from a incident on or about July 1, 1983, at the home of Sharon Edwards, 3330 South Yellowstone, Space No. 33, Idaho Falls, Idaho. The undersigned claim damages on account of property damage.

1. The conduct and circumstances of this claim are as follows:

On or about July 1, 1983, Sharon Edwards left her trailer home to water her lawn. When she returned she smelled something burning. Minutes later an explosion occurred inside her television set and she smelled burning wires around the trailer. She then called the manager of the trailer court who helped her turn off all the electrical breakers in the trailer. She then called an electrician who checked the trailer and told her that the City power line to her home had apparently shorted causing a voltage surge which destroyed and damaged many of her household appliances.

2. The damages caused in this accident are as follows:

As a result of the incident, Sharon Edwards has had to replace the transformer in her television set and has incurred expenses for the testing of wiring in her home and replacement of the motor and transformer in her furnace. She has also been informed that the voltage surge damaged the motor on her refrigerator, stove and may have damaged other appliances. The extent of the damage to all appliances in the home is not known to date. She has been informed that her appliances may require more repairs in the future due to their weakened condition. In addition, she has been informed that the damage to the furnace will not be fully known until it is turned on for use this Fall.

To date, Sharon Edwards has incurred repair bills in the approximate amount of three hundred and fifty dollars (\$350.00) and is very likely to incur more. In addition, many of her appliances will need to be replaced much sooner and have substantially depreciated in value.

3. The time and place of the damage are as follows:
July 1, 1983, at 3330 South Yellowstone, Space No. 33, Idaho Falls.

4. The names of all persons involved are as follows:

- A. Sharon Edwards
- B. Idaho Falls City Electric Department

C. Idaho Falls Building and Zoning Department

OCTOBER 6, 1983

5. The undersigned herein claims damages from the City of Idaho Falls for all property damage and other present and future damages she may have sustained as a result of this incident.

6. The residence of the undersigned claimant at the time of this presentment is: 3330 South Yellowstone, Space No. 33, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401. The residence of the undersigned claimant for the six months preceding July 1, 1983 was: 3330 South Yellowstone, Space No. 33, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401 .

The undersigned upon information and belief, states that by reason of negligent failure to protect against the facts and occurrences which gave rise to Sharon Edward's property loss, the undersigned claimant has suffered damages and, therefore, makes the within claim for her damages against the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho.

If any additional information is required, this can be obtained from Holden, Kidwell, Hahn & Crapo, P.O. Box 129, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402.

DATED this 26th day of July, 1983.

s/ Sharon Edwards

TO: Velma Chandler
Idaho Falls City Clerk
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

RE: Timothy L. May

Please be advised that pursuant to Chapter 9 of Title 6 of the Idaho Code, Juliene May, hereinafter referred to as Claimant, hereby present her claim for money against the City of Idaho Falls, State Of Idaho, for medical expenses, disability, pain and suffering and consortium, in an amount yet to be determined, and states as follows:

1. Claimant currently resides in the City of Idaho Falls, County of Bonneville, State of Idaho, at 441 North Woodruff, No. 4.

2. Claimant's residence for the six (6) months immediately prior to the time the claim arose was in the City of Idaho Falls, County of Bonneville, State of Idaho, at 441 North Woodruff, No. 4: and at 1380 Suzanne.

3. The name of the attorneys for Claimant is Craig W. Christensen of Jones and Christensen, Chartered, whose business address is 405 W. Whitman, in the City of Pocatello, County of Bannock, State of Idaho, and W. Marcus W. Nye of Racine, Olsen Nye, Cooper and Budge, whose business address is Center Plaza Building, in the City of Pocatello, County of Bannock, State of Idaho.

4. The claim is based on the negligence of the employees of the City of Idaho Falls, and/or Bonneville County for failure to maintain their sidewalks and

arises from personal injuries sustained by Timothy L. May, husband of Claimant on or about June 29, 1983, at or near 9:30 P.M. when while jogging

5. OCTOBER 6, 1983

he tripped and fell on the sidewalk in the 200 block of Woodruff Street in the City of Idaho Falls.

5. The above-described accident caused injury to Claimant's spouse as of the date of this claim, generally as follows:

- (a) Knee surgery and permanent disability to the left knee of Claimant
- (b) Hospital expenses of approximately \$3,211.61
- (c) Other medical costs, including expenses of surgeons of approximately \$4,062.40
- (d) Loss of wages of approximately \$1,075.00
- (e) General Damages for pain, suffering, future medical and disability of approximately \$100,000.00
- (f) Loss of consortium of \$25,000.00

6. By reason of the foregoing, the undersigned Claimant claims \$31,514.01 as damages and reserves the right to amend this claim to show additional damages and losses as hereafter incurred or ascertained.

DATED this 30th day of September, 1983.

s/ Juliene May

TO: Velma Chandler
Idaho Falls City Clerk
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

RE: Timothy L. May

Please be advised that pursuant to Chapter 9 of Title 6 of the Idaho Code, Juliene May, hereinafter referred to as Claimant, hereby present her claim for money against the City of Idaho Falls, State Of Idaho, for medical expenses, disability, pain and suffering and consortium, in an amount yet to be determined, and states as follows:

1. Claimant currently resides in the City of Idaho Falls, County of Bonneville, State of Idaho, at 441 North Woodruff, No. 4.

2. Claimant's residence for the six (6) months immediately prior to the time the claim arose was in the City of Idaho Falls, County of Bonneville, State of Idaho, at 441 North Woodruff, No. 4: and at 1380 Suzanne.

3. The name of the attorneys for Claimant is Craig W. Christensen of Jones and Christensen, Chartered, whose business address is 405 W. Whitman, in the City of Pocatello, County of Bannock, State of Idaho, and W. Marcus W. Nye

OCTOBER 6, 1983

of Racine, Olsen Nye, Cooper and Budge, whose business address is Center Plaza Building, in the City of Pocatello, County of Bannock, State of Idaho.

4. The claim is based on the negligence of the employees of the City of Idaho Falls, and/or Bonneville County for failure to maintain their sidewalks and arises from personal injuries sustained by Claimant on or about June 29, 1983, at or near 9:30 P.M. when while jogging he tripped and fell on the sidewalk in the 200 block of Woodruff Street in the City of Idaho Falls.

5. The above-described accident caused injury to Claimant as of the date of this claim, generally as follows:

- (a) Knee surgery and permanent disability to the left knee of Claimant
- (b) Hospital expenses of approximately \$3,211.61
- (c) Other medical costs, including expenses of surgeons of approximately \$4,062.40
- (d) Loss of wages of approximately \$1,075.00
- (e) General Damages for pain, suffering, future medical and disability of approximately \$100,000.00

6. By reason of the foregoing, the undersigned Claimant claims \$108,349.01 as damages and reserves the right to amend this claim to show additional damages and losses as hereafter incurred or ascertained.

DATED this 30th day of September, 1983.

s/ Timothy L. May

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Sakaguchi, that this action of the City Clerk be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, and Chandler; No, none; carried.

The City Clerk asked for Council ratification of the publishing of a legal notice calling for a public hearing to be held on October 20th. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Hovey, that this action, also be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

License application for RESTAURANT, Pork Chop Johns; ITINERANT MERCHANT, Bagman's; ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR, Bonneville Electric; APPRENTICE ELECTRICIAN, Robert Hartwell with Eagle Heating & Electric; JOURNEYMAN PLUMBER, Rodney J. Olson, Forrest Summers; LIQUOR CATERING PERMIT, Eagles; BARTENDER, Jeani Allison, Robert W. Beckstead, Sally B. Brady, Tina Christopherson, Marilyn Kinney, Nila Crew, Carrie Fisher, Roderick Fisher, Sharon Frazier, Lawauna Gallagher, Jeffrey William Herman, Jane J. Rawding, Korby Smith, Sherry Soulis, Kathy Top, Parva Ward; PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY, L.N. Johnson Paving, were presented. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Chandler, that these licenses be issued, subject to the approval of the appropriate Division Director, where required. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Chandler, Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, and Wood; No, none; carried.

From the City Clerk came this memo:

OCTOBER 6, 1983

MEMO

September 29, 1983

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Gentlemen:

Under Section 50-409 of the Idaho Code, it is required that the City Council appoint an election judge and such other workers as may be necessary for each voting precinct within the City. Following, then, is the list of names we are recommending for these positions:

Precinct No.	1	JUDGE, Ellen Bingham; CLERKS, Vicki Brooks, Katie Hovey
Precinct No.	2	JUDGE, Carolyn Grassescki; CLERKS, Sharon Reilly, Luanne Taylor
Precinct No.	3	JUDGE, Wilma Olsen; CLERKS, Eileen Anderson, Joann Cissel
Precinct No.	4	JUDGE, Helen C. Howell; CLERKS, Noel Bickel, Sharon Felstead
Precinct No.	5	JUDGE, Shirley Woodhouse; CLERKS, Lolean Casperson, Kristen Rydalch
Precinct No.	6	JUDGE, Deanna K. Yorgensen; CLERKS, Susan Georgeson, Sharon Evans
Precinct No.	7	JUDGE, Peggy Empey; CLERKS, LaRue Bell, Esther Mathews
Precinct No.	8	JUDGE, Ethel Rasmussen; CLERKS, LaVinia VanOrden, Inez Molen
Precinct No.	9	JUDGE, Eva Metcalf; CLERKS, Fern W. Virvin, Doris F. Meikle
Precinct No.	10	JUDGE, Dawn Schwartzberger; CLERKS, Karen Moedl, Shirley Willis
Precinct No.	11	JUDGE, Cindy Hanson; CLERKS, Mary J. Olsen, Rita Phippen
Precinct No.	12	JUDGE, Joan Bessler; CLERKS, Sharon Foster, Norma Frost
Precinct No.	13	JUDGE, Fern Harker; CLERKS, Ben Brown, Gladys Wilkins
Precinct No.	14	JUDGE, Eleanor Moss; CLERKS, Patsy Cherry, Linda Smith
Precinct No.	15	JUDGE, Leona Benson; CLERKS, Deaune P. Davis, Clara Jenkins
Precinct No.	16	JUDGE, Janet Larson; CLERKS, Marian D. Williams, Carol Romer
Precinct No.	17	JUDGE, Betty Roberts; CLERKS, Beth Robbins, Emma Rose Grimmett
Precinct No.	18	JUDGE, Lennox Holyoak; CLERKS, Rebecca Forcey, Mildred

Under Section 50-409, Idaho Code, compensation for pool workers shall be established by the City Council at the time of making appointments. As you are aware, we have adopted the County's registration procedures, therefore, we will be paying \$3.65 per hour for Judges and \$3.35 per hour for clerks.

Your appointment of the foregoing election workers to the position as indicated is requested.

s/ Velma Chandler

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Wood, that the foregoing election workers be appointed to the positions as indicated and the workers be paid as recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, and Chandler; No, none; carried.

From the Controller came this memo:

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Tom Campbell and City Council
FROM: John D. Evans, Controller
SUBJECT: BAD CHECK FEE

The Fiscal Committee and City Attorney Dale Storer have suggested that a \$5.00 service fee may be charged for returned checks. I, therefore, request that you concur this action by approving the \$5.0 service fee formally.

OCTOBER 6, 1983

Attached is a copy of the memorandum which will be sent to each Division Director regarding this fee.

s/ John D. Evans

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Wood, that a \$5.00 service fee may be charged for returned checks. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, and Chandler; No, none; carried.

Also, from the Controller came this memo:

October 5, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Tom Campbell and City Council
FROM: John D. Evans, Controller
SUBJECT: RENEWAL - LEASE - PURCHASE AGREEMENTS -
EQUIPMENT

Authorization is hereby requested to renew the Lease-Purchase Agreements with the following Financing Agents and for the equipment listed:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Financing Agent</u>	<u>Equipment</u>	<u>Amount</u>
6/2/94	Bank of Commerce	Ambulance (3)	\$ 30,655.16
10/1/83	Citizens Development (Id First Nat Bank)	Computer (City/County)	79,901.56
11/16/83	Id First Nat Bank	Rescue Truck	16,850.52
2/21/84	Id first Nat Bank	Fire-Computer Dispatch	4,993.48
2/25/84	Id First Nat Bank	Fire Truck-Pumper	32,645.05
6/23/84	First Security Bank	Computer Up Grade (City/ County)	25,737.83
		TOTAL	<u>\$190,783.60</u>

Funding for the above is included in the 1983-1984 FY Budget.

s/ John S. Evans

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Wood, that the lease-purchase agreements be renewed as requested. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

This memo from the City Planner was then read:

October 5, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Rod Gilchrist
SUBJECT: FINAL PLAT - FIRST AMENDED PLAT OF THE JUNIPER
ADDITION

OCTOBER 6, 1983

Attached is a copy of a final plat which is a replat of a portion of the Juniper Addition. This is a 5-lot subdivision adjacent to Jefferson Avenue and is presently zoned R-2A. This proposed development was originally approved by the Planning and Engineering Departments with the developer dedicating an alley for vehicular access and placement of utilities.

This platting is a requirement of the lending institution which is financing the Construction.

The Planning Commission recently considered this matter at their regular meeting, and unanimously recommended approval of this plat. This Department concurs with that recommendation.

This matter is now being submitted to the Mayor and Council for your consideration.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

The City Planner located the area on a map on the wall and explained the request. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Deist, that this final plat be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, Deist, and Hovey; No, none; carried.

Also from the City Planner came this memo:

October 5, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Rod Gilchrist
SUBJECT: FINAL PLAT - ROSEWOOD ACRES, DIVISION NO. 2
(COUNTY PLAT)

Attached is a copy of a final plat of Rosewood Acres, Division No. 2. This is a large-lot County subdivision located west of the airport. This proposed subdivision is within one mile of the City Limits, therefore, requiring approval of the City before it can be recorded.

This plat has been approved by both the City and County Planning Commissions. This Department recommends approval of this County plat.

This matter is now being submitted to the Mayor and Council for your consideration.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

The City Planner located the subject property in a map on the wall and explained the request. It was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Deist, that this plat be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Wood, Chandler, Deist, Hovey, and Sakaguchi; No, none; carried.

Next, from the City Planner came this memo:

OCTOBER 6, 1983

October 5, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Rod Gilchrist
SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ENCROACHMENT INTO STREET R/W -
DESERET INDUSTRIES

Deseret Industries is proposing some remodeling and the installation of a trash compactor adjacent to their present building next to Capital Avenue. Their proposal includes reconstructing the sidewalk adjacent to the curb and doing away with the existing planter strip. This will result in a concrete slab and a wall encroaching into the street right-of-way approximately three (3) feet. This encroachment would be in the location where the old sidewalk was removed.

They are requesting an easement to permit this proposed addition to the facility. This matter is now being submitted to the Mayor and Council for your consideration.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

The City Planner explained the request. After several questions and upon the recommendation of the City Attorney, it was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Deist, that this matter be referred to the City Attorney for review. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Chandler, Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, and Wood; No, none; carried.

From the General Services Director came this memo:

October 3, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Chad Stanger
SUBJECT: ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS - ELECTRICAL APPARATUS &
CONDUCTOR

The Electrical and General Services Divisions respectfully request authorization to advertise to receive bids for electrical apparatus and conductor requirements for the fiscal year 1983-1984.

s/ Chad Stanger

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Hovey, that the General Service Division be authorized to advertise for bids for electrical apparatus and conductor equipment for the fiscal year 1983-1984. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, and Chandler; No, none; carried.

Also, from the General Services Director came this memo:

OCTOBER 6, 1983

October 3, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Chad Stanger
SUBJECT: ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS - EQUIPMENT

The General Services Division respectfully request authorization to advertise to receive bids for that equipment approved in the 1983-1984 Budget.

s/ Chad Stanger

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Hovey, that the General Service Division be authorized to advertise for bids for that equipment in the 1983-1984 budget. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, and Chandler; No, none; carried.

Next, from the General Services Director came this memo:

October 3, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Chad Stanger
SUBJECT: SURPLUS EQUIPMENT

The General Services Division respectfully requests that the City Council authorize the sale, through sealed bid, of certain equipment which has been found to be surplus to the needs of the City of Idaho Falls.

s/ Chad Stanger

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Hovey, that the General Services Director be authorized to sell the surplus equipment as requested. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

Still from the General Services Director came this memo:

October 4, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Chad Stanger
SUBJECT: BID #IF-84-1, ROAD SALT

Attached is a tabulation for the bid proposals received for Bid #IF-84-1, Road Salt. It is the recommendation of the General Services Division that the City Council accept the low bid of Clayton Sales, Inc. to furnish kiln dried road salt at \$22.75 per ton and wet, treated road salt at \$20.25 per ton for the winter of 1983-1984, as needed.

s/ Chad Stanger

OCTOBER 6, 1983

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Hovey, that the low bid be accepted as recommended. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, Deist, and Hovey; No, none; carried.

Finally, from the General Services Director came this memo:

City of Idaho Falls
October 3, 1983

MEMORANDUM

ATTENTION: Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Chad Stanger
SUBJECT: BID #IF-83-26, ANIMAL CREMATION CHAMBER

Attached is a tabulation of bid proposals received on Bid #IF-83-26, Animal Cremation Chamber. It is the recommendation of the Police and General Services Division that the City Council accept the sole bid of Crawford Equipment and Engineering Company to provide the animal cremation chamber as per specification and authorize the General Services Division to negotiate the two (2) alternates provided in the bid concerning installation.

s/ Chad Stanger

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Hovey, that the bid be accepted and the Council authorize the negotiations as requested. Roll call s follows: Ayes, Councilmen Wood, Chandler, Deist, Hovey, and Sakaguchi; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Public Works Director was then read:

City of Idaho Falls
October 4, 1983

MEMORANDUM

ATTENTION: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: LEE AVENUE WATERLINE REPLACEMENT

On September 28, 1983 three (3) bids were received for the Lee Avenue Waterline Replacement as follows:

James L. Paul Backhoe Service	\$26,815.00
H-K Contractors, Inc.	30,757.50
O & F Construction	46,315.00
Engineers Estimate	28,405.00

We are recommending that the contract be awarded to the low bidder, James L. Paul Backhoe Service, in the amount of \$26,815.00.

Sincerely,
s/ Don F. Lloyd

OCTOBER 6, 1983

It was moved by Councilman Sakaguchi, seconded by Deist, that the contract be awarded to the low bidder, James L. Paul Backhoe Services in the amount of \$26,815.00. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Chandler, Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, and Wood; No, none; carried.

Also, from the Public Works Director, came this memo:

City of Idaho Falls
October 4, 1983

MEMORANDUM

ATTENTION: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: RESOLUTION AND PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING
AGREEMENT - LINCOLN ROAD - YELLOWSTONE TO
WOODRUFF

We are attaching hereto two (2) copies of a Resolution and Preliminary Engineering Agreement covering Lincoln Road between Yellowstone and Woodruff.

This agreement provides that the State can participate in the development of the project and requests a \$5,000 deposit with the Department of Transportation.

The Public Works Committee has reviewed and are recommending the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the Resolution and sign the Agreement; also for the City Controller to make payment of deposit to the Department of Transportation in the amount of \$5,000.

Sincerely,
s/ Don F. Lloyd

It was moved by Councilman Sakaguchi, seconded by Deist, that this resolution and engineering agreement be approved subject to the approval of the City Attorney, the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign the resolution and the agreement and the City Controller be authorized to make payment of a deposit in the amount of \$5,000. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, Chandler, and Wood; No, none; carried.

Still, from the Public Works Director, came this memo:

City of Idaho Falls
October 4, 1983

MEMORANDUM

ATTENTION: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: WATER SERVICE CONTRACT - OUTSIDE CITY

We are presenting herewith a contract for water service outside the City, in favor of Janice Hammond on South Holmes. The Public Works Committee has reviewed the arrangements, all fees have been paid, and we are recommending that the Mayor be authorized to sign the City's approval.

Sincerely,
s/ Donald Lloyd

OCTOBER 6, 1983

It was moved by Councilman Sakaguchi, seconded by Deist, that the outside the City water service contract in favor of Janice Hammond be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Deist, Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, and Chandler; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Public Works Director was then read:

City of Idaho Falls
October 4, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: WATER SERVICE CONTRACT - OUTSIDE CITY

We are presenting herewith a contract for Water Service Outside the City, in favor of G. Brent and Ilene Stolworthy on South Holmes.

The Public Works Committee has reviewed the arrangements, all fees have been paid, and we are recommending that the Mayor be authorized to sign the City's approval.

s/ Donald F. Lloyd, P.E.

It was moved by Councilman Chandler, seconded by Deist, that the outside the City water service contract in favor of G. Brent and Ilene Stolworthy be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, Councilmen Hovey, Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, and Deist; No, none; carried.

Finally, from the Public Works Director came this memo:

October 4, 1983

MEMORANDUM

ATTENTION: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd
SUBJECT: WATER SERVICE CONTRACT - OUTSIDE CITY

We are presenting herewith a contract for Water Service Outside the City, in favor of Rex Meikle on Pancheri Drive.

The Public Works Committee has reviewed the arrangements, all fees have been paid, and we are recommending that the Mayor be authorized to sign the City's approval.

s/ Donald F. Lloyd, P.E.

It was moved by Councilman Sakaguchi, seconded by Deist, that the outside the City water service contract in favor of Rex Meikle be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows; Ayes, Councilmen Sakaguchi, Wood, Chandler, Deist, and Hovey; No, none; carried.

OCTOBER 6, 1983

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Hovey, seconded by Chandler, that the meeting adjourn at 9:00 P.M., carried.

s/ Velma Chandler
City Clerk

s/ Thomas Campbell
Mayor

* * * * *