

**JULY 6, 1978**

---

The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls met in regular meeting, Thursday, July 6<sup>th</sup>, 1978, at 7:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers in Idaho Falls, Idaho. There were present at said meeting: Mayor Tom Campbell; Councilmen Mel Erickson, Ralph Wood, Charles Clark, Paul Hovey, Sam Sakaguchi, and Jim Freeman. Also present: Roy C. Barnes, City Clerk; Arthur Smith, City Attorney and all other available Division Directors.

Minutes of the last regular meeting, held June 22<sup>nd</sup>, 1978, were read and approved.

The Mayor announced that this was the time and the place, as advertised, for a public hearing to consider a re-zoning petition pertaining to certain lots within the Kugler Addition, as introduced by this memo from Building Administrator Gilchrist:

City of Idaho Falls  
July 6, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Rod Gilchrist  
SUBJECT: PETITION TO REZONE KUGLER ADDITION & DEVELOPMENT  
PLAN FOR PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT

Attached is a copy of a petition to rezone lots 4 & 5 and a portion of Lot 15 of the Kugler Addition. The request is to re-zone Lot 15 from HC-1 to R-3 and Lots 4 & 5 from R-2 to R-3. The request is being made to permit the construction of an apartment complex as a Planned Unit Development. A copy of the development plan is also attached.

The City Planning Commission recently held a public hearing on this matter, and at that time recommended approval of the requested re-zoning and further recommended approval of the proposed development plan.

This department concurs with the Planning Commissions recommendations and this matter is now being submitted to the Mayor and City Council for your consideration.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

Asked for comment, Gilchrist appeared briefly to explain that the surrounding area has been developed, to some extent, with multiple housing. He said the area in question would be served by a private roadway to Saturn Avenue and that there would be no access from Broadway. In answer to a question by Councilman Hovey, Gilchrist said the Planned Unit Development provided for 48 to 56 units. Hovey then registered concern on the grounds that Saturn could not easily accommodate the additional traffic that would be created by such a development. Councilmen Freeman drew attention to the fact that the development as now planned, would create less density. There were none who appeared to protest or otherwise comment on this requested re-zoning. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Clark, that this re-zoning request be approved as recommended by the Planning Commission and the Building Official be directed to reflect said re-zoning on the official zoning map, located in his office. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 5; No, one; carried. Councilman Hovey voting no.

**JULY 6, 1978**

---

The Mayor announced that this was the time and the place, as advertised, for a public hearing to consider another re-zoning petition as introduced by this memo from Building Administrator Gilchrist:

City of Idaho Falls  
July 6, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Rod Gilchrist  
SUBJECT: PETITION TO RE-ZONE, METES AND BOUNDS LEGAL DESCRIPTION AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SKYLINE AND GRANDVIEW

Attached is a copy of a petition to re-zone the above described property from M-1 (Manufacturing) to HC-1 (Highway Commercial). This property has approximately 200 feet of frontage on Grandview, and extends north adjacent to Skyline Drive to the intersection of Whitney and Skyline. The request is being submitted by Terrain Development to permit the construction of a Mr. Steak Restaurant.

The City Planning Commission held a public hearing on this matter in June 13, 1978, and at that time recommended denial of the request. This recommendation was made primarily due to the fact that there are four single-family homes on the west side of Skyline, in the vicinity of Whitney Street.

This department concurs with that recommendation, and the matter is now being submitted to the Mayor and City Council for your consideration.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

It was noted from the foregoing memo that this petition carried with it a recommendation from the Planning Commission for denial. There was a crowd of 15 or 20 affected west-side residents present in the Council Chamber in support of said denial recommendation. Asked for comment, Gilchrist reappeared to explain that the developer's plan called for a medical dental professional building at the Northeast corner of Skyline and Grandview and a structure to the north to be occupied by the Mr. Steak Restaurant which, because of a planned median down the center of Skyline, would have full access from Skyline and Whitney. Councilman Erickson asked why HC-1 was requested for the entire area when a portion was proposed for development as a professional building. Gilchrist said this was also discussed at the Planning Commission hearing. He said a consultant for the developer, Terrain Development Company, was present at that time and was approached with this same question. He responded by saying he wasn't authorized to revise the petition as submitted.

Mr. Dennis Morris, representing the developer, appeared before the Council, introducing himself as the aforementioned consultant who appeared before the Planning Commission. Mr. Morris said he was now authorized by his company to revise the development plan and if it would further the cause and eliminate potential protests, his company would revise the development plan, locating the restaurant on the corner and the professional building to the north. In answer to a question by Councilman Freeman, City Attorney Smith advised against Council action this night approving this plan in reverse order

without it first going back to the Planning Commission for reconsideration because of the complexities involved.

**JULY 6, 1978**

---

At this time several unidentified residents voiced their opinions to the effect that a restaurant at that general location was not desirable under any circumstances.

Mr. Don Suckling, 1545 Clairview Lane, appeared before the Council, commenting to the effect that, in his opinion, the City "got taken" when the adjacent property was re-zoned to permit a motel complex that was never constructed and, by now, it would appear has, for practical purposes, lost control, zoning-wise.

Mr. Hal Monson, 1110 Norton, appeared briefly to testify that he, personally, would not object to construction of a professional building, siting, as an example, the Hartwell Building which has proven an asset to the entire Airport Industrial Park. Monson concluded his remarks by saying that, in his opinion, with few exceptions, construction within the Airport Industrial Park has been disappointing, aesthetically, in relation to the original development plan.

Mr. Tollan Whitlock, 1130 Norton, appeared briefly to remind the Council that, particularly in that area, traffic is a serious factor, one of the FAA regulations for the crash truck which serves the airport being a three minute maximum standard.

Mr. Morris re-appeared briefly, reminding the Council of the need for a restaurant in the area under consideration. He said that, other than the airport, the closest restaurant is approximately one mile away. He said there are about 1000 employees within the airport industrial park who should welcome this facility. Refuting the argument on traffic, Morris noted that Skyline is to be designed and destined for heavy traffic, whether a restaurant is permitted or not. Anticipating that the Council, by now, seemed inclined to favor action that would deny this re-zoning petition and recognizing that there would be a six months waiting period, in the event of denial, before such a petition could be re-introduced, Morris concluded his remarks by urging the Council, in lieu of denial, to refer this issue back to the Planning Commission for re-consideration. The Council were in general agreement that, in view of the Planning Commission's earlier unanimous decision, nothing would be gained by this procedure. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Clark, that this re-zoning petition from Terrain Development be respectfully denied. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Mayor announced that this was the time and the place, recessed several times during previous Council sessions, for a public hearing to consider a development plan for the Lorin C. Anderson Subdivision. This introductory memo was presented and read aloud by the City Clerk:

City of Idaho Falls  
July 6, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Rod Gilchrist  
SUBJECT: DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE LORIN C. ANDERSON  
SUBDIVISION

Attached are copies of a development plan for the Lorin C. Anderson Subdivision. This subject was presented to the Mayor and City Council earlier in the year and referred back to the City Planning Commission for further consideration. The Planning Commission has reviewed the proposal on several occasions and suggested some design changes. The developer has made these changes and the revised plan was recently considered at a public hearing.



**JULY 6, 1978**

---

At that time, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the development plan as revised.

This department concurs with that recommendation and it is now being submitted to the Mayor and City Council for your consideration.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

Asked for comment, Building Administrator Gilchrist reappeared briefly to explain the many delays that prompted the various requests for recess action. Among other causes, it was learned that there was an error in the legal description, necessitating a second public hearing by the Planning Commission. The suggested design changes, as mentioned in the foregoing memo, had to do with landscaping and appropriate fencing. Finally, it was learned that the development plan called for 1 ½ and 2 story structures. There were none who appeared to protest or otherwise comment on the development plan as presented. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Clark, that this planned unit development be approved as revised. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Mayor noted from the agenda that the proposed annexation of the Rose Nielsen-Prestwich Farm had been recessed until this night, including the public hearing as to its initial zoning in the event of annexation. The Mayor noted, further, that there had been another request that annexation and initial zoning be further recessed. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Clark, that this proposed annexation and initial zoning be further recessed until the next Regular Council Meeting. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The City Clerk noted that a legal notice had been published without formal Council approval, calling for a public hearing on July 20<sup>th</sup> to consider initial zoning on three annexations, said hearing to be conducted only in the event the areas were first annexed into the City. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Clark, that this action be duly ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This damage claim was submitted by the City Clerk:

210 Cedar Street  
Idaho Falls, Idaho  
June 30, 1978

Honorable Mayor and City Council of Idaho Falls

Gentlemen:

Thomas M. & JoAnn M. Biery, 210 Cedar Street, Idaho Falls, Idaho, own a 1961 GMC ½-ton pickup truck. On May 24, 1978 a large limb from a tree located in the parking strip broke off and fell on our truck with damage estimated in the amount of \$485.64. A police report was filed on the same date by Officer Cramer of the Idaho Falls Police Department.

In the opinion of the claimants, the limb was old, decaying and dangerous and should have been removed.

City Attorney Arthur Smith advised us on June 21, 1978, that the parking strip where the tree is located is owned by the City. Therefore, we are requesting payment in the amount of \$485.64 to cover repairs to the truck.

Very truly yours,  
s/ Thomas M. Biery

**JULY 6, 1978**

It was noted that, in the interests of time, this was forwarded to the City's liability insurance carrier on July 3<sup>rd</sup> without formal Council approval. It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Freeman, that this action also be ratified. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Bills for the month of June, 1978, having been properly audited by the Fiscal Committee, were presented. The City Clerk read aloud all fund totals for materials, services and payroll as follows:

<u>GENERAL</u>	<u>STREET</u>	<u>AIRPORT</u>	<u>WATER/SEWER</u>
SERV/MAT: \$ 541,715.35	\$ 113,180.37	\$ 6,945.84	\$ 125,096.44
SALARY: <u>351,602.11</u>	<u>19,453.00</u>	<u>7,622.03</u>	<u>40,173.17</u>
TOTAL: \$ 893,317.46	\$ 132,633.37	\$ 14,567.87	\$ 165,269.61
<u>ELECTRIC</u>	<u>RECREATION</u>	<u>MUN CAP</u>	<u>GEN LIBRARY</u>
SERV/MAT: \$ 227,337.80	\$ 8,830.72	\$ 747.85	\$ 3,251.48
SALARY: <u>61,102.41</u>	<u>14,273.73</u>	<u>.00</u>	<u>14,425.40</u>
TOTAL: \$ 288,440.21	\$ 23,104.45	\$ 747.85	\$ 17,676.88
<u>REG LIBRARY</u>	<u>ANTIRECESSION</u>	<u>REVENUE SHAR</u>	<u>COM DEV</u>
SERV/MAT: \$ 345.32	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 3,151.75	\$ 23,382.30
SALARY: <u>1144.50</u>	<u>.00</u>	<u>.00</u>	<u>702.40</u>
TOTAL: \$ 1489.82	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 3,151.75	\$ 24,084.70
<u>FLOOD CONTROL</u>	<u>CITY TOTALS</u>		
SERV/MAT: \$ 3,139.76	\$1,074,124.98		
SALARY: <u>.00</u>	<u>510,498.75</u>		
TOTAL: \$ 3,139.76	\$1,584,623.73		

Councilman Hovey explained all major expenditures. It was moved by Councilman Hovey, seconded by Erickson, that the bills be allowed and the City Controller be authorized to issue warrants or checks on the respective funds for their payment. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Reports from Division and Department Heads were presented for the month of June, 1978, and, there being no questions nor objections, were accepted by the Mayor and ordered placed on file in the office of the City Clerk.

License applications for SAFE & SANE FIREWORKS, George Kasman for Plaza Lanes Parking Lot, Kae Farley for Grand Central Parking Lot, Wayne Miller for corner of Lewisville & Anderson, Newell Bradley at 920 Lincoln Rd., John White for 823 Anthon; JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICIAN, Darlene Kelly; APPRENTICE ELECTRICIAN, Dave G. Warner with Earl Nelson Electric, Charles G. Roberts with Northwest Electric, Ken Waymire with Davis Electric, Randy Williams with Davis Electric; CLASS D JOURNEYMAN, WARM AIR, Jack Sante; VENDOR, Robert Hendricks for selling balloons on parade route; PRIVATE PATROLMAN, Val Ray Ferin; CAB DRIVER, Val Ray Ferrin; BARTENDER, Ruby June Galacagos Jennings, Kathryn Anderson, James D. Crotts, Marlene Price, Catherine M. Skelton; Jimmie J. Griggs, Howard S. Meek, Robert Le Roy Raichart, Michael J. Ingram, Dorothy L. McKenzie, were presented. It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by

Clark, that these licenses be granted, subject to the approval of the appropriate Division Director, where required. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

**JULY 6, 1978**

---

This memo from the General Services Director was presented:

City of Idaho Falls  
July 6, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Chad Stanger  
SUBJECT: BID # IF-78-25, STREET SWEEPER

It is the recommendation of the Public Works and General Services Division that the City Council accept the sole bid of Arrowhead Machinery to furnish one (1) street sweeper at \$46,675.00 with trade as per Bid # IF-78-25.

s/ Chad Stanger

It was moved by Councilman Erickson, seconded by Sakaguchi, that the bid of Arrowhead Machinery for a street sweeper in the amount of \$46,675 be accepted. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

From the Building Administrator came this memo:

City of Idaho Falls  
July 6, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Rod Gilchrist  
SUBJECT: FINAL PLAT – CAMBRIDGE TOWNHOUSE

Attached is a copy of the final plat of Cambridge Townhouse, a re-plat of Lots 14 through 17, and a portion of 18, Cambridge Terrace Park, Division No. 1. This property is being re-platted for the purpose of assembling several parcels into one lot. No re-zoning is requested and the property is now fully developed.

The Planning Commission recently considered this matter and at that time recommended approval of the final plat. It is now being submitted to the Mayor and City Council for your consideration.

s/ Rod Gilchrist

It was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Clark, that this final plat of a re-plat be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

The Public Works Director, through the City Clerk, submitted this memo:

**JULY 6, 1978**

---

City of Idaho Falls  
July 6, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd  
SUBJECT: WATER SERVICE OUTSIDE OF THE CITY

We are attaching hereto a contract for water service outside of the City limits in favor of Kent Ward. This will serve a single resident on Lincoln Road east of Woodruff Avenue and we would recommend that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign the City's approval.

Respectfully submitted,  
s/Don

It was moved by Councilman Sakaguchi, seconded by Hovey, that this outside the City water service contract be accepted and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Another memo from the Public Works Director was forthcoming, as follows:

City of Idaho Falls  
July 5, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Donald F. Lloyd  
SUBJECT: SEWER SERVICE OUTSIDE OF THE CITY

We are attaching hereto a contract for sewer service outside of the City limits in favor of Grace Garrett. This will provide service to a double wide trailer home located on Pioneer Road near our Skyline Interceptor. We would recommend the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign.

Respectfully submitted,  
s/ Don

It was moved by Councilman Sakaguchi, seconded by Hovey, that this outside the City sewer service be accepted and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

By memo, Public Works Director Lloyd introduced the following proposed amendment to the Arterial Street and Bridge Policy:

SUGGESTED AMENDMENT TO ARTERIAL STREET AND BRIDGE  
POLICY DATED DECEMBER 22, 1977

I. Change the Chart in Paragraph 6 as follows:

Zones

Parking Spaces Per Acre

RP, RPA, R-1

Actual Lot Count or 5 Spaces Per  
Acre if Un-platted

**JULY 6, 1978**

---

R-2	10
R-2A	14
R-3, R-3A, 1 & M-1, 1 & M2, M-1, RSC-1, C-1, HC-1 & GC-1	25

- II. Change Paragraph 8 to 8a.
- III. Add a new Paragraph 8b, to read as follows:

For those zones shown above which require 25 parking spaces per acre, a payment of 5% of the calculated Arterial Street and Bridge Fee shall accompany the Annexation Agreement and/or the final plat at the time the Agreement and/or the final plat is submitted to City Council. The Annexation Agreement shall include a schedule whereby an additional 5% payment shall be made each 6 months thereafter through and including 30 months, at which time, the developer will provide a schedule which will offer full payment within one year.

Councilman Hovey registered objection to proposed Paragraph 8b, particularly the portion providing for 42 months for the developer to make parking space payment. Councilman Erickson concurred and added that, in his opinion, such payments should be required as soon as possible after development is initiated. Asked for comment as to the reasoning that prompted this proposed section, Lloyd said that, in fairness to the developer, he, in most instances, would be in need of funds from development coming in as he is required to pay out. Hovey commented to the effect that, in his opinion, the City is under no obligation to financially protect the developer. Erickson concurred but added that, in the final analysis, it would become the City Attorney's obligation to defend the City if such a provision were added to the Street and Bridge Policy. It had been noted earlier that the City Attorney had not been given the opportunity to submit a legal opinion on this amendment. It was moved by Councilman Sakaguchi, seconded by Hovey, that this matter be referred back to the Public Works Committee for further study and consideration. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Councilman Freeman introduced the following supplement to the City's established annexation agreement form, which would be applicable in instances where large un-platted areas had been proposed for annexation:

**ANNEXATION AGREEMENT**

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this \_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_, by and between the CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, a municipal corporation, of the State of Idaho, party of the first part, hereinafter called "the City", and \_\_\_\_\_, and Idaho corporation, party of the second part, hereinafter called the "Developer", whose address is \_\_\_\_\_,

**WITNESSETH:**

WHEREAS, the Developer is the sole owner, in law and/or equity, of a certain tract of land in the County of Bonneville, State of Idaho, described as follows:

and,

**JULY 6, 1978**

---

WHEREAS, the Developer, as sole owner of said land, has made request to the City of Idaho Falls to have the same annexed to said City, and has submitted to the City a drawing showing the proposed streets and proposed zoning thereof and the Planning Commission of the City has approved the request for annexation and the zoning requested; and

WHEREAS, the said City Council has agreed to annex said lands to the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, subject to the following terms and conditions:

NOW, THEREFORE, the Developer agrees, and hereby binds his, its or their heirs, successors and assigns to said agreement, that, in consideration for the annexation of said area to said City, he, it or they as developer:

1. Agrees not to commence any building or construction upon said land until a subdivision plat of the area where such building or construction is contemplated is duly prepared by the developer and approved by the City all in compliance with Chapter 13, Title 50, Idaho Code, as amended, in compliance with Section 31-3805, Idaho Code, and in compliance with Chapter I, Title II, City Code of Idaho Falls, as amended.
2. Agrees not to sell, lease or convey any improved property within the area for which annexation is requested prior to the recording of a plat wherein the property is situated or prior to the approval by the City of the "Development Plans" referred to in the next paragraph.
3. Agrees to file or cause to be filed with the City Engineer, prior to or at the time of, presenting the final plat for approval of the City, a set of "Subdivision Improvement Plans" or "Development Plans" for the subdivision which is intended to be platted. Such plans shall show all street, sidewalk, sewer, water, drainage and other improvements within the subdivision as well as needed easements for utilities and irrigation.
4. Agrees to construct and install, at Developer's sole expense, all improvement set forth on the "Development Plans" as submitted and approved by the City Engineer.
5. Agrees to pay or cause to be paid, to the City at the time any building permit is requested any separate sanitary sewer service connection to the City's Sanitary Sewer is requested, all connection fees, main connection charges, and/or main charges (whether for sewer or water) set forth in, and required to be paid by the provisions of any City Ordinance in effect at the time the permit is requested.
6. Agrees to pay, or cause to be paid, promptly as called for in the "Schedule of Payments", the fees and charges appearing in the "Development Plans" to be submitted by the Developer and approved by the City. Such fees and charges may include the Street and Bridge Fees now in force or as later amended; and may include sewer "hook-on use charges" now in force or as later amended; and may include any other

lawful fees or charges imposed by the City in similar situations and in force at the time of the approval of the “Development Plans”.

**JULY 6, 1978**

7. This agreement is binding upon the Developer as well as his/its successors and assigns and shall constitute an encumbrance upon the land above described.
8. It is understood that the City has and reserves the right to disannex the above property, or any portion thereof, pursuant to the provisions of Section 50-225, Idaho Code; and it is further understood that the City intends to review its boundaries three (3) years following annexation, and that the City may then disannex any lands then not platted and for which no development agreement has been approved.
9. Nothing herein contained shall restrict the right of the City to change the zoning of any of said land following proper procedures.
10. This Annexation Agreement shall become valid and binding upon its approval by the City Council, its execution by the Mayor and Developer, and upon the annexation said described property to the City.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the City has affixed its seal and caused these presents to be executed by its Mayor thereunto by resolution of its City Council duly authorized, and the Developer has caused these presents to be executed the day and year first above written.

APPROVED BY:

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY ENGINEER

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

\_\_\_\_\_  
(NAME OF DEVELOPER)

By \_\_\_\_\_  
PRESIDENT

By \_\_\_\_\_  
SECRETARY

The undersigned, being officers and stockholders of the above-named corporation, join in the above Annexation Agreement and agree to be bound along with the developer on all agreements contained therein.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_\_\_.

STATE OF IDAHO )

County of Bonneville      ) ss  
  )

**JULY 6, 1978**

On this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 19 \_\_\_\_\_, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and of said State, personally appeared \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that they executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this certificate first above written.

Notary Public for  
State of Idaho,  
Residing at Idaho Falls,  
Idaho.

(SEAL)

City Attorney Smith read aloud said proposed supplement explaining the salient sections as he proceeded. After some discussion, it was moved by Councilman Freeman, seconded by Clark, that the Council go on record as approving the format of this document as a supplement to the existing annexation agreement, where applicable. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none carried.

Reference is made to Page 240 in this book of minutes and, more specifically, passage of Ordinance No. 1550, vacating certain streets in favor of Mr. and Mrs. Carlson. By memo, the Public Works Director requested that this action be rescinded, inasmuch as the Carlsons' had changed their mind relative to said street vacation. It was moved by Councilman Sakaguchi, seconded by Hovey, that this request be honored and the City Attorney be directed to prepare the necessary ordinance rescinding said action and repealing Ordinance No. 1550. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This memo from the Police Chief was submitted:

City of Idaho Falls  
June 29, 1978

COUNCILMEN  
POLLOCK

**COST ESTIMATE FOR HOUSE TRAILER HOOK UP TO UTILITIES**

Following is the estimate requested to install the necessary hook up to utilities for a house trailer at the animal shelter. Estimate is for having City personnel do the work with City equipment.

Water and the electrical box and wiring from underground cable	\$1,200.00
Electric (underground cable from transformer)	\$400.00
(trench 315 feet long and 3 feet deep)	265.00
	656.00
Hook on to existing septic lines	<u>350.00</u>
	\$2,206.00 minimum
Recommend: New Septic tank and field additional cost	650.00
Alternate: Pad, concrete 10' x 12' front trailer	450.00
	<u>1,100.00</u>
	\$3,306.00 estimate

s/ R. D. Pollock

**JULY 6, 1978**

---

It was moved by Councilman Clark, seconded by Wood, that this proposal for providing a house trailer living unit at the animal shelter be approved, subject to the availability of funds. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

From the Director of Aviation came this memo:

City of Idaho Falls  
July 6, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Airport Committee  
SUBJECT: APPOINTMENT OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISER FOR AIRPORT PROJECT

You will recall that the present Airport Expansion/Modernization Grant-In-Aid Project includes the purchase of approximately 138 acres of occupied and unoccupied real estate during the next three year period.

To the dismay of the Federal Aviation Administrator we have purposely delayed embarking on this phase of the project prior to completion of the snow removal equipment and the additional airport fencing phases, as well as final determination of the terminal area expansion phase.

Per copy of the letter attached, the Federal Aviation Administration asked that we start the real estate purchase portion at this time. Accordingly, the first step necessary is the selection and appointment of an appraiser by the City Council. This we request be done at your earliest convenience.

For your information, it is planned that the appraisal review agency required in this endeavor be the same as the one used on our most recent land purchase, namely the Idaho State Department of Highways personnel. This is most advantageous to the City from both an economic and political aspect, especially since the State Department of Aeronautics through Grant-In-Aid is participating.

Your favorable consideration of this request will be appreciated.

It was moved by Councilman Clark, seconded by Wood, that a real estate appraiser be retained as recommended and that the State Department of Highways personnel be utilized as the appraisal review agency. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Another memo from the Director of Aviation was forthcoming, to-wit:

City of Idaho Falls  
July 6, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Airport Committee

SUBJECT: LEASE AND CONCESSION AGREEMENT FOR LIMOUSINE-  
TAXICAB SERVICE

**JULY 6, 1978**

---

Idaho Courier and Security Services, Inc. has submitted a request to provide commercial transport to and from the Municipal Airport.

The Airport Committee concurs in this request and recommends to the Mayor and City Council that the attached lease and concession agreement be approved.

It was moved by Councilman Clark, seconded by Wood, that the lease and concession agreement be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

Finally, from the Director of Aviation, this memo was presented:

City of Idaho Falls  
June 16, 1978

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Airport Committee  
SUBJECT: AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT FOR ARCHITECT-ENGINEER SERVICE

Upon the recommendation of the Federal Aviation Administration's Auditing Division, the Contract for Architect-Engineer Service between the City and Lawrence E. Matson dated May 11, 1978, must be amended to clarify certain provisions within the contract.

The changes presented within the attached Supplemental Agreement set forth the scheduled fees to be paid the Architect-Engineer by using a dollar amount rather than a percentage. The amendment does not alter the total dollar amount previously agreed upon within the original agreement.

As stated by the Federal Aviation Administration, the amendment will permit easier auditing of the Airport Terminal Expansion Project while providing for an efficient procedure for the reimbursement of funds to the City.

It was moved by Councilman Clark, seconded by Wood, that this amendment to the Airport architect-engineer service contract be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

This petition of appreciation with 40 signers was presented and read aloud:

Mayor Tom Campbell,

We, the undersigned, do hereby express our appreciation for the continuous maintenance and upkeep on both Atlantic and Colorado Streets.

The roads have been more accessible this summer than they have ever been in the past years we have been using them.

Thank you !



**JULY 6, 1978**

---

No Council action was considered necessary. The Mayor directed the City Clerk to make the foregoing petition a matter of record.

Councilman Hovey proposed that, for a distance of approximately 300' including the west side fire station, from Grandview to Whitney on the west side of Skyline, the curb be painted yellow, thus restricting parking in that area. Also, Hovey proposed that Skyline Drive, as it approaches the Skyline-Grandview intersection be striped for two lanes of south bound traffic; also for north bound traffic; the street to be striped and signed to permit right turns only in the right lane and left turn or straight ahead traffic in the left lane. It was moved by Councilman Clark, seconded by Freeman, that this proposal be referred to the Traffic Safety Committee for study and recommendation. Roll call as follows: Ayes, 6; No, none; carried.

In answer to a question by Councilman Erickson as to the status of the 17<sup>th</sup> and Woodruff signalization installation, Electrical Engineer Harrison reported that the poles had been ordered and the installation would proceed as soon as said poles were delivered.

There being no further business, it was moved by Councilman Wood, seconded by Hovey, that the meeting adjourn at 9:45 P.M., carried.

ATTEST: s/ Roy C. Barnes  
CITY CLERK

s/ Thomas Campbell  
MAYOR

\*\*\*\*\*